

Incidentally Discovered Ruptured Mucinous Appendiceal Neoplasms. What About The Surgical Approach?

Karaiskos I^{*}, Peppas G¹, Noskova I¹ and Spiliotis J.^{1,2}

¹Department of Surgery, Psychikon Medical Center, Athens, Greece.

²Department of Surgical Oncology and Peritoneal Surface Oncology, European Interbalkan Medical Center, Thessaloniki, Greece.

*Corresponding Author

Karaiskos Ioannis,
Department of Surgery,
Psychikon Medical Center,
Athens,
Greece.

Submitted : 4 Mar 2025 ; Published : 18 Mar 2025

Citation: Karaiskos I. et al., (2025). Incidentally Discovered Ruptured Mucinous Appendiceal Neoplasms. What About The Surgical Approach? *J Medical Case Repo* 7(1):1-2. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.47485/2767-5416.1104>

Abstract

Mucocele of the appendix is an uncommon pathological condition often discovered incidentally during surgery. It may arise from non-neoplastic or neoplastic lesions, necessitating histopathological examination for definitive diagnosis. Failure to identify and manage this condition preoperatively can result in rupture, spilling mucin into the peritoneal cavity, and causing pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP)—a severe complication with poor prognosis. This literature review aims to consolidate information regarding ruptured mucinous appendiceal neoplasms to minimize the risk of PMP and provide guidance on evidence-based surgical management. The review evaluates epidemiology, clinical manifestations, complications, pathology, diagnostic approaches, and treatment strategies. A meticulous surgical excision remains the cornerstone of treatment, with open surgery preferred over laparoscopy to reduce the risk of spillage. Preoperative diagnosis, using imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and ultrasonography, is critical to detect potential malignancies. Primary care physicians play a pivotal role in early recognition and timely referral to prevent complications and optimize outcomes. In cases with advanced patients with diffuse mucinous dissemination after mucocele rupture, cytoreductive surgery (CRS) and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC) are recommended, however the benefit of prophylactic CRS + HIPEC in high-risk patients without peritoneal spread is controversial.

Keywords: Appendix, Neoplasms, Mucinous, Pseudomyxoma Peritonei, CRS, HIPEC.

Introduction

Ruptured acute appendicitis is a common surgical emergency often accompanied by findings such as fluid collections and a dilated appendix in the right pelvis on imaging (Potey et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2022). These findings typically necessitate urgent surgical intervention to prevent complications such as abscess formation or peritonitis (Santos et al., 2022). However, one of the most challenging intraoperative scenarios is the identification of a ruptured appendiceal mucocele, a rare condition arising from mucinous neoplasms of the appendix (Singh, 2020). This situation demands careful surgical decision-making, as it has distinct treatment approaches and prognostic implications. Surgeons must adopt a comprehensive and specialized approach, especially given the risks associated with a ruptured mucocele, such as pseudomyxoma peritonei, to ensure optimal outcomes (Louis et al., 2024). In these cases, cytoreductive surgery (CRS) and hyperthermic intraoperative chemotherapy (HIPEC) are recommended.

Discussion

Appendiceal mucoceles, while often incidental findings, pose a significant concern due to their potential to rupture. If rupture

occurs, mucinous material can disseminate into the peritoneal cavity, leading to pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP). This condition can result in extensive abdominal involvement and severe morbidity if untreated. When an appendiceal mucocele is identified intraoperatively, the following considerations apply:

1. Intact Mucocele

If the mucocele appears intact, a simple appendectomy is generally sufficient for treatment (Umetsu & Kakar, 2023). Careful handling is crucial to prevent rupture.

2. Suspected or Confirmed Rupture

If there is evidence of rupture or mucinous material within the peritoneal cavity, the surgical approach must change to prevent further spread of mucinous material and reduce the risk of PMP.

- Conversion to an open procedure is recommended to allow thorough exploration.
- Complete resection of the appendix and meticulous removal of all mucinous material is essential (Hassan et al., 2022; Guner & Aydin, 2023).

Prognosis and Postoperative Considerations

• Localized Mucocele Without Perforation

Patients with mucinous neoplasms confined to the appendix and without perforation have a better prognosis. A simple appendectomy is often curative in these cases.

• Mucinous Neoplasm With Perforation

Perforation may lead to aggressive mucinous dissemination, increasing the risk of PMP and requiring more extensive intervention.

• Postoperative Discovery

If a mucinous neoplasm is identified postoperatively in the histopathological specimen, the treatment strategy can be controversial.

- Some surgeons argue against preventive extended resection if the appendectomy margins are negative, as it may not improve survival (Nasseri et al., 2019).
- In cases with advanced disease or diffuse mucinous dissemination, additional treatments, such as cytoreductive surgery (CRS) combined with hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC), may be necessary.

Timely identification and appropriate surgical management are critical in preventing complications associated with PMP, especially in cases involving perforated mucinous neoplasms.

When an appendiceal mucocele ruptures, the risk of peritoneal dissemination and subsequent pseudomyxoma peritonei (PMP) increases significantly. CRS and HIPEC are often recommended in cases where there is confirmed peritoneal dissemination or a high likelihood of progression to PMP. The goal is to improve long-term survival by achieving complete cytoreduction and addressing residual mucinous disease. The benefit of prophylactic CRS and HIPEC in high-risk patients without evidence of peritoneal spread remains controversial. CRS combined with HIPEC is typically not performed during the initial surgery unless the procedure occurs at a specialized center equipped with the resources and expertise necessary to manage peritoneal surface malignancies (Govaerts et al., 2021). Given the complexity of appendiceal neoplasms, particularly those with malignant transformation, outcomes depend on several factors such as extent of peritoneal spread, aggressiveness of the neoplasm and initial treatment strategy.

Conclusion

It is generally recommended that patients with appendiceal neoplasms, especially those with malignant potential or peritoneal involvement, be referred to specialized centers. These centers can evaluate the need for CRS and HIPEC and optimize outcomes through expert care. Also, timely and comprehensive management is key to reducing recurrence and improving long-term survival in patients with appendiceal neoplasms (Gonzalez-Moreno & Sugarbaker, 2017).

Abbreviations

- PMP** : pseudomyxoma peritonei
CRS : cytoreductive surgery
HIPEC : hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy

References

1. Potey, K., Kandi, A., Jadhav, S., & Gowda, V. (2023). Study of outcomes of perforated appendicitis in adults: a prospective cohort study. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery (London)*, 85(4), 694–700. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/ms9.0000000000000277>
2. Gao, J., Chu, Z., Chen, J., Zheng, Y., Huang, X., & Hu, K. (2022). Retrospective analysis of 9 cases of appendiceal mucocele in 3071 cases of appendicitis. *Biomed Reports*, 17(1), 55. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2022.1538>
3. Santos, S. F., Horta, M., Rosa, F., Rito, M., & Cunha, T. (2022). Mucocele of the appendix: What to expect. *Radiology Brazil*, 55(3), 193–198. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-3984.2021.0075>
4. Singh M. P. (2020). A general overview of mucocele of the appendix. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, 9(12), 5867–5871. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmprc.jfmprc_1547_20
5. Louis, M., Gibson, B., & Chambers, J. (2024). Optimal surgical approaches for incidentally discovered ruptured mucinous appendiceal neoplasms. *Cureus*, 16 (10). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.70808>
6. Umetsu, S. E., & Kakar, S. (2023). Staging of appendiceal mucinous neoplasms: challenges and recent updates. *Hum Pathol*, 132, 65-76. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humpath.2022.07.004>
7. Hassan, Y., Anees, A., Peer, J. A., & Yadav, M. (2022). Three cases of appendiceal mucocele; from diagnosis to management. *Saudi J Med Sci*, 10(3), 276-280. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4103/sjmms.sjmms_646_21
8. Guner, M., & Aydın, C. (2023). Low-grade appendiceal mucinous neoplasm: what is the best treatment? *Cureus*, 15(10), e46591. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.46591>
9. Nasseri, Y. Y., Zhu, R., Sutanto, C., Wai, C., Cohen, J. S., Ellenhorn, J., & Artinyan, A. (2019). Role of right hemicolectomy in patients with low-grade appendiceal mucinous adenocarcinoma. *Am J Surg*, 218(6), 1239-1243. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2019.07.035>
10. Govaerts, K., Lurvink, R. J., De Hingh, I. H. J. T., Van der Speeten, K., Villeneuve, L., Kusamura, S., Kepenekian, V., Deraco, M., Glehen, O., Moran, B. J., & PSOGI. (2021). Appendiceal tumors and pseudomyxoma peritonei literature review with PSOGI/Euracan clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis and treatment. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol*, 47(1), 11-35. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2020.02.012>
11. Gonzalez-Moreno, S., & Sugarbaker, P. (2017). Radical appendectomy as an alternative to right colon resection in patients with epithelial appendiceal neoplasms. *Surg Oncol*, 26(1), 86-90. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suronc.2017.01.006>

Copyright: ©2025 Karaiskos Ioannis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.