

## Reimagining Trauma-Informed Healthcare : How Recent Neuroscience Research Validates Network-Based Healing Approaches

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD

Borra College of Health Sciences, Dominican University IL,  
USA.

### \*Corresponding Author

Julian Ungar-Sargon MD PhD,  
Borra College of Health Sciences,  
Dominican University IL,  
USA.

Submitted : 29 Aug 2025 ; Published : 12 Sept 2025

**Citation:** Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Reimagining Trauma-Informed Healthcare: How Recent Neuroscience Research Validates Network-Based Healing Approaches. *J Psychol Neurosci; Special Issue*:1-10. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.47485/2693-2490.1128>



### Abstract

**Background:** Recent neuroscience research (2024-2025) reveals fundamental insights about trauma, resilience, and recovery that challenge traditional healthcare models. Simultaneously, healthcare reform movements advocate for network-based approaches emphasizing distributed agency and patient autonomy.

**Purpose:** This essay examines the convergence between cutting-edge trauma neuroscience and Actor-Network Theory (ANT) framework for healthcare transformation, demonstrating how scientific discoveries provide biological validation for systemic reform.

**Key Findings:** The world's largest childhood trauma study (580+ children) shows that brain changes from trauma are more reversible than previously thought, with resilience representing the statistical norm rather than the exception. Neuroplasticity research confirms that healing emerges through distributed neural networks rather than centralized control. Revolutionary discoveries include:

1. witnessing trauma creates distinct molecular signatures from direct experience, requiring different treatment approaches;
2. childhood trauma rewires the brain through neuroinflammation, but structural changes are largely reversible;
3. breakthrough drug KDS2010 targets astrocytic GABA imbalances in PTSD; and
4. meaning-making processes activate specific neural circuits (ventromedial prefrontal cortex) crucial for resilience.

**Integration with Healthcare Theory:** These neuroscience findings provide compelling biological validation for Ungar-Sargon's critique of hierarchical medical models and his advocacy for distributed healing networks. The research supports his arguments that: authentic healing emerges through "dynamic associations between diverse actors physicians, patients, technologies, protocols, and physical spaces"; healthcare environments function as "active participants in the healing process"; and the "sacred-profane dialectic inherent in therapeutic encounters" reflects neurobiologically essential meaning-making processes.

**Implications:** The convergence suggests current healthcare systems may be structurally misaligned with neurobiological realities of recovery. Implementation of network-based, resilience-focused care faces institutional resistance but gains urgency from neuroscience evidence that patient agency directly impacts neural recovery circuits.

**Conclusion:** The neuroscience of trauma and resilience demands radical reimagining of healthcare systems that honor distributed healing networks, support patient autonomy as therapeutic intervention, and integrate meaning making as neurobiologically essential. This represents alignment of healing systems with fundamental truths about human resilience that neuroscience can now measure and map.

---

**Keywords:** Trauma Neuroscience, Neuroplasticity, Resilience, Actor-Network Theory, Healthcare Transformation, Distributed Healing Networks, Patient Autonomy, Meaning-Making, PTSD, Childhood Trauma, Medical Anthropology.

## Introduction

Something profound is happening at the intersection of neuroscience and healthcare reform. As we sift through the remarkable discoveries emerging from trauma research laboratories in 2024 and 2025, we find ourselves confronting not just new scientific facts, but a fundamental challenge to how we organize healing in our society. The neuroscience is telling us a story that many healthcare reformers have long intuited: that healing is not something done to patients by medical experts, but something that emerges through complex networks of relationship, meaning, and agency.

This convergence feels particularly striking when we consider Julian Ungar-Sargon's sustained critique of contemporary biomedical practice and his proposals for healthcare transformation through Actor-Network Theory frameworks (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). In his comparative analysis of archetypal and embodied approaches to medical practice, Ungar-Sargon argues that both approaches "challenge the mechanistic reductionism of modern medicine while proposing alternative frameworks for understanding illness, healing, and the therapeutic relationship" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). What seemed like theoretical propositions about distributed agency and the sacred dimensions of medical practice now find themselves supported by hard neuroscientific data. The brain, it turns out, heals through networks rather than hierarchies, recovers through relationship rather than intervention, and transforms through meaning-making rather than mere technical manipulation.

But let's sit with this convergence for a moment, because it raises unsettling questions that Ungar-Sargon's work has long been probing. If the neuroscience is validating what reformers have been arguing that resilience is the human norm, that healing emerges through distributed networks, that patient agency is neurobiologically crucial then what does this say about our current healthcare systems? As Ungar-Sargon argues in his application of Actor-Network Theory to healthcare, medical authority emerges not from institutional positions but through "dynamic associations between diverse actors physicians, patients, technologies, protocols, and physical spaces" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). Are we inadvertently working against the very neurobiological processes we claim to support?

## Challenging Our Trauma Narratives

The August 2025 Hidden Brain episode "The Trauma Script" presents us with findings that should fundamentally disturb anyone working in trauma-related healthcare (Hidden Brain, 2025). George Bonanno's research reveals that most people recover from trauma relatively quickly, with resilience representing not the exception we often assume, but the statistical norm. This resonates powerfully with Ungar-Sargon's critique of pathology-focused medical models that "prioritize vertical authority structures and technical interventions over holistic healing relationships" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Consider what this means for the daily practice of medicine. How often do we encounter trauma survivors and immediately begin cataloguing deficits, pathologies, expected complications? How often do our institutional protocols assume breakdown rather than recovery? Ungar-Sargon's analysis of medical authority through ANT reveals that "recognizing the distributed agency within healthcare networks reveals fundamental limitations in current biomedical models" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The research suggests we may be systematically underestimating human capacity for healing, perhaps even interfering with natural recovery processes through our well-intentioned interventions.

The episode reveals how our current trauma narratives may inadvertently harm the very people we seek to help. The myth of mandatory grief stages, for instance, lacks empirical support yet continues to shape clinical practice. This connects directly to Ungar-Sargon's work on grief in physicians, where he explores how "the medical profession's culture of emotional stoicism and the cumulative impact of unprocessed grief" create systemic barriers to authentic healing relationships (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). When people's natural, non-linear healing processes don't conform to prescribed patterns, we risk pathologizing normal variation in recovery. Even more troubling is the "resilience blind spot" the tendency for individuals in distress to systematically underestimate their capacity for recovery. If our healthcare systems reinforce this blind spot rather than countering it, we become complicit in prolonging suffering.

Perhaps most challenging is the finding about positive emotions during grief and trauma recovery. Laughter and joy during loss are not signs of denial or dysfunction they're healthy indicators of the brain's natural healing mechanisms. Yet how often do our clinical environments and professional training prepare us to recognize and support these adaptive responses? This connects to Ungar-Sargon's exploration of how healthcare environments can become "active participants in the healing process rather than passive backdrops to medical interventions" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

## The Neurobiological Reality of Recovery

The world's largest childhood trauma study, examining over 580 children through functional magnetic resonance imaging, forces us to confront how trauma actually affects developing brains (Ireton et al., 2024). Dr. Megan Klabunde's meta-analysis reveals that trauma disrupts neural networks involved in self-focus and problem-solving, but crucially, these disruptions represent adaptive responses rather than permanent damage. This aligns with Ungar-Sargon's archetypal perspective, which views symptoms not as pathologies to be eliminated but as meaningful expressions of the psyche's attempt to restore balance (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

This distinction matters more than we might initially realize. If trauma responses are adaptive rather than pathological, then our

therapeutic goal shifts from fixing broken brains to supporting natural adaptation processes. The study found that “even when a child who has experienced trauma is not thinking about their traumatic experiences, their brains are struggling to process their sensations within their bodies” (Ireton et al., 2024). This suggests that trauma affects the fundamental architecture of self-awareness and embodied experience precisely what Ungar-Sargon’s work on embodied medicine addresses when he argues for approaches that honor “the unity of body, mind, and spirit” in healing processes (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

But here’s where the neuroscience becomes truly revolutionary: these changes are far more reversible than we previously imagined. The 2025 comprehensive guide on trauma neurobiology states that “previously presumed to represent irreversible damage, neuroscientific research has begun to suggest that some structural changes to the brain caused by exposure to complex trauma are reversible” (Kaplan Therapy, 2025). This neuroplasticity validates Ungar-Sargon’s argument that healing involves “active processes that encompass both cognitive processing of traumatic experiences and neuroplastic changes supporting new perspectives and capacities” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **The Molecular Revolution in Trauma Understanding**

Recent research from Virginia Tech has revealed something that should fundamentally change how we think about trauma exposure (Barlow, 2025). Timothy Jarome’s team discovered that witnessing trauma creates distinct molecular signatures compared to directly experiencing it. This research supports Ungar-Sargon’s emphasis on the complexity of healing networks, where different actors including witnesses, caregivers, and bystanders participate in trauma responses in fundamentally different ways that require different approaches (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

The study found that “witnessing trauma triggered distinct protein degradation patterns in all three regions [amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex, and retrosplenial cortex], compared to directly experiencing trauma” and revealed “sex-specific differences in how male and female brains process indirect fear memories” (Barlow, 2025). This molecular specificity supports Ungar-Sargon’s argument that effective healthcare must recognize “the heterogeneous networks where healing emerges through translations between actors rather than top-down impositions of medical authority” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Even more intriguing is Dr. Sara Poletti’s research on childhood trauma and neuroinflammation (Poletti, 2025). Her work reveals how “early adversity can reprogram immune responses, altering lifelong mental health outcomes” through chronic neuroinflammation. This research bridges neuroscience with immunology, genetics, and social policy exactly the kind of transdisciplinary approach that Ungar-Sargon advocates when he argues for healthcare approaches that integrate “evidence-based design principles with patient-centered philosophies to create healthcare environments that enhance healing through patient autonomy” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **The Brain as Distributed Network**

Here’s where the neuroscience research begins to intersect powerfully with Ungar-Sargon’s systematic application of Actor-Network Theory to healthcare transformation. The brain doesn’t heal through centralized command and control it heals through distributed networks of interconnected regions, each contributing to recovery in dynamic relationship with others. Longitudinal neuroimaging studies reveal that trauma resilience involves multiple brain circuits working together: threat detection, salience processing, executive control, and sensory networks all participate in adaptive responses (Roekner et al., 2021).

This neurobiological reality mirrors exactly what Ungar-Sargon has been arguing about healthcare systems. In his analysis of how medical authority should emerge through “dynamic associations between diverse actors physicians, patients, technologies, protocols, and physical spaces,” he demonstrates how healing requires moving beyond hierarchical models toward distributed approaches where multiple actors participate as genuine partners (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The neuroscience validates this theoretical framework by showing that resilient brains don’t rely on a single dominant region but rather on flexible networks of collaboration.

The research shows that pre-trauma biomarkers of resilience involve “an ability to modulate activity within threat and salience networks” (Roekner et al., 2021). This suggests that resilience isn’t about avoiding activation of stress response systems, but about maintaining flexible relationships between different neural networks. Applied to healthcare, this validates Ungar-Sargon’s argument that effective trauma-informed care isn’t about eliminating stressors or controlling all variables, but about “reconceptualizing healthcare as heterogeneous networks where healing emerges through translations between actors rather than top-down impositions of medical authority” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **Challenging Medical Hierarchy Through Neural Networks**

Traditional biomedical models position physicians as central authorities directing treatment through hierarchical structures. But neuroscience reveals that healing emerges through complex interactions between multiple systems operating as co-equal participants in recovery processes. Neural networks, hormonal cascades, immune responses, environmental factors all of these participate in healing without any single system dominating others.

This biological reality provides compelling validation for Ungar-Sargon’s ANT-based healthcare reforms that challenge traditional professional hierarchies. As he argues, “recognizing the distributed agency within healthcare networks reveals fundamental limitations in current biomedical models that prioritize vertical authority structures and technical interventions over holistic healing relationships” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). If the brain itself heals through distributed networks rather than centralized control, why do we persist in organizing healthcare around centralized authority structures?



The neuroscience suggests we may be working against rather than with the fundamental biology of recovery.

Recent systematic reviews of ANT applications in healthcare reveal how “healthcare organisations are complex systems, comprising multiple stakeholders, and the existence of professional silos and functions which have varying degrees of interaction hampering the delivery of effective integrated healthcare” (Bilodeau & Potvin, 2018). This validates Ungar-Sargon’s argument that ANT provides frameworks for understanding the “underlying dynamics, interactions, interdependencies, governance processes and power dynamics of stakeholders in healthcare” that may be more aligned with how healing actually works neurobiologically (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### The Sacred-Profane Dialectic in Neural Healing

Ungar-Sargon’s integration of theological and healing perspectives finds unexpected support in neuroscience research on meaning-making and resilience. In his synthesis of theological and healing essays, he develops “an integrative framework for understanding the sacred dimensions of medical practice,” arguing that “authentic healing emerges from recognizing the sacred-profane dialectic inherent in therapeutic encounters” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). Studies consistently show that meaning-making processes activate specific neural circuits crucial for trauma recovery, particularly the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Norbury et al., 2023).

The research reveals that “longitudinal findings support that an increase of vmPFC morphometry or activity is important for resilience” (Norbury et al., 2023). This neurobiological validation supports Ungar-Sargon’s critique of healthcare systems that “operate within a paradigm of scientific reductionism that can inadvertently reduce patients to collections of symptoms and laboratory values” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). When medical practice acknowledges that healing emerges through relationships and meaning making rather than purely technical interventions, it aligns with both ancient wisdom traditions and cutting-edge neuroscience.

Ungar-Sargon argues that healthcare transformation requires moving beyond reductionist approaches toward recognition that “modern healthcare increasingly operates within a paradigm of scientific reductionism” while “authentic healing emerges from recognizing the sacred-profane dialectic inherent in therapeutic encounters” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The neuroscience research on meaning-making circuits provides biological validation for this integrative approach, suggesting that what we might call the sacred dimensions of healing are not merely psychological comfort but neurobiologically essential aspects of recovery.

### Non-Human Actors in Neural Recovery

ANT’s emphasis on non-human actors finds remarkable validation in trauma neuroscience, supporting Ungar-Sargon’s argument that healing emerges through networks that include both human and non-human participants. Research reveals that environmental factors act as genuine participants in healing

networks (Prout, 1996):

- **Physical spaces** that support patient autonomy directly influence neural recovery pathways, validating Ungar-Sargon’s work on healthcare environmental design (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).
- **Technologies designed** with patient agency in mind activate different brain circuits than those that position patients as passive recipients (Prout, 1996).
- **Meditation practices** and mindfulness interventions function as non-human technologies that reliably alter brain structure and function (Prout, 1996).

Ungar-Sargon’s research on healthcare environments demonstrates how “physical spaces supporting patient agency can significantly improve health outcomes, satisfaction, and wellbeing” through design elements that include “environmental control systems, meaningful connections to nature, and spatial organizations that facilitate choice and movement” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The neuroscience literature increasingly recognizes that recovery environments, therapeutic practices, and even architectural design function as active agents in healing networks, not merely passive backdrops to medical interventions exactly what Ungar-Sargon’s environmental design research predicts.

His framework organized around “three interconnected realms—Contemplative, Interpersonal, and Communal—each designed to support different dimensions of patient autonomy” finds neurobiological support in research showing how different environmental configurations activate distinct neural circuits involved in recovery (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### Beyond Static Models of Trauma

The concept of neuroplasticity has become almost ubiquitous in popular discussions of brain health, but recent research reveals it to be far more complex and powerful than most people realize. This complexity validates Ungar-Sargon’s critique of static medical models. In his work on archetypal medicine, he argues that both archetypal and embodied approaches “challenge the mechanistic reductionism of modern medicine” by recognizing that healing involves dynamic processes that cannot be reduced to simple cause-effect relationships (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Taylor’s University research explains that “neuroplasticity is more than just a simple idea it’s a complex process that involves changes at multiple levels, from tiny molecules in the brain to entire networks of brain cells, all of which influence how we think, feel, and behave” (Taylor’s University, 2025). This complexity supports Ungar-Sargon’s argument that effective medical practice must move beyond “Cartesian dualism” toward approaches that recognize the “intricate and mutually constitutive character of the human and the technological in the processes and relationships of sickness and healing” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

This understanding revolutionizes trauma treatment approaches in ways that align with Ungar-Sargon's theoretical framework. As one 2025 study notes: "The brain's ability to reorganise itself by forming new neural connections is not just a scientific fact it's a tool we can wield to actively shape our healing journey" (ReConnected Life, 2024). This resonates with Ungar-Sargon's emphasis on "meaning-making" as central to healing processes, where he argues that effective therapeutic approaches must recognize how healing involves fundamental questions of identity, purpose, and connection (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **Evidence-Based Approaches**

The neuroplasticity research has identified specific interventions that reliably promote beneficial neural reorganization, providing biological validation for Ungar-Sargon's integrative approach to healing. Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, for instance, doesn't just help people think differently it literally reduces hyperactivity in the amygdala and enhances the prefrontal cortex's ability to regulate emotions (Insights Psychology, 2025). This supports Ungar-Sargon's argument that effective therapeutic approaches must address both "meaning-making" and "embodied" dimensions of experience (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR) provide an even more striking example of how therapeutic interventions can harness neuroplasticity in ways that align with Ungar-Sargon's network-based approach. EMDR "facilitates the processing of traumatic memories by engaging both hemispheres of the brain, promoting integration, and reducing emotional distress" (Stone River Recovery Center, 2024). Research demonstrates that EMDR "not only alleviates PTSD symptoms but also strengthens neural connections between the amygdala and the prefrontal cortex, enabling better emotional control" (Insights Psychology, 2025).

What's particularly intriguing is how mind-body interventions like Tai Chi demonstrate the integration of ancient wisdom with modern neuroscience exactly the kind of approach Ungar-Sargon advocates. Tai Chi "enhances neuroplasticity, reduces stress and anxiety, and enhances the mind-body connection" by "reducing cortisol levels and enhancing parasympathetic activity" (Number Analytics, 2025). This validates Ungar-Sargon's argument that effective trauma treatment requires "integrating neurological, theological, and phenomenological insights" rather than focusing narrowly on isolated symptoms or brain regions (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **Childhood Trauma and the Neuroplastic Window**

The research on childhood trauma and neuroplasticity reveals both the particular vulnerability of developing brains and their remarkable capacity for recovery, supporting Ungar-Sargon's emphasis on early intervention and developmental considerations (PsychCentral, 2022). His work on physician grief explores how early professional experiences can create lasting patterns that affect caregiving capacity throughout careers, paralleling how childhood trauma creates

neurobiological vulnerabilities that can persist into adulthood (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

The brain's plasticity during childhood means that "experiencing one or more adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) can have lasting effects on a person's physical and mental health," but the same neuroplasticity that enables trauma-induced changes also facilitates healing (PsychCentral, 2022). This creates both urgency and hope that aligns with Ungar-Sargon's approach to healthcare transformation: urgent recognition that current systems may be inadequate, combined with hope that "authentic healing emerges from recognizing the sacred-profane dialectic inherent in therapeutic encounters" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

EMDR therapy provides a particularly clear example of how therapeutic interventions can leverage neuroplasticity for healing in ways that support Ungar-Sargon's integrative framework. "It's the brain's neuroplasticity that makes it possible for this rewiring to occur and for EMDR to change the way traumatic memories are stored so that they no longer activate strong emotions" (PsychCentral, 2022). This suggests that effective trauma treatment isn't about erasing memories but about changing their neurobiological impact supporting Ungar-Sargon's emphasis on meaning-making and integration rather than symptom elimination.

### **Breakthrough Pharmacological Discoveries**

August 2025 brought news of a potentially revolutionary development in trauma treatment: the discovery that "PTSD may be driven by excess GABA from astrocytes, not neurons" and that "a new drug, KDS2010, reverses this effect in mice and is already in human trials" (ScienceDaily, 2025). This discovery represents a paradigm shift in understanding trauma's neurobiological mechanisms, suggesting that the brain's ability to forget fear may be disrupted by glial cells rather than neurons themselves.

What makes this discovery particularly significant is how it challenges our assumptions about where trauma "lives" in the brain. We've focused heavily on neurons and synapses, but this research suggests that astrocytes the star-shaped glial cells that support neurons may play a crucial role in trauma-related dysfunction. This aligns with Ungar-Sargon's critique of reductionist approaches that miss the complex interactions between multiple systems in healing processes (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Similarly, research on the astrocytic endocannabinoid system reveals how "neurovascular endocannabinoids prevented loss of BBB [blood-brain barrier] integrity induced by stress-related inflammation, resulting in stress resilience" (Nature Neuroscience, 2025). This suggests that trauma affects not just neural networks but the fundamental barrier systems that protect the brain from systemic inflammation supporting Ungar-Sargon's argument that healing involves "intricate and mutually constitutive character of the human and the technological in the processes and relationships of sickness and healing" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

---

### Technology as Therapeutic Partner

Recent developments in virtual reality therapy demonstrate how technology can function as a genuine partner in healing rather than just a delivery mechanism for traditional interventions. Studies show that “immersive virtual nature dramatically reduces pain sensitivity” and that “stepping into a virtual forest or waterfall scene through VR could be the future of pain management” (ScienceDaily, 2025).

This type of technological intervention aligns perfectly with Ungar-Sargon’s emphasis on non-human actors as genuine participants in healing networks. The VR environment isn’t just a passive backdrop for therapy it actively participates in neural regulation by engaging specific circuits involved in pain processing and emotional regulation. This validates his argument that “healthcare environments can become active participants in the healing process rather than passive backdrops to medical interventions” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

What’s particularly intriguing is how these technological interventions seem to work by enhancing rather than diminishing patient agency. Rather than doing something to patients, VR nature environments create immersive experiences that patients can navigate and explore, maintaining a sense of control and choice that appears to be neurobiologically important for healing. This supports Ungar-Sargon’s framework emphasizing “environmental control systems” and “spatial organizations that facilitate choice and movement” as essential elements of healing environments (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### Confronting the Pathology Paradigm

The neuroscience research forces us to confront uncomfortable questions about our current healthcare approaches that Ungar-Sargon has been systematically analyzing. If resilience is the norm rather than the exception, if brain changes from trauma are largely reversible, if healing emerges through distributed networks rather than expert intervention—then what are we doing wrong in our current systems?

Ungar-Sargon’s critique suggests we may be systematically working against natural healing processes through our institutional structures, professional training, and clinical protocols. As he argues, “modern healthcare increasingly operates within a paradigm of scientific reductionism that can inadvertently reduce patients to collections of symptoms and laboratory values” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). When we organize healthcare around assumptions of fragility and deficit, when we position patients as passive recipients of expert intervention, when we fragment care into isolated specialties—we may be creating iatrogenic barriers to the very recovery we seek to promote.

His application of Actor-Network Theory reveals how traditional medical models fail to recognize that healing emerges through “dynamic associations between diverse actors” rather than through hierarchical control (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The neuroscience research validates this critique by showing that brain recovery itself operates through distributed networks rather than centralized control systems.

---

### Practical Implications for System Design

What would healthcare systems look like if they were designed around neurobiological realities of recovery rather than traditional professional hierarchies? Ungar-Sargon’s research on healthcare environmental design provides concrete examples of how to implement network-based approaches. His framework demonstrates how “physical spaces supporting patient agency can significantly improve health outcomes, satisfaction, and wellbeing” through specific design elements (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

The research suggests several key principles that align with Ungar-Sargon’s theoretical framework:

**Resilience-Based Rather Than Pathology-Based:** Systems would be designed around the understanding that most people naturally recover from trauma and that interventions should support rather than override these natural processes. This supports Ungar-Sargon’s argument that healthcare should move beyond “mechanistic reductionism” toward approaches that recognize natural healing capacities (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

**Network-Based Rather Than Hierarchical:** Care would be coordinated through dynamic networks of relationships rather than rigid professional hierarchies. Ungar-Sargon’s ANT analysis demonstrates how “medical authority emerges not from institutional positions but through dynamic associations between diverse actors—physicians, patients, technologies, protocols, and physical spaces” (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

**Meaning-Making Integration:** Given the neurobiological importance of meaning-making processes, healthcare systems would integrate spiritual and existential dimensions as essential rather than optional components of care. This validates Ungar-Sargon’s argument for recognizing “the sacred dimensions of medical practice” as neurobiologically necessary rather than merely psychologically comforting (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

**Environmental Design as Medicine:** Medical technologies and physical environments would be designed to enhance patient agency and support natural healing processes. Ungar-Sargon’s research demonstrates how “healthcare environments can become active participants in the healing process rather than passive backdrops to medical interventions” through specific design interventions (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### Professional Education and Training

The implications for medical and healthcare education are profound and align with Ungar-Sargon’s calls for fundamental changes in medical training. His work on physician grief reveals how “the medical profession’s culture of emotional stoicism and the cumulative impact of unprocessed grief” creates barriers to effective therapeutic relationships that must be addressed through educational reform (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

If healing emerges through distributed networks and patient agency is neurobiologically crucial, then professional training must prepare practitioners to function as facilitators

and partners rather than directors and controllers. This supports Ungar-Sargon's argument that medical education must integrate "evidence-based design principles with patient-centered philosophies" and move beyond traditional hierarchical models (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

This might involve fundamental changes in clinical training that emphasize relationship skills, systems thinking, and collaborative approaches exactly what Ungar-Sargon advocates in his work on integrating "neurological, theological, and phenomenological insights" into medical practice (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **The Resistance of Institutional Inertia**

Implementing network-based, resilience-focused healthcare faces predictable resistance from established institutional structures. Ungar-Sargon's analysis identifies specific barriers: "regulatory constraints, professional culture, and financial considerations" that impede implementation of patient-centered approaches (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). Current reimbursement systems, regulatory frameworks, professional licensing requirements, and quality metrics are all built around traditional models of hierarchical, intervention-focused care.

But the neuroscience research provides compelling evidence that these traditional approaches may be neurobiologically counterproductive, supporting Ungar-Sargon's critique that current systems may actually impede healing. When research shows that patient agency directly impacts neural recovery circuits, that meaning-making processes are neurobiologically essential for resilience, that healing emerges through distributed networks then continuing to organize healthcare around opposite principles becomes not just ineffective but potentially harmful.

This creates moral urgency for reform that aligns with Ungar-Sargon's call for transformation. As he argues, recognizing "the distributed agency within healthcare networks reveals fundamental limitations in current biomedical models" and demands systemic change rather than incremental reform (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **Financial and Regulatory Barriers**

Current healthcare financing often incentivizes intervention-heavy, pathology-focused approaches that directly contradict neuroscience findings about natural resilience and recovery. Ungar-Sargon's analysis reveals how these financial structures create "implementation challenges related to regulatory constraints, professional culture, and financial considerations" that systematically favor technical interventions over relationship-based healing approaches (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Reform requires developing payment models that reward outcomes consistent with how healing actually works neurobiologically. This might involve payment for resilience outcomes rather than just symptom reduction, reimbursement for environmental modifications that support patient agency,

coverage for meaning-making interventions like spiritual care and peer support—approaches that Ungar-Sargon's research demonstrates can "significantly improve health outcomes, satisfaction, and wellbeing" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **Measurement and Evaluation**

Traditional medical metrics may systematically miss the network effects and emergent properties that characterize effective trauma-informed care, supporting Ungar-Sargon's critique of reductionist approaches to healthcare evaluation. How do we measure the quality of relationships within healing networks? How do we assess whether environments enhance or diminish patient agency? How do we evaluate the effectiveness of meaning-making interventions?

Ungar-Sargon's work suggests that new evaluation frameworks must be developed that capture how healing emerges through relationships and meaning-making rather than just technical interventions. His research on healthcare environments demonstrates methods for assessing "patient autonomy" and "meaningful connections" that could serve as models for broader system evaluation (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### **The Need for Transdisciplinary Collaboration**

The neuroscience of resilience reveals that healing involves interactions between biological, psychological, social, environmental, and spiritual dimensions that can't be understood from any single disciplinary perspective. This validates Ungar-Sargon's sustained argument for transdisciplinary approaches that integrate "neurological, theological, and phenomenological insights" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Research shows that "neuroscientists need the input of social work clinicians and clinical researchers to continue to move the field forward" because "the brain is the principal organ for both the identification of and the response to stress" and healing emerges through complex interactions across multiple domains (Gray et al., 2017). This supports Ungar-Sargon's call for healthcare approaches that bring together diverse disciplinary perspectives rather than maintaining traditional professional silos.

His work demonstrates how effective healing requires collaboration between "physicians, patients, technologies, protocols, and physical spaces" in ways that transcend traditional disciplinary boundaries (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The neuroscience research validates this transdisciplinary approach by showing that neural recovery itself involves interactions between multiple systems that cannot be understood from any single perspective.

### **Longitudinal Studies of Network Effects**

We desperately need research that examines how healthcare network configurations influence neural recovery trajectories over time exactly the kind of systems-level research that Ungar-Sargon's Actor-Network Theory framework predicts will be necessary. Do patients heal differently in hierarchical versus distributed care systems? How do various network



compositions affect long-term resilience outcomes? What environmental factors enhance or impede neuroplastic recovery processes?.

Ungar-Sargon's research on healthcare environmental design provides a model for this kind of network-effect research, demonstrating how "physical spaces supporting patient agency can significantly improve health outcomes" through measurable changes in patient experience and clinical outcomes (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). Longitudinal neuroimaging studies are "particularly effective in answering questions about the determinants of resilience" (Roekner et al., 2021), but we need studies that specifically examine how healthcare system characteristics influence neural recovery patterns.

### Technology Design for Neural Healing

The research suggests that technologies supporting patient autonomy may literally reshape brain circuits in ways that promote healing, providing biological validation for Ungar-Sargon's arguments about technology design in healthcare. His analysis of how "healthcare environments can become active participants in the healing process" through thoughtful design applies equally to medical technologies (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

Virtual reality environments that enhance sense of control, biofeedback systems that make internal states visible and manageable, communication platforms that support peer connection and meaning-making all of these represent ways that technology could function as genuine partners in healing networks rather than mere delivery mechanisms for traditional interventions. This aligns with Ungar-Sargon's vision of healthcare transformation that recognizes "the intricate and mutually constitutive character of the human and the technological in the processes and relationships of sickness and healing" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

### Conclusion

The convergence of recent trauma neuroscience with Ungar-Sargon's network-based healthcare theory doesn't just support incremental healthcare reform it calls for the kind of radical reimagining that his work has been systematically developing. The research reveals that healing is fundamentally relational, distributed, and emergent rather than hierarchical, centralized, and imposed. It shows that resilience is the human norm rather than the exception, that brain changes from trauma are largely reversible, that patient agency is neurobiologically essential for recovery.

These findings don't merely suggest that our current healthcare systems could be improved; they provide biological validation for Ungar-Sargon's argument that these systems may be structurally misaligned with the neurobiological realities of human healing. As he demonstrates through his Actor-Network Theory analysis, "recognizing the distributed agency within healthcare networks reveals fundamental limitations in current biomedical models that prioritize vertical authority structures and technical interventions over holistic healing relationships" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

When we organize healthcare around assumptions of fragility rather than resilience, hierarchy rather than networks, control rather than agency we may be inadvertently working against the very processes we claim to support. The neuroscience research validates Ungar-Sargon's critique while providing biological mechanisms that explain why his proposed alternatives may be more effective.

But this scientific validation also creates unprecedented opportunities. We now have neurobiological evidence for approaches that Ungar-Sargon has long advocated: that healing emerges through relationship and meaning-making, that patients are active participants rather than passive recipients, that environments and technologies can function as genuine partners in recovery. His work on "authentic healing" emerging from recognition of "the sacred-profane dialectic inherent in therapeutic encounters" finds support in neuroscience research on meaning-making circuits and neural resilience (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

The path forward requires more than policy changes or programmatic modifications. It demands the fundamental shifts in understanding that Ungar-Sargon's work has been systematically developing how we understand the nature of healing itself, how we conceptualize the relationship between healthcare providers and recipients, how we design the physical and social environments within which care occurs.

Recent discoveries about neuroplasticity confirm that "substantial recovery is possible for most individuals due to neuroplasticity the brain's ability to change and reorganize itself" (Kaplan Therapy, 2025). This neuroplastic capacity represents both a biological reality and a metaphor for the kind of systematic transformation that Ungar-Sargon argues is necessary. Just as traumatized brains can reorganize themselves to create new patterns of resilience, healthcare systems can reorganize themselves to create new patterns of healing that honor both ancient wisdom about human nature and cutting-edge scientific understanding of neural recovery.

We stand at a remarkable historical moment where scientific discovery converges with the theoretical framework that Ungar-Sargon has been developing to create opportunities for transformation that may not have existed before. His integration of "evidence-based design principles with patient-centered philosophies" now has neurobiological validation that makes implementation both more urgent and more feasible (Ungar-Sargon, 2025).

The question is whether we have the courage to embrace the radical implications of what the neuroscience is telling us that healing is more powerful, more natural, and more distributed than our current systems assume. Ungar-Sargon's work provides both the theoretical framework and practical guidance for this transformation, while the neuroscience research provides the biological validation that such changes are not just preferable but neurobiologically necessary.



The neuroscience of trauma and resilience offers both the evidence and the inspiration for building healthcare systems worthy of the remarkable healing capacities they seek to serve. Ungar-Sargon's sustained analysis of healthcare transformation provides the theoretical foundation and practical pathways for implementing these changes. Together, they invite us not just to reform our current approaches but to reimagine them entirely, creating systems that work with rather than against the fundamental neurobiological processes through which human beings recover, adapt, and thrive.

## References

1. Ungar-Sargon J. (2025, August 31). Essays on Healing [Internet]. <https://www.jyungar.com/essays-on-healing>
2. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Archetypal and Embodied Approaches to Medical Practice: A Critical Analysis of Challenges to Biomedical Orthodoxy. *J Psychol Neurosci*, 7(3), 1-16. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47485/2693-2490.1124>
3. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Reimagining Healthcare Through Actor-Network Theory: A Latourian Critique of Modern Medical Hierarchies. *Am J Med Clin Sci*, 10(2), 1-7. <https://www.ajrms.com/articles/Reimagining%20Healthcare%20Through%20Actor-Network%20Theory%20%20A%20Latourian%20Critique%20of%20Modern%20Medical%20Hierarchies>
4. Hidden Brain. (2025, August 25). The Trauma Script [Audio podcast episode]. NPR. <https://hiddenbrain.org/podcast/the-trauma-script/>
5. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Navigating the Depths: A Framework for Caregiver's Grief Work. *J Behav Health*, 14(2), 1-9. <https://jbehavioralhealth.com/articles/Navigating%20the%20Depths%20%20A%20Framework%20for%20Caregiver%20%20%20s%20Grief%20Work>
6. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Patient Autonomy and Co-Creation: Evidence-Based Approaches to Designing Healing Environments. *J Psychol Neurosci*, 7(2), 1-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47485/2693-2490.1109>
7. Ireton, R., Hughes, A., & Klabunde M. (2024). A Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Meta-Analysis of Childhood Trauma. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*, 9(6), 561-570. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2024.01.009>
8. Kaplan Therapy. (2025, Jun 25). The Neurobiology of Trauma: Understanding the Brain's Response to Adverse Experiences 2025. <https://kaplantherapy.org/the-neurobiology-of-trauma/>
9. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Prolonged Grief Disorder: Treatment Approaches and the Spiritual Dimensions of Healing. *Addict Res*, 9(1), 1-7. <https://www.scivisionpub.com/pdfs/prolonged-grief-disorder-treatment-approaches-and-the-spiritual-dimensions-of-healing-3842.pdf>
10. Barlow, M. (2025, March 17). Virginia Tech study finds unique brain changes linked to witnessing trauma. Virginia Tech News. <https://news.vt.edu/articles/2025/03/cals-jarome-bystander-ptsd.html>
11. Poletti, S. (2025, Jun 12). Childhood Trauma Rewires the Brain Through Inflammation. Neuroscience News. <https://neurosciencenews.com/childhood-trauma-brain-inflammation-29260/>
12. Roeckner, A. R., Oliver, K. I., Lebois, L. A. M., van Rooij, S. J. H., & Stevens, J. S. (2021). Neural contributors to trauma resilience: a review of longitudinal neuroimaging studies. *Transl Psychiatry*, 11(1), 508. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-021-01633-y>
13. Bilodeau, A., & Potvin, L. (2018). Unpacking complexity in public health interventions with the Actor–Network Theory. *Health Promot Int*, 33(1), 173-181. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/daw062>
14. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Sacred and Profane Space in the Therapeutic Encounter: Moving Beyond Rigid Distinctions. *Am J Neurol Res*, 4(2), 1-9. <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5047de16e4b026a4c324cd81/t/681387e577dc591a13622354/1746110438057/sacred-and-profane-space-in-the-therapeutic-encounter-moving-beyond-rigid-distinctions-187+%281%29.pdf>
15. Norbury, A., Seeley, S. H., Perez-Rodriguez, M. M., & Feder, A. (2023). Functional neuroimaging of resilience to trauma: convergent evidence and challenges for future research. *Psychol Med*, 53(8), 3293-3305. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291723001162>
16. Prout, A. (1996). Actor-network theory, technology and medical sociology: an illustrative analysis of the metered dose inhaler. *Sociol Health Illn*, 18(2), 198-219. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.ep10934726>
17. Taylor's University. (2025). Can Trauma Be Rewired? The Science of Neuroplasticity and Memory Transformation. <https://university.taylors.edu.my/en/student-life/news/2025/can-trauma-be-rewired-the-science-of-neuroplasticity-and-memory.html>
18. ReConnected Life. (2024, Jun 5). Rewriting the Brain: The Power of Neuroplasticity in Trauma Recovery. <https://reconnected.life/rewriting-the-brain-power-of-neuroplasticity/>
19. Insights Psychology. (2025, January 31). The Psychology of Healing: How Trauma Shapes and Transforms the Brain. <https://insightspsychology.org/psychology-of-healing-brain-recovery/>
20. Stone River Recovery Center. (2024, July 12). Neuroplasticity and Trauma Recovery: How the Brain Can Heal Itself. <https://stoneriverrecoverycenter.com/neuroplasticity-and-trauma-recovery/>
21. Number Analytics. (2025, June 30). Healing Trauma with Tai Chi [Internet]. <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/healing-trauma-with-tai-chi>
22. PsychCentral. (2022, May 9). Healing from Childhood Trauma: The Roles of Neuroplasticity and EMDR. <https://psychcentral.com/ptsd/the-roles-neuroplasticity-and-emdr-play-in-healing-from-childhood-trauma>
23. ScienceDaily. (2025, August 3). This New Drug Could Help PTSD Patients Finally Let Go of Trauma. Neuroscience News. <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2025/08/250803011817.htm>

24. Nature Neuroscience. (2025 Aug 28) (Stress and resilience [Internet]. <https://www.nature.com/subjects/stress-and-resilience/neuro>
25. ScienceDaily. (2025, Jul 31). Pain Relief without Pills? VR Nature Scenes Trigger the Brain's Healing Switch. Neuroscience News. <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2025/07/250730030358.htm#:~:text=Summary%3A,almost%20as%20effectively%20as%20medication.>
26. Gray, J. D., Kogan, J. F., Marrocco, J., & McEwen, B. S. (2017). The neuroscience of resilience. *J Soc Social Work Res*, 8(2), 237-267.
27. Suarez-Jimenez, B, Zhu X, et al. (2023). Changes in the salience network in people exposed to trauma. *Commun Biol*, 6, 123.
28. University of Rochester Medical Center. (2022, December 07). Researchers reveal how trauma changes the brain [Internet]. URM Newsroom. <https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/news/publications/neuroscience/researchers-reveal-how-trauma-changes-the-brain>
29. Cresswell, K. M., Worth, A., & Sheikh, A. (2010). Actor-Network Theory and its role in understanding the implementation of information technology developments in healthcare. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*, 10, 67. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-10-67>
30. Ryan, T., Ryan, N., & Hynes, B. (2024). The integration of human and non-human actors to advance healthcare delivery: unpacking the role of actor-network theory, a systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 24(1), 1342. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-11866-4>
31. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025, August, 31). Essays on Healing [Internet]. <https://www.jyungar.com/essays-on-healing>
32. ScienceDaily. (2025, August 20). Scientists Just Found a Protein That Reverses Brain Aging. Neuroscience News. <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2025/08/250820000808.htm>
33. Greenfield, B. H., & Bridges, P. K. (2019). Posttraumatic stress symptoms and suicide risk in women: The neurobiology of resilience and recovery. *J Adv Nurs*, 75(3), 627-639.
34. Kaldewaij, R., Koch, S. B. J., Hashemi, M. M., Zhang, W., Klumpers, F., & Roelofs, K. (2021). Anterior prefrontal brain activity during emotion control predicts resilience to post-traumatic stress symptoms. *Nat Hum Behav*, 5(8), 1055-64. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01055-2>
35. Stenberg, M, et al. (2022). Well-being among traumatic brain injury patients: adaptation and resilience perspectives. *Qual Health Res*, 32(8), 1245-1258.
36. Ferrarese, F. (2025, Jan 15). How Trauma Rewires the Brain: Insights from a CBT Therapist. <https://www.federicoferrarese.co.uk/2025/01/15/trauma-rewires-the-brain/>
37. Gillespie, C. F., Bradley, B., Mercer, K., Smith, A. K., Conneely, K., Gapen, M., Weiss, T., Schwartz, A. C., Cubells, J. F., & Ressler, K. J. (2009). Trauma exposure and stress-related disorders in inner city primary care patients. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*, 31(6), 505-14. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2009.05.003>
38. Lyoo, I. K., Kim, J. E., Yoon, S. J., Hwang, J., Bae, S., & Kim, D. J. (2011). The neurobiological role of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in recovery from trauma. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 68(7), 701-13. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.70>
39. Booth, R. G., Andrusyszyn, M. A., Iwasiw, C., Donelle, L., & Compeau D. (2016). Actor-Network Theory as a sociotechnical lens to explore the relationship of nurses and technology in practice. *Nurs Inq*, 23(2), 109-20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/nin.12118>
40. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). A Framework for Transformative Healthcare Practice. *Am J Med Clin Res Rev*, 4(7), 1-40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.58372/2835-6276.1313>
41. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Beyond the Cartesian Split: The Dreambody Approach to Chronic Pain and Healing. *Addict Res*, 9(1), 1-6. <https://www.scivisionpub.com/pdfs/beyond-the-cartesian-split-the-dreambody-approach-to-chronic-pain-and-healing-3795.pdf>
42. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Bridging Neural Circuits and Sacred Spaces: Integrating Neurobiological Mechanisms with Intangible Experience. *Med Clin Res*, 6(1), 1-11. <https://static1.squarespace.com/static/663e91ef0a4b1b5f77a16efa/t/682b88b678369e34107b83dd/1747683510815/MCR-25-1082.pdf>
43. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Hermeneutic Approaches to Medicine: From Objective Evidence to Patient as Sacred Text. *EC Neurol*, 17(6), 01-10. <https://ecronicon.net/assets/ecne/pdf/ECNE-17-01231.pdf>
44. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Divine Presence and Concealment in the Therapeutic Space. *EC Neurol*, 17(5), 01-13. <https://ecronicon.net/assets/ecne/pdf/ECNE-17-01221.pdf>

**Copyright:** ©2025 Julian Ungar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.