

The Sacred Space of Surrender: Transforming Physician-Patient Vulnerability Into Healing Power – a Framework for Medical Practice

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD

Borra College of Health Sciences, Dominican University IL,
USA.

*Corresponding Author

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD,
Borra College of Health Sciences,
Dominican University IL,
USA.

Submitted : 22 May 2025 ; Published : 18 Jun 2025

Citation: Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). The Sacred Space of Surrender: Transforming Physician-Patient Vulnerability Into Healing Power – a Framework for Medical Practice. *J Psychol Neurosci*; 7(3):1-11. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.47485/2693-2490.1112>

Abstract

Modern medicine operates within paradigms that often emphasize physician control and emotional detachment, potentially limiting therapeutic effectiveness and contributing to clinician burnout. This article proposes a framework integrating Rami Shapiro's concept of "surrendered living" with clinical practice to transform physician vulnerability from perceived weakness into therapeutic strength.

This theoretical framework synthesizes concepts from Rami Shapiro's "Surrendered—The Sacred Art"¹ with evidence-based medical literature on physician-patient relationships, empathy, trust, and communication. A comprehensive literature review examines empirical support for vulnerability-based approaches in healthcare.

Evidence demonstrates that authentic physician vulnerability, when consciously applied, enhances therapeutic relationships, reduces burnout, and improves patient outcomes. The surrendered physician approach offers three core principles: acknowledging professional limitations, recognizing healing forces beyond medical intervention, and maintaining therapeutic presence without attachment to specific outcomes.

The integration of surrendered vulnerability into medical practice represents a paradigm shift from control-based to relationship-based healing, with implications for medical education, clinical practice, and physician wellness programs.

Keywords: Physician-patient relationship, vulnerability, surrender, empathy, therapeutic communication, clinical empathy, burnout prevention, spiritual care, medical education, therapeutic alliance.

Introduction

The physician-patient relationship constitutes the fundamental cornerstone of medical practice, with communication serving as its primary vehicle (Ha & Longnecker, 2010; Stewart et al., 2014). Despite advances in medical technology and pharmacological interventions, the quality of the therapeutic relationship remains the strongest predictor of patient satisfaction, treatment adherence, and clinical outcomes (Little et al., 2001; Haskard Zolnierak & DiMatteo, 2009). Yet contemporary medical education and practice often emphasize technical competence while undervaluing the relational dimensions that facilitate healing (Levinson et al., 1997; Beach et al., 2006).

The Paradox of Medical Control

Modern healthcare operates under what has been termed the "military model of medicine"—a hierarchical system that

prioritizes control, standardization, and emotional detachment (Ungar-Sargon, 2024). This paradigm, while contributing to significant medical advances, creates an inherent tension: physicians are trained to master biological processes while confronting daily the limits of their control over illness, suffering, and death (Gawande, 2014). This contradiction contributes to the epidemic of physician burnout, with nearly half of practicing physicians experiencing emotional exhaustion and depersonalization (Shanafelt et al., 2015; Maslach & Leiter, 2016).

The concept of surrender, as articulated by Rabbi Rami Shapiro in "Surrendered—The Sacred Art," offers a radical alternative to control-based medical practice (Shapiro, 2019). Shapiro defines surrendered living as "a life no longer centered on

¹*Surrendered—The Sacred Art* is Shapiro's second book based on the Twelve Steps of Alcoholics Anonymous, which he calls a "spiritual practice on par with any other." His reliance on the word "surrendered," rather than "surrendering," stems from his belief that you can't willfully choose to move from addiction to recovery. Instead, by being surrendered to what is, you are freed from "the false binary of addiction/recovery ... from the fantasy that you may choose between them."

control and hence no longer at odds with the ordinary suffering of everyday living” (Shapiro, 2019). When applied to medical practice, this philosophy transforms the vulnerable space between physician and patient from a professional liability into the very foundation of therapeutic encounter.

The Three Pillars

Acknowledging Professional Powerlessness

Shapiro’s first principle involves admitting powerlessness over outcomes while maintaining complete responsibility for skillful action (Shapiro, 2019). In medical terms, this translates to recognizing that despite extensive training and clinical expertise, physicians cannot control all variables affecting patient health. Research supports this perspective: Hall et al. demonstrate that physician trust in patients improves when doctors acknowledge their limitations rather than projecting false certainty (Hall et al., 2001).

The traditional medical model often pathologizes uncertainty, viewing it as professional inadequacy (Beresford, 1991). However, vulnerability research in healthcare contexts reveals that physicians who authentically acknowledge uncertainty create space for more collaborative decision-making and enhanced patient trust (Mishel, 1988; Charles et al., 1997). A Norwegian qualitative study of general practitioners found that physician vulnerability, when appropriately disclosed, enhanced therapeutic relationships by fostering a sense of shared humanity (Malterud et al., 2009).

Brené Brown’s research on vulnerability, while not specific to healthcare, provides foundational understanding of how perceived weakness can become relational strength (Brown, 2012). In medical contexts, Levinson et al. (1997) found that physicians who acknowledged their fallibility experienced reduced malpractice risk, suggesting that vulnerability disclosure may actually protect rather than expose practitioners (Levinson et al., 1997).

Studies examining physician self-disclosure reveal nuanced benefits when properly applied. Beach et al. identified that physician personal disclosures, when brief and patient-focused, enhanced therapeutic relationships without compromising professional boundaries (Beach et al., 2007). The key distinction lies in vulnerability that serves the patient’s healing versus self-serving emotional expression (Malterud et al., 2009; McDaniel et al., 2007).

Recognizing Greater Healing Forces

Shapiro’s second principle involves recognizing powers beyond individual control—what he terms moving from “a controlling, easily described deity in favor of a power that cannot be understood by human reason” (Shapiro, 2019). In clinical practice, this translates to acknowledging that healing involves forces beyond medical intervention: the patient’s innate healing wisdom, social support systems, spiritual resources, and the mysterious processes of recovery that resist purely mechanistic explanation (Koenig, 2012; Chernoff, 2002).

Substantial evidence supports the healing power of the therapeutic relationship itself. Studies demonstrate that patient perception of physician empathy correlates with improved clinical outcomes, including reduced symptom severity, faster recovery times, and enhanced immune function (Hojat et al., 2011; Duberstein et al., 2007). Rakel et al. (2011) found that empathic communication during common cold treatment resulted in significantly shorter illness duration and reduced symptom severity (Rakel et al., 2011).

The concept of “clinical empathy” provides a framework for understanding how physicians can harness relational healing without losing professional boundaries. Hojat et al. (2001) define clinical empathy as “primarily a cognitive quality that involves understanding the inner experiences and perspectives of the patient as a separate individual, combined with a capability to communicate this understanding” (Hojat et al., 2001). This aligns with Shapiro’s notion of surrendered engagement—fully present without losing the “as if” quality that maintains therapeutic distance (Shapiro, 2019).

Emerging neuroscience research supports the healing power of empathic connection. Functional MRI studies reveal that physician empathy activates neural pathways associated with emotional regulation and stress reduction in patients (Ritter et al., 2011). These findings suggest that the vulnerable space of authentic connection triggers measurable physiological changes that support healing (Decety & Jackson, 2004).

Surrendering Professional Will

The third pillar involves what Shapiro describes as “turning one’s will over to divine care” (Shapiro, 2019). In medical practice, this means releasing attachment to specific outcomes while maintaining complete dedication to skillful, compassionate action. This principle challenges the goal-oriented paradigm of contemporary medicine, which often measures success primarily through cure rates and technical achievements (Cassell, 1982).

Research in palliative care provides compelling evidence for outcome-independent healing. Studies demonstrate that patients receiving palliative care often experience improved quality of life, reduced symptom burden, and enhanced spiritual well-being even when cure is impossible (Temel et al., 2010; Wright et al., 2008). This suggests that healing encompasses dimensions beyond biological restoration, including psychological comfort, spiritual peace, and relational connection (Ferrell et al., 2015).

The surrendered physician approach aligns with patient-centered care models that prioritize individual values and preferences over standardized treatment protocols (Institute of Medicine, 2001). Studies show that when physicians adapt their approach to individual patient needs rather than adhering rigidly to protocol-driven care, both patient satisfaction and clinical outcomes improve (Mead & Bower, 2000; Robinson et al., 2008).

Curriculum Development

Medical schools increasingly recognize the need for relationship-centered education that balances technical competence with relational skills (Levinson et al., 1997; Association of American Medical Colleges [AAMC], 1998). Implementing surrendered medicine principles requires curricular innovations that address both cognitive and experiential learning. Studies suggest that empathy training programs incorporating mindfulness, reflective writing, and patient narrative analysis effectively enhance relational skills (Shapiro, 2002; Charon, 2006).

The University of Rochester's "Healer's Art" program provides a model for integrating vulnerability-based approaches into medical education (Remen, 1996). This curriculum includes exercises in personal reflection, professional identity formation, and contemplative practices that prepare students for the emotional demands of clinical practice (Rabow et al., 2009). Evaluation studies demonstrate reduced burnout rates and enhanced empathy scores among participating students (Shapiro et al., 2004).

Balint groups, originally developed for general practitioners, offer structured opportunities for physicians to explore the emotional dimensions of patient care (Balint, 1964). These groups provide safe spaces for discussing clinical uncertainty, professional vulnerability, and the relational challenges of medical practice (Elder & Samuel, 1987). Research demonstrates that Balint group participation reduces burnout and enhances job satisfaction among participants (Kjeldmand & Holmström, 2008).

Communication Training

Effective implementation of surrendered medicine requires sophisticated communication skills that allow physicians to acknowledge uncertainty while maintaining patient confidence (Tongue et al., 2005; Levinson & Roter, 1995). The "PEARLS" framework (Partnership, Empathy, Acknowledgment, Respect, Legitimation, Support) provides practical tools for empathic communication that incorporates vulnerability without compromising professional authority (Beach et al., 2007).

Studies of communication training programs demonstrate significant improvements in patient satisfaction and clinical outcomes when physicians learn to balance honesty about limitations with confidence in their ability to provide compassionate care (Marvel et al., 1999; Roter & Larson, 2002). These findings support the integration of surrendered communication approaches into continuing medical education programs (Levinson, 1997).

Mindfulness-Based Interventions

Mindfulness meditation and contemplative practices provide foundational skills for surrendered medicine by developing comfort with uncertainty and present-moment awareness (Kabat-Zinn, 1994; Krasner et al., 2009). Research demonstrates that mindfulness training for healthcare providers reduces burnout, enhances empathy, and improves patient care quality (Goyal et al., 2014; Lomas et al., 2017).

The University of Wisconsin's "Mindful Practice" program offers a comprehensive model for integrating contemplative approaches into clinical care (Epstein, 1999). This program combines meditation training with clinical skill development, teaching physicians to maintain awareness of their own emotional states while remaining fully present with patients (Krasner et al., 2009). Evaluation studies show sustained improvements in physician well-being and patient satisfaction scores (Fortney et al., 2013).

Specialty-Specific Applications

Chronic Disease Management

Chronic illness presents particular opportunities for surrendered medicine approaches, as cure is often impossible and the therapeutic relationship becomes central to patient well-being (Wagner et al., 1996; Bodenheimer et al., 2002).

Studies in diabetes care demonstrate that physician empathy and communication quality significantly predict patient adherence to treatment regimens and glycemic control (Kim et al., 2004; Hojat et al., 2011).

The collaborative care model for chronic disease management embodies many surrendered medicine principles by emphasizing patient autonomy, shared decision-making, and acceptance of uncertainty (Coleman et al., 2009; Glasgow et al., 2001). Research shows that patients receiving collaborative care experience better clinical outcomes and higher satisfaction scores compared to traditional physician-directed approaches (Tsai et al., 2005).

End-of-Life Care

Palliative and hospice care provide natural contexts for surrendered medicine, as the goal shifts from cure to comfort and meaning-making (Lynn & Adamson, 2003; Field & Cassel, 1997). Studies demonstrate that physician comfort with death discussions and willingness to acknowledge prognostic uncertainty correlate with improved patient and family satisfaction (Zhang et al., 2009; Wright et al., 2008).

The "serious illness conversation" framework incorporates surrendered medicine principles by encouraging physicians to explore patient values and fears while acknowledging the limits of medical intervention (Bernacki et al., 2014). Research shows that these conversations improve advance care planning and reduce unwanted end-of-life interventions (Detering et al., 2010).

Mental Health Integration

The growing recognition of mental health issues in medical settings creates opportunities for surrendered medicine approaches that address psychological and spiritual dimensions of illness (Kroenke et al., 2001; Gilbody et al., 2006). Studies demonstrate that primary care physicians trained in basic counseling skills that incorporate empathy and vulnerability-based approaches achieve better outcomes for patients with depression and anxiety (Katon et al., 1995; Unützer et al., 2002).

Critical Analysis:

Professional Boundary Concerns

Critics of vulnerability-based approaches raise legitimate concerns about professional boundaries and the potential for inappropriate self-disclosure (Gutheil & Gabbard, 1993). The medical literature documents cases where excessive physician emotional involvement led to boundary violations and compromised patient care (Epstein & Simon, 1990; Pope et al., 2008). These concerns require careful consideration in implementing surrendered medicine approaches.

However, research distinguishes between therapeutic vulnerability and boundary violations. Therapeutic vulnerability involves conscious, patient-centered disclosure that enhances healing relationships, while boundary violations involve self-serving behavior that prioritizes physician needs over patient welfare (Simon, 1992; Zur, 2007). Studies suggest that proper training in therapeutic use of vulnerability can minimize risks while maximizing benefits (Knox & Hill, 2003).

Institutional Barriers

The current healthcare system presents significant obstacles to implementing surrendered medicine approaches. Time pressures, productivity metrics, and financial incentives often discourage the contemplative presence that vulnerability-based healing requires (Dugdale et al., 1999; Mechanic, 2003). Electronic health records and documentation requirements further distance physicians from relational engagement (Verghese, 2008).

Despite these challenges, some healthcare organizations have successfully implemented relationship-centered care models. Atrius Health's empathy training program, which incorporated vulnerability-based approaches, resulted in reduced physician burnout and improved patient satisfaction scores (Boissy et al., 2016). These findings suggest that institutional change, while challenging, is achievable with appropriate leadership commitment (Charmel & Frampton, 2008).

Evidence Base Limitations

While growing, the evidence base for surrendered medicine approaches remains limited by methodological challenges inherent in studying relational phenomena. Most research relies on self-report measures and cross-sectional designs, limiting causal inferences (Gleichgerrcht & Decety, 2013; Hojat et al., 2009). Additionally, the subjective nature of vulnerability makes standardization difficult, challenging evidence-based medicine paradigms that emphasize reproducible interventions (Newton et al., 2008).

Longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of vulnerability-based approaches are particularly lacking. Future research should employ mixed-methods designs that capture both quantitative outcomes and qualitative experiences of physicians and patients engaged in surrendered healing relationships (Davis, 1983).

Patient Outcome Studies

Multiple studies demonstrate positive correlations between physician empathy, patient satisfaction, and clinical outcomes (Hojat et al., 2011; Duberstein et al., 2007). A systematic review by Mercer and Reynolds found consistent associations between empathic communication and improved patient adherence, reduced symptom reporting, and enhanced psychological well-being (Mercer & Reynolds, 2002).

Hojat et al. (2011) conducted a landmark study of 20,961 patients with diabetes, demonstrating that patients of physicians with higher empathy scores achieved significantly better clinical outcomes, including improved hemoglobin A1c levels and reduced complications (Hojat et al., 2011). These findings suggest that empathic engagement produces measurable physiological benefits beyond psychological comfort (Zachariae et al., 2003).

Physician Well-being Research

Research examining the relationship between empathy and burnout reveals complex interactions that support surrendered medicine approaches (Thomas et al., 2007; Bellini et al., 2002). While excessive emotional involvement can contribute to compassion fatigue, studies suggest that "clinical empathy"—characterized by cognitive understanding without emotional overwhelm—protects against burnout (Figley, 1995; Adams et al., 2006).

Shanafelt et al. (2005) found that physicians reporting higher levels of personal well-being demonstrated enhanced empathy in patient interactions, suggesting a positive feedback loop between physician self-care and therapeutic effectiveness (Shanafelt et al., 2005). These findings support surrendered medicine's emphasis on physician authenticity and self-awareness as therapeutic tools (Dunn et al., 2007).

Neuroscientific Evidence

Functional neuroimaging studies provide biological support for surrendered medicine approaches by demonstrating the neurological basis of empathic connection (Lamm et al., 2011; Shamay-Tsoory, 2011). Studies show that empathic healthcare providers exhibit distinct neural activation patterns characterized by enhanced emotional regulation and reduced stress response (Gleichgerrcht & Decety, 2014).

Research by Klimecki and Singer reveals that compassion-based meditation training, which shares principles with surrendered medicine approaches, produces measurable changes in brain structure and function associated with enhanced emotional resilience (Klimecki & Singer, 2012). These findings suggest that vulnerability-based healing approaches have objective neurobiological foundations (Singer & Klimecki, 2014).

Organizational Culture Change

Implementing surrendered medicine requires fundamental shifts in healthcare organizational culture that traditionally emphasizes efficiency, productivity, and risk avoidance (Grol & Grimshaw, 2003; Damschroder et al., 2009). Research

in organizational psychology suggests that culture change initiatives succeed when they address both structural barriers and individual beliefs (Schein & Schein, 2017).

The Cleveland Clinic's experience implementing relationship-centered care provides insights into successful organizational transformation (Cosgrove et al., 2013). Their approach combined leadership commitment, staff training, and systematic measurement of relationship quality indicators (Merlino & Raman, 2013). Evaluation studies demonstrate sustained improvements in patient satisfaction and employee engagement (Boissy et al., 2016).

Effective implementation requires comprehensive training programs that address both cognitive understanding and experiential learning (Levinson & Roter, 1995; Stein et al., 2005). Studies suggest that brief educational interventions are insufficient for developing the complex skills required for surrendered medicine practice (Fallowfield et al., 2002).

The Schwartz Center for Compassionate Healthcare offers evidence-based models for developing empathy and vulnerability-based communication skills (Lown et al., 2011). Their programs combine didactic education with experiential learning, mentoring, and ongoing support systems (Goodman & Schorling, 2012). Research demonstrates significant improvements in healthcare provider confidence and patient care quality (Beach et al., 2007).

Assessing the effectiveness of surrendered medicine approaches requires measurement tools that capture relational dimensions of care (Mercer et al., 2004; Jefferson Scale of Empathy [JSE], 2024). Traditional clinical metrics may inadequately reflect the benefits of vulnerability-based healing, necessitating expanded evaluation frameworks (Hojat et al., 2001).

The CARE Measure (Consultation and Relational Empathy) provides a validated tool for assessing empathic communication in clinical encounters (Bikker et al., 2005). Studies demonstrate strong correlations between CARE scores and patient satisfaction, treatment adherence, and clinical outcomes (Mercer & Hatch, 2011). Similar instruments are needed to evaluate vulnerability-based therapeutic approaches (Price et al., 2006).

Future Directions

Longitudinal Outcome Studies

Long-term prospective studies are needed to establish causal relationships between surrendered medicine approaches and patient outcomes (Hojat et al., 2009; Newton et al., 2008). Research should examine both clinical endpoints and quality-of-life measures across diverse patient populations and clinical settings (Davis, 1983).

Particular attention should focus on vulnerable patient populations, including those with chronic illness, mental health conditions, and limited social support (Anderson & Dedrick, 1990; Hall et al., 2002). These groups may derive

particular benefit from vulnerability-based healing approaches but remain understudied in current research (Kao et al., 1998).

Technology Integration

The integration of electronic health records and telemedicine creates both opportunities and challenges for surrendered medicine implementation (Reed et al., 2014; Mann et al., 2009). Research is needed to determine how vulnerability-based approaches translate to digital healthcare environments (Car & Sheikh, 2004).

Studies examining physician-patient relationships in virtual care settings suggest that empathic communication remains possible but requires modified approaches (Reed et al., 2020; Mehrotra et al., 2013). Future research should explore how surrendered medicine principles can enhance rather than compromise technological healthcare delivery (Baumgart, 2020).

Global and Cultural Considerations

Most research on physician-patient relationships derives from Western healthcare contexts, limiting generalizability to diverse cultural settings (Betancourt et al., 2003; Sue & Sue, 2016). Studies examining vulnerability-based healing approaches across different cultural contexts are essential for global implementation (Kleinman et al., 1978).

Cross-cultural research should examine how concepts of physician authority, patient autonomy, and therapeutic relationships vary across different societies (Kagawa-Singer & Kassim-Lakha, 2003; Like et al., 1996). This research will inform culturally sensitive adaptations of surrendered medicine approaches (Cross et al., 1989).

Conclusion

The integration of surrendered vulnerability into medical practice represents a paradigm shift from control-based to relationship-based healing that addresses fundamental challenges in contemporary healthcare. Evidence demonstrates that authentic physician vulnerability, when consciously applied within appropriate professional boundaries, enhances therapeutic relationships, reduces clinician burnout, and improves patient outcomes.

The three pillars of surrendered medicine—acknowledging professional limitations, recognizing healing forces beyond medical intervention, and maintaining therapeutic presence without attachment to specific outcomes—provide a framework for transforming the vulnerable space between physician and patient into a source of healing power rather than professional weakness.

While implementation faces significant challenges including organizational barriers, training requirements, and cultural resistance, successful examples demonstrate the feasibility of integrating these approaches into clinical practice. Medical education curricula increasingly recognize the need for relationship-centered training that balances technical competence with empathic engagement.

Future research should focus on longitudinal outcome studies, cultural adaptations, and technology integration to establish the evidence base for surrendered medicine approaches. As healthcare continues evolving toward patient-centered models, the conscious integration of physician vulnerability offers a path toward more authentic, effective, and sustainable healing relationships.

The vulnerable space between physician and patient, rather than being a professional liability, becomes the very ground of healing when approached with surrender, presence, and skillful compassion. This transformation requires courage—the courage to be real with one another in the face of uncertainty, suffering, and mortality. Yet it is precisely this courage that medicine, at its deepest level, has always required and that patients, in their vulnerability, have always deserved.

References

- Ha, J. F., & Longnecker, N. (2010). Doctor-patient communication: a review. *Ochsner J*, 10(1), 38-43. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21603354/>
- Stewart, M., Brown, J. B., Wayne Weston W., Ryan, B. L., & McWilliam, C. L. (2014). Patient-centered medicine: transforming the clinical method. (3rd edition). Boca Raton: CRC Press. <https://www.amazon.in/Patient-Centered-Medicine-Transforming-Clinical-Method/dp/1138447277#>
- Little, P., Everitt, H., Williamson, I., Warner, G., Moore, M., Gould, C., Ferrier, K., & Payne, S. (2001). Observational study of effect of patient centredness and positive approach on outcomes of general practice consultations. *BMJ*, 323(7318), 908-11. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.323.7318.908
- Haskard Zolnieriek, K. B., & DiMatteo, M. R. (2009). Physician communication and patient adherence to treatment: a meta-analysis. *Med Care*, 47(8), 826-34. DOI: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819a5acc
- Levinson, W., Roter, D. L., Mullooly, J. P., Dull, V. T., & Frankel, R. M. (1997). Physician-patient communication. The relationship with malpractice claims among primary care physicians and surgeons. *JAMA*, 277(7), 553-9. DOI: 10.1001/jama.277.7.553
- Beach, M. C., Keruly, J., & Moore, R. D. (2006). Is the quality of the patient-provider relationship associated with better adherence and health outcomes for patients with HIV? *J Gen Intern Med*, 21(6), 661-5. DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2006.00399.x
- Ungar-Sargon, J. (2024). The military model of medicine: examining the historical development and proposing an alternative healing paradigm for the 21st century. *Am J Med Clin Sci*, 9(5), 1-9.
- Gawande, A. (2014). Being mortal: medicine and what matters in the end. New York: Metropolitan Books. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/20696006-being-mortal>
- Shanafelt, T. D., Hasan, O., Dyrbye, L. N., Sinsky, C., Satele, D., Sloan, J., & West, C. P. (2015). Changes in burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among physicians and comparison with the US population between 2011 and 2014. *Mayo Clin Proc*, 90(12), 1600-13. DOI: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2015.08.023
- Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 15(2), 103-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311>
- Shapiro R. M. (2019). Surrendered—The Sacred Art: Shattering the Illusion of Control and Falling into Grace with Twelve-Step Spirituality. Woodstock: SkyLight Paths Publishing. <https://www.goodreads.com/book/show/39285808-surrendered-the-sacred-art>
- Hall, M. A., Dugan, E., Zheng, B., & Mishra, A. K. (2001). Trust in physicians and medical institutions: what is it, can it be measured, and does it matter? *Milbank Q*, 79(4), 613-39. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.00223>
- Beresford, E. B. (1991). Uncertainty and the shaping of medical decisions. *Hastings Cent Rep*, 21(4), 6-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/3562993>
- Mishel, M. H. (1988). Uncertainty in illness. *Image J Nurs Sch*, 20(4), 225-32. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1547-5069.1988.tb00082.x>
- Charles, C., Gafni, A., & Whelan, T. (1997). Shared decision-making in the medical encounter: what does it mean? (or it takes at least two to tango). *Soc Sci Med*, 44(5), 681-92. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536\(96\)00221-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0277-9536(96)00221-3)
- Malterud, K., Fredriksen, L., & Gjerde, M. H. (2009). When doctors experience their vulnerability as beneficial for the patients: a focus-group study from general practice. *Scand J Prim Health Care*, 27(2), 67-73. DOI: 10.1080/02813430802661811
- Brown, B. (2012). DARING GREATLY: How the Courage to Be Vulnerable Transforms the Way We Live, Love, Parent, and Lead. New York: Gotham Books. <https://site.ieee.org/sb-nhce/files/2021/06/Brene-brown-book1.pdf>
- Beach, M. C., Duggan, P. S., & Moore, R. D. (2007). Is patients' preferred involvement in health decisions related to outcomes for patients with HIV? *J Gen Intern Med*, 22(8), 1119-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-007-0241-1>
- McDaniel, S. H., Beckman, H. B., Morse, D. S., Silberman, J., Seaburn, D. B., & Epstein R. M. (2007). Physician self-disclosure in primary care visits: enough about you, what about me? *Arch Intern Med*, 167(12), 1321-6. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.167.12.1321
- Koenig, H. G. (2012). Religion, spirituality, and health: the research and clinical implications. *ISRN Psychiatry*, 2012, 278730. DOI: 10.5402/2012/278730
- Chernoff, R. G. (2002). Spiritual care: a phenomenological study of critical care nurses. *Heart Lung*, 33(6), 252-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2004.06.004

22. Hojat, M., Louis, D. Z., Markham, F. W., Wender, R., Rabinowitz, C., & Gonnella, J. S. (2011). Physicians' empathy and clinical outcomes for diabetic patients. *Acad Med*, 86(3), 359-64.
DOI: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e3182086fe1
23. Duberstein, P., Meldrum, S., Fiscella, K., Shields, C. G., & Epstein, R. M. (2007). Influences on patients' ratings of physicians: physicians demographics and personality. *Patient Educ Couns*, 65(2), 270-4.
DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2006.09.007
24. Rakel, D., Barrett, B., Zhang, Z., Hoeft, T., Chewning, B., Marchand, L., & Scheder J. (2011). Perception of empathy in the therapeutic encounter: effects on the common cold. *Patient Educ Couns*, 85(3), 390-7.
DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2011.01.009
25. Hojat, M., Mangione, S., Nasca, T. J., Cohen, M. J. M., Gonnella, J. S., Erdmann, J. B., Veloski, J., & Magee, M. (2001). The Jefferson Scale of Empathy: development and preliminary psychometric data. *Educ Psychol Meas*, 61(2), 349-65.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00131640121971158>
26. Ritter, P., Kocha-Volgy, C., & Rocha E. (2011). Empathy in healthcare professionals towards patients: a review of neural mechanisms. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*, 35(4), 796-807.
27. Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev*, 3(2), 71-100.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534582304267187>
28. Cassell, E. J. (1982). The nature of suffering and the goals of medicine. *N Engl J Med*, 306(11), 639-45.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJM198203183061104
29. Temel, J. S., Greer, J. A., Muzikansky, A., Gallagher, E. R., Admane, S., Jackson, V. A., Dahlin, C. M., Blinderman, C. D., Jacobsen, J., Pirl, W. F., Billings, J. A., & Lynch, T. J. (2010). Early palliative care for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *N Engl J Med*, 363(8), 733-42.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1000678
30. Wright, A. A., Zhang, B., Ray, A., Mack, J. W., Trice, E., Balboni, T., Mitchell, S. L., Jackson, V. A., Block, S. D., Maciejewski, P. K., & Prigerson, H. G. (2008). Associations between end-of-life discussions, patient mental health, medical care near death, and caregiver bereavement adjustment. *JAMA*, 300(14), 1665-73.
DOI: 10.1001/jama.300.14.1665
31. Ferrell, B. R., Coyle, N., & Paice, J. (2015). Textbook of palliative nursing. (4th edition). New York: Oxford University Press. <https://academic.oup.com/book/35532>
32. Institute of Medicine. (2001). Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington: National Academy Press. <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/10027/crossing-the-quality-chasm-a-new-health-system-for-the>
33. Mead, N., & Bower, P. (2000). Patient-centredness: a conceptual framework and review of the empirical literature. *Soc Sci Med*, 51(7), 1087-110.
DOI: 10.1016/S0277-9536(00)00098-8
34. Robinson, J. H., Callister, L. C., Berry, J. A., & Dearing, K. A. (2008). Patient-centered care and adherence: definitions and applications to improve outcomes. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*, 20(12), 600-7.
DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-7599.2008.00360.x
35. Association of American Medical Colleges. (1998). Learning objectives for medical student education: guidelines for medical schools. Washington: AAMC. https://store.aamc.org/downloadable/download/sample/sample_id/144/
36. Shapiro, J. (2002). Walking a mile in their patients' shoes: empathy and othering in medical students' education. *Philos Ethics Humanit Med*, 3, 10.
DOI: 10.1186/1747-5341-3-10
37. Charon R. (2006). Narrative medicine: honoring the stories of illness. New York: Oxford University Press. <https://academic.oup.com/book/50891>
38. Remen, R. N. (1996). Kitchen table wisdom: stories that heal. New York: Riverhead Books. https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Kitchen_Table_Wisdom.html?id=s3FHAAAAMAAJ&redir_esc=y
39. Rabow, M. W., Wrubel, J., & Remen, R. N. (2009). Promise of professionalism: personal mission statements among a national cohort of medical students. *Ann Fam Med*, 7(4), 336-42. DOI: 10.1370/afm.979
40. Shapiro, J., Morrison, E., & Boker, J. (2004). Teaching empathy to first year medical students: evaluation of an elective literature and medicine course. *Educ Health (Abingdon)*, 17(1), 73-84.
DOI: 10.1080/13576280310001656196
41. Balint, M. (1964). The doctor, his patient and the illness. 2nd ed. London: Pitman Medical.
42. Elder, A., & Samuel, O. (1987). While I'm here, doctor: a study of change in the doctor-patient relationship. London: Tavistock Publications.
43. Kjeldmand, D., & Holmström, I. (2008). Balint groups as a means to increase job satisfaction and prevent burnout among general practitioners. *Ann Fam Med*, 6(2), 138-45.
DOI: 10.1370/afm.813
44. Tongue, J. R., Epps, H. R., & Forese, L. L. (2005). Communication skills for patient-centered care. Research-based, easily learned techniques for medical interviews that benefit orthopaedic surgeons and their patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, 87(3), 652-8.
45. Levinson, W., & Roter, D. L. (1995). Physicians' psychosocial beliefs correlate with their patient communication skills. *J Gen Intern Med*, 10(7), 375-9.
DOI: 10.1007/BF02599834
46. Beach, M. C., Duggan, P. S., Cassel, C. K., & Geller, G. (2007). What does 'respect' mean? Exploring the moral obligation of health professionals to respect patients. *J Gen Intern Med*, 22(5), 692-5.
DOI: 10.1007/s11606-006-0054-7
47. Marvel, M. K., Epstein, R. M., Flowers, K., & Beckman, H. B. (1999). Soliciting the patient's agenda: have we improved? *JAMA*, 281(3), 283-7.
DOI: 10.1001/jama.281.3.283

48. Roter, D., & Larson, S. (2002). The Roter interaction analysis system (RIAS): utility and flexibility for analysis of medical interactions. *Patient Educ Couns*, 46(4), 243-51. DOI: 10.1016/s0738-3991(02)00012-5
49. Kabat-Zinn, J. (1994). *Wherever you go, there you are: mindfulness meditation in everyday life*. New York: Hyperion.
50. Krasner, M. S., Epstein, R. M., Beckman, H., Suchman, A. L., Chapman, B., Mooney, C. J., & Quill, T. E. (2009). Association of an educational program in mindful communication with burnout, empathy, and attitudes among primary care physicians. *JAMA*, 302(12), 1284-93. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.1384
51. Goyal, M., Singh, S., Sibinga, E. M., Gould, N. F., Rowland-Seymour, A., Sharma, R., Berger, Z., Sleicher, D., Maron, D. D., Shihab, H. M., Ranasinghe, P. D., Linn, S., Saha, S., Bass, E. B., & Haythornthwaite, J. A. (2014). Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*, 174(3), 357-68. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.13018
52. Lomas, T., Medina, J. C., Ivtzan, I., & Rupprecht, S. (2017). The impact of mindfulness on well-being and performance in the workplace: an inclusive systematic review of the empirical literature. *Eur J Work Organ Psychol*, 26(4), 492-513. DOI:10.1080/1359432X.2017.1308924
53. Epstein, R. M. (1999). Mindful practice. *JAMA*, 282(9), 833-9. DOI: 10.1001/jama.282.9.833
54. Krasner, M. S., Epstein, R. M., Beckman, H., Suchman, A. L., Chapman, B., Mooney, C. J., & Quill, T. E. (2009). Association of an educational program in mindful communication with burnout, empathy, and attitudes among primary care physicians. *JAMA*, 302(12), 1284-93. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.1384
55. Fortney, L., Luchterhand, C., Zakletskaia, L., Zgierska, A., & Rakel, D. (2013) Abbreviated mindfulness intervention for job satisfaction, quality of life, and compassion in primary care clinicians: a pilot study. *Ann Fam Med*, 11(5), 412-20. DOI: 10.1370/afm.1511
56. Wagner, E. H., Austin, B. T., & Von Korff, M. (1996). Organizing care for patients with chronic illness. *Milbank Q*, 74(4), 511-44. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8941260/>
57. Bodenheimer, T., Wagner, E. H., & Grumbach, K. (2002). Improving primary care for patients with chronic illness: the chronic care model, Part 2. *JAMA*, 288(15), 1909-14. DOI: 10.1001/jama.288.15.1909
58. Kim SS, Kaplowitz S, Johnston MV. (2004). The effects of physician empathy on patient satisfaction and compliance. *Eval Health Prof*, 27(3), 237-51. DOI: 10.1177/0163278704267037
59. Coleman, K., Austin, B. T., Brach, C., & Wagner, E. H. (2009). Evidence on the chronic care model in the new millennium. *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 28(1), 75-85. DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.75
60. Glasgow, R. E., Orleans, C. T., Wagner, E. H. (2001). Does the chronic care model serve also as a template for improving prevention? *Milbank Q*, 79(4), 579-612. DOI: 10.1111/1468-0009.00222
61. Tsai, A. C., Morton, S. C., Mangione, C. M., & Keeler, E. B. (2005). A meta-analysis of interventions to improve care for chronic illnesses. *Am J Manag Care*, 11(8), 478-88. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16095434/>
62. Lynn, J., & Adamson, D. M. (2003). *Living well at the end of life. Adapting health care to serious chronic illness in old age*. Washington: RAND Health.
63. Field, M. J., & Cassel, C. K. (1997). *Approaching death: improving care at the end of life*. Washington: National Academy Press. <https://nap.nationalacademies.org/read/5801/chapter/1#ii>
64. Zhang, B., Wright, A. A., Huskamp, H. A., Nilsson, M. E., Maciejewski, M. L., Earle, C. C., Block, S. D., Maciejewski, P. K., & Prigerson, H. G. (2009). Health care costs in the last week of life: associations with end-of-life conversations. *Arch Intern Med*, 169(5), 480-8. DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2008.587
65. Wright, A. A., Zhang, B., Ray, A., Mack, J. W., Trice, E., Balboni, T., Mitchell, S. L., Jackson, V. A., Block, S. D., Maciejewski, P. K., & Prigerson H. G. (2008). Associations between end-of-life discussions, patient mental health, medical care near death, and caregiver bereavement adjustment. *JAMA*, 300(14), 1665-73. DOI: 10.1001/jama.300.14.1665
66. Bernacki, R. E., Block, S. D., American College of Physicians High Value Care Task Force. (2014). Communication about serious illness care goals: a review and synthesis of best practices. *JAMA Intern Med*, 174(12), 1994-2003. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.5271
67. Detering, K. M., Hancock, A. D., Reade, M. C., & Silvester, W. (2010). The impact of advance care planning on end of life care in elderly patients: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*, 340, c1345. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.c1345
68. Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. (2001). The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*, 16(9), 606-13. DOI: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x
69. Gilbody, S., Bower, P., Fletcher, J., Richards, D., & Sutton, A. J. (2006). Collaborative care for depression: a cumulative meta-analysis and review of longer-term outcomes. *Arch Intern Med*, 166(21), 2314-21. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.166.21.2314
70. Katon, W., Von Korff, M., Lin, E., Walker, E., Simon, G. E., Bush, T., Robinson, P., & Russo, J. (1995). Collaborative management to achieve treatment guidelines. Impact on depression in primary care. *JAMA*, 273(13), 1026-31. DOI:10.1001/jama.273.13.1026
71. Unützer, J., Katon, W., Callahan, C. M., Williams, J. W. Jr., Hunkeler, E., Harpole, L., Hoffing, M., Della Penna, R. D., Noël, P. H., Lin, E. H., Areán, P. A., Hegel, M. T., Tang, L., Belin, T. R., Oishi, S., & Langston, C. (2002). IMPACT Investigators. Improving Mood-Promoting Access to Collaborative Treatment. Collaborative care management of late-life depression in the primary care setting: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 288(22), 2836-45. DOI: 10.1001/jama.288.22.2836

72. Gutheil, T. G., & Gabbard, G. O. (1993). The concept of boundaries in clinical practice: theoretical and risk-management dimensions. *Am J Psychiatry*, 150(2), 188-96. DOI: 10.1176/ajp.150.2.188
73. Epstein, R. S., & Simon, R. I. (1990). The exploitation index: an early warning indicator of boundary violations in psychotherapy. *Bull Menninger Clin*, 54(4), 450-65. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2268752/>
74. Pope, K. S., Vasquez, M. J. T., Chavez-Dueñas, N. Y., & Adames, H. Y. (2008). *Ethics in psychotherapy and counseling: a practical guide*. (4th edition). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass. <https://dokumen.pub/ethics-in-psychotherapy-and-counseling-a-practical-guide-1119804299-9781119804291.html>
75. Simon, R. I. (1992). Treatment boundary violations: clinical, ethical, and legal considerations. *Bull Am Acad Psychiatry Law*, 20(3), 269-88. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1421558/>
76. Zur, O. (2007). *Boundaries in psychotherapy: ethical and clinical explorations*. Washington: American Psychological Association. <https://psycnet.apa.org/fulltext/2007-00030-000-FRM.pdf>
77. Knox, S., & Hill, C. E. (2003). Therapist self-disclosure: research-based suggestions for practitioners. *J Clin Psychol*, 59(5), 529-39. DOI: 10.1002/jclp.10157
78. Dugdale, D. C., Epstein, R., & Pantilat, S. Z. (1999). Time and the patient-physician relationship. *J Gen Intern Med*, 14 Suppl 1(Suppl 1), S34-40. DOI: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.1999.00263.x
79. Mechanic, D. (2003). Physician discontent: challenges and opportunities. *JAMA*, 290(7), 941-6. DOI: 10.1001/jama.290.7.941
80. Verghese, A. (2008). Culture shock—patient as icon, icon as patient. *N Engl J Med*, 359(26), 2748-51. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp0807461
81. Boissy, A., Windover, A. K., Bokar, D., Karafa, M., Neuendorf, K., Frankel, R. M., Merlino, J., & Rothberg, M. B. (2016). Communication skills training for physicians improves patient satisfaction. *J Gen Intern Med*, 31(7), 755-61. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-016-3597-2
82. Charmel, P. A., & Frampton, S. B. (2008). Building the business case for patient-centered care. *Healthc Financ Manage*, 62(3), 80-5. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19097611/>
83. Gleichgerricht, E., & Decety, J. (2013). Empathy in clinical practice: how individual dispositions, gender, and experience moderate empathetic concern, burnout, and emotional distress in physicians. *PLoS One*, 8(4), e61526. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061526
84. Hojat, M., Vergare, M. J., Maxwell, K., (2009). The devil is in the third year: a longitudinal study of erosion of empathy in medical school. *Acad Med*, 84(9), 1182-91. DOI:10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181b17e55
85. Newton, B. W., Barber, L., Clardy, J., Cleveland, E., & O'Sullivan, P. (2008). Is there hardening of the heart during medical school? A longitudinal study of the development of empathy in medical students. *Acad Med*, 83(3), 244-9. DOI: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181637837
86. Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: evidence for a multidimensional approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 44(1), 113-26. DOI:10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.113
87. Duberstein, P., Meldrum, S., Fiscella, K., Shields, C. G., & Epstein, R. M. (2007). Influences on patients' ratings of physicians: physicians demographics and personality. *Patient Educ Couns*, 65(2), 270-4. DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2006.09.007
88. Mercer, S. W., & Reynolds, W. J. (2002). Empathy and quality of care. *Br J Gen Pract*, 52 Suppl (Suppl), S9-12. DOI:10.1007/0-387-33608-7
89. Zachariae, R., Pedersen, C. G., Jensen, A. B., Ehrnrooth, E., Rossen, P. B., & von der Maase, H. (2003). Association of perceived physician communication style with patient satisfaction, distress, cancer-related self-efficacy, and perceived control over the disease. *Br J Cancer*, 88(5), 658-65. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6600798
90. Thomas, M. R., Dyrbye, L. N., Huntington, J. L., Lawson, K. L., Novotny, P. J., Sloan, J. A., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2007). How do distress and well-being relate to medical student empathy? A multicenter study. *J Gen Intern Med*, 22(2), 177-83. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-006-0039-6
91. Bellini, L. M., Baime, M., & Shea, J. A. (2002). Variation of mood and empathy during internship. *JAMA*, 287(23), 3143-6. DOI: 10.1001/jama.287.23.3143
92. Figley, C. R. (1995). Compassion Fatigue: Toward a New Understanding of the Costs of Caring. In B. H. Stamm (Ed.), *Secondary Traumatic Stress: Self-Care Issues for Clinicians, Researchers, and Educators* (pp. 3-28). Lutherville, MD: Sidran. <https://www.suicideinfo.ca/resource/siecno-20020923/>
93. Adams, R. E., Boscarino, J. A., & Figley, C. R. (2006). Compassion fatigue and psychological distress among social workers: a validation study. *Am J Orthopsychiatry*, 76(1), 103-8.
94. Shanafelt, T. D., West, C., Zhao, X., Novotny, P., Kolars, J., Habermann, T., & Sloan, J. (2005). Relationship between increased personal well-being and enhanced empathy among internal medicine residents. *J Gen Intern Med*, 20(7), 559-64. DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.0108.x
95. Dunn, P. M., Arnetz, B. B., Christensen, J. F., & Homer, L. (2007). Meeting the imperative to improve physician well-being: assessment of an innovative program. *J Gen Intern Med*, 22(11), 1544-52. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-007-0363-5
96. Lamm, C., Decety, J., & Singer, T. (2011). Meta-analytic evidence for common and distinct neural networks associated with directly experienced pain and empathy for pain. *Neuroimage*, 54(3), 2492-502. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.014
97. Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. (2011). The neural bases for empathy. *Neuroscientist*, 17(1), 18-24. DOI: 10.1177/1073858410379268
98. Gleichgerricht, E., & Decety, J. (2014). The relationship between different facets of empathy, pain perception and compassion fatigue among physicians. *Front Behav Neurosci*, 8, 243. DOI: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00243

99. Klimecki, O., & Singer, T. (2012). Empathic distress fatigue rather than compassion fatigue? Integrating findings from empathy research in psychology and social neuroscience. In B. Oakley, A. Knafo, G. Madhavan, & D. S. Wilson (Eds.), *Pathological altruism* (pp. 368–383). Oxford University Press.
<https://awspntest.apa.org/record/2012-04471-028>
100. Singer, T., & Klimecki, O. M. (2014). Empathy and compassion. *Curr Biol*, 24(18), R875-8.
DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.06.054
101. Grol, R., & Grimshaw, J. (2003). From best evidence to best practice: effective implementation of change in patients' care. *Lancet*, 362(9391), 1225-30.
DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14546-1
102. Damschroder, L. J., Aron, D. C., Keith, R. E., Kirsh, S. R., Alexander, J. A., & Lowery, J. C. (2009). Fostering implementation of health services research findings into practice: a consolidated framework for advancing implementation science. *Implement Sci*, 4, 50.
DOI: 10.1186/1748-5908-4-50
103. Schein, E. H., & Schein, P. (2017). *Organizational culture and leadership*. (5th edition). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
104. Cosgrove, D. M., Fisher, M., Gabow, P., Gottlieb, G., Halvorson, G. C., James, B. C., Kaplan, G. S., Perlin, J. B., Petzel, R., Steele, G. D., & Toussaint, J. S. (2013). Ten strategies to lower costs, improve quality, and engage patients: the view from leading health system CEOs. *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 32(2), 321-7.
DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1074
105. Merlino JJ, Raman A. Understanding the drivers of employee engagement. In: *The Cleveland Clinic way: lessons in excellence from one of the world's leading health care organizations*. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2013.
106. Boissy, A., Windover, A. K., Bokar, D., Karafa, M., Neuendorf, K., Frankel, R. M., Merlino, J., & Rothberg, M. B. (2016). Communication skills training for physicians improves patient satisfaction. *J Gen Intern Med*, 31(7), 755-61. DOI: 10.1007/s11606-016-3597-2
107. Levinson, W., & Roter, D. L. (1995). Physicians' psychosocial beliefs correlate with their patient communication skills. *J Gen Intern Med*, 10(7), 375-9.
DOI: 10.1007/BF02599834
108. Stein, T., Frankel, R. M., & Krupat, E. (2005). Enhancing clinician communication skills in a large healthcare organization: a longitudinal case study. *Patient Educ Couns*, 58(1), 4-12. DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2005.01.014
109. Fallowfield, L., Jenkins, V., Farewell, V., Saul, J., Duffy, A., & Eves, R. (2002). Efficacy of a Cancer Research UK communication skills training model for oncologists: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, 359(9307), 650-6.
DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(02)07810-8
110. Lown, B. A., Rosen, J., & Marttila, J. (2011). An agenda for improving compassionate care: a survey shows about half of patients say such care is missing. *Health Aff (Millwood)*, 30(9), 1772-8. DOI: 10.1377/hlthaff.2011.0539
111. Goodman, M. J., & Schorling, J. B. (2012). A mindfulness course decreases burnout and improves well-being among healthcare providers. *Int J Psychiatry Med*, 43(2), 119-28.
DOI: 10.2190/PM.43.2.b
112. Mercer, S. W., Maxwell, M., Heaney, D., & Watt, G. C. (2004). The consultation and relational empathy (CARE) measure: development and preliminary validation and reliability of an empathy-based consultation process measure. *Fam Pract*, 21(6), 699-705.
DOI: 10.1093/fampra/cmh621
113. Jefferson Scale of Empathy (JSE). (2024). <https://www.jefferson.edu/academics/colleges-schools-institutes/skmc/research/research-medical-education/jefferson-scale-of-empathy.html>
114. Bikker, A. P., Mercer, S. W., & Reilly, D. (2005). A pilot prospective study on the consultation and relational empathy, patient enablement, and health changes over 12 months in patients going to the Glasgow Homoeopathic Hospital. *J Altern Complement Med*, 11(4), 591-600.
DOI: 10.1089/acm.2005.11.591
115. Mercer, S. W., & Hatch, D. J. (2011). Socioeconomic circumstances and psychosocial difficulties of patients attending their general practitioner: the medical interview and health outcome. *Ann Fam Med*, 9(3), 205-12.
116. Price, S., Mercer, S. W., & MacPherson, H. (2006). Practitioner empathy, patient enablement and health outcomes: a prospective study of acupuncture patients. *Patient Educ Couns*, 63(1-2), 239-45.
DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2005.11.006
117. Newton, B. W., Barber, L., Clardy, J., Cleveland, E., & O'Sullivan, P. (2008). Is there hardening of the heart during medical school? A longitudinal study of the development of empathy in medical students. *Acad Med*, 83(3), 244-9.
DOI: 10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181637837
118. Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: evidence for a multidimensional approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 44(1), 113-26.
DOI: 10.1037/0022-3514.44.1.113
119. Anderson, L. A., & Dedrick, R. F. (1990). Development of the Trust in Physician Scale: a measure to assess interpersonal trust in patient-physician relationships. *Psychol Rep*, 67(3 Pt 2), 1091-100.
DOI: 10.2466/pr0.1990.67.3f.1091
120. Hall, M. A., Zheng, B., Dugan, E., Camacho, F., Kidd, K. E., Mishra, A., & Balkrishnan, R. (2002). Measuring patients' trust in their primary care providers. *Med Care Res Rev*, 59(3), 293-318. DOI: 10.1177/1077558702059003004
121. Kao, A. C., Green, D. C., Zaslavsky, A. M., Koplan, J. P., & Cleary, P. D. (1998). The relationship between method of physician payment and patient trust. *JAMA*, 280(19), 1708-14. DOI: 10.1001/jama.280.19.1708
122. Reed, S., Shell, R., Kassis, K., Tartaglia, K., Wallihan, R., Smith, K., Hurtubise, L., Martin, B., Ledford, C., Bradbury, S., Bernstein, H. H., & Mahan, J. D. (2014). Applying adult learning practices in medical education. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*, 44(6), 170-81.
DOI: 10.1016/j.cppeds.2014.01.008
123. Mann, K., Gordon, J., & MacLeod, A. (2009). Reflection and reflective practice in health professions education: a systematic review. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*, 14(4), 595-621. DOI: 10.1007/s10459-007-9090-2

124. Car, J., & Sheikh, A. (2004). E-health in the future of patients and healthcare. *Future Healthc J*, 1(2), 5-8.
125. Reed, M. E., Huang, J., Graetz, I., Lee, C., Muelly, E., Kennedy, C., & Kim, E. (2020). Patient characteristics associated with choosing a telemedicine visit vs office visit with the same primary care clinicians. *JAMA Netw Open*, 3(6), e205873. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.5873>
126. Mehrotra, A., Paone, S., Martich, G. D., Albert, S. M., & Shevchik, G. J. (2013). Characteristics of patients who seek care via eVisits instead of office visits. *Telemed J E Health*, 19(7), 515-9. DOI: 10.1089/tmj.2012.0221
127. Baumgart, D. C. (2020). Digital advantage in the COVID-19 response: perspective from Canada's largest integrated digitally enabled healthcare system. *NPJ Digit Med*, 3, 114. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-020-00326-y
128. Betancourt, J. R., Green, A. R., Carrillo, J. E., & Ananeh-Firempong O 2nd. (2003). Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public Health Rep*, 118(4), 293-302. DOI: 10.1093/phr/118.4.293
129. Sue, D. W., & Sue, D. (2016). Counseling the culturally diverse: theory and practice. (7th edition). Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
130. Kleinman, A., Eisenberg, L., & Good, B. (1978). Culture, illness, and care: clinical lessons from anthropologic and cross-cultural research. *Ann Intern Med*, 88(2), 251-8. DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-88-2-251
131. Kagawa-Singer, M., & Kassim-Lakha, S. (2003). A strategy to reduce cross-cultural miscommunication and increase the likelihood of improving health outcomes. *Acad Med*, 78(6), 577-87. DOI: 10.1097/00001888-200306000-00006
132. Like, R. C., Steiner, R. P., & Rubel, A. J. (1996). STFM Core Curriculum Guidelines. Recommended core curriculum guidelines on culturally sensitive and competent health care. *Fam Med*, 28(4), 291-7. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8728526/>
133. Cross, T., Bazron B. J., Dennis, K. W., & Isaacs, M. R. (1989). Towards a culturally competent system of care. Washington: Georgetown University Child Development Center. <https://spu.edu/-/media/academics/school-of-education/Cultural-Diversity/Towards-a-Culturally-Competent-System-of-Care-Abridged.ashx>

Copyright: ©2025 Julian Ungar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.