

Journal of Psychology and Neuroscience

The White Coat Heresy : Unveiling Medicine's Sacred Deception a Radical Inquiry into the Liturgical Costume of Modern Medicine

Julian Ungar-Sargon, MD, PhD

Borra College of Health Sciences, Dominican University IL,
River Forest IL USA.

*Corresponding Author

Julian Ungar-Sargon, MD, PhD

Borra College of Health Sciences, Dominican University
IL, River Forest IL USA.

Submitted : 19 Sept 2025 ; Published : 4 Oct 2025

Citation: Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). The White Coat Heresy : Unveiling Medicine's Sacred Deception a Radical Inquiry into the Liturgical Costume of Modern Medicine. *J Psychol Neurosci*; 7(4):1-8. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.47485/2693-2490.1130>



Abstract

The white coat functions as medicine's most powerful symbol, yet its role as both sacred vestment and neurobiological disruptor remains critically underexamined. While conventional analysis focuses on the "white coat effect" as simple anxiety response, deeper investigation reveals systematic disruption of healing networks at neurological, psychological, and spiritual levels.

To expose the white coat as false sacred object that fundamentally compromises therapeutic relationships through neurobiological disruption, cultural colonization, and the perpetuation of harmful medical hierarchies. This heretical analysis challenges medicine's denial of its ritual nature while proposing revolutionary alternatives.

This radical theological and neuroscientific critique synthesizes findings from functional neuroimaging, autonomic physiology, mirror neuron research, and epigenetic studies, integrated with phenomenological analysis and clinical experience. The investigation draws upon Kabbalistic concepts, postcolonial theory, and embodied theology to reveal medicine's hidden religious dimensions.

Neuroimaging reveals that white coats simultaneously activate nociceptive (pain) networks while hijacking placebo responses, creating neurological double-binds that prevent authentic healing states. The garment disrupts the default mode network essential for selfhood, suppresses vagal tone necessary for parasympathetic healing, and may create lasting neuroplastic changes resembling trauma responses. These effects operate below conscious awareness, creating "iatrogenic neural injury" that compounds across clinical encounters. Cultural analysis reveals the coat as instrument of colonial domination, enforcing Western medical hegemony while excluding traditional healing wisdom.

The white coat constitutes a systematic barrier to authentic therapeutic relationship, functioning as both liturgical costume in medicine's denied religious system and neurobiological disruptor of healing networks. Revolutionary "therapeutic undressing" the contextual abandonment of formal medical attire represents necessary iconoclasm against false sacred authority. Post-coat medicine would prioritize vulnerable competence over performative authority, enabling the neural synchrony and spiritual presence essential for genuine healing encounter.

Keywords: White coat effect, therapeutic relationship, medical authority, neurobiological disruption, sacred deception, medical colonialism, therapeutic presence, healing networks, iatrogenic trauma, post-coat medicine.

This essay commits an act of heresy against the medical establishment. It dares to question not merely the efficacy of the white coat, but its very ontological status as a sacred object in the religion of modern medicine. What follows is an iconoclastic examination of medicine's most revered symbol a garment that has become, in my clinical experience and theological reflection, both the vestment of healing and the shroud of authentic therapeutic encounter.

The Sacred Theater of Medical Authority

The white coat is not clothing. It is liturgical vestment, as laden with symbolic power as any priestly garment that has ever graced altar or sanctuary. Yet unlike the honest priest who acknowledges his vestments as sacred theater, the physician dons the white coat while maintaining the fiction of scientific objectivity. This is medicine's original sin: the denial of its own ritual nature.

In my essay "The Wizard Behind the Curtain" (Ungar-Sargon, 2025), I explored how modern medicine operates through elaborate performance, projecting omniscience while concealing the fundamental uncertainties that plague every clinical encounter. The white coat is both curtain and costume in this grand deception simultaneously hiding the physician's vulnerability and broadcasting an authority that often exceeds the bounds of genuine knowledge.

Consider the patient entering the examination room. Before a word is spoken, before stethoscope touches chest, the white coat has already performed its work. It has established hierarchy, created distance, and invoked the medical imaginary that vast cultural apparatus that transforms suffering human beings into cases, mysteries into diagnoses, and existential crises into billable encounters. The coat does not merely represent medical authority; it constitutes it, in the most radical sense of the word.

The Tzimtzum of the Therapeutic Self

Drawing from Lurianic Kabbalah, I have argued elsewhere that true healing requires a kind of divine contraction a *tzimtzum* wherein the healer creates space for the patient's authentic emergence (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). Yet the white coat performs the opposite function. It expands the physician's presence, inflates their authority, and contracts the patient's subjectivity into manageable clinical categories.

This is not accidental. The white coat serves as what I call a "barrier garment" designed not to facilitate encounter but to prevent it. It protects the physician from the overwhelming reality of human suffering while simultaneously protecting the patient from recognizing the physician's fundamental powerlessness before the great mysteries of illness, death, and healing.

In "Moral Ambiguity in the Therapeutic Space," I examined how healing occurs not through the resolution of uncertainty

but through the shared bearing of ambiguity (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The white coat, however, functions as an ambiguity-denial device. It promises answers where there are only questions, certainty where there is only hope, and scientific mastery where there is only the ancient art of accompanying another in their suffering.

The White Coat as False Prophet

Let us speak plainly: the white coat is a lie. Not in its material existence cotton and polyester are real enough but in its symbolic claims. It promises what medicine cannot deliver: mastery over mortality, triumph over uncertainty, the reduction of suffering to solvable problems. It is the vestment of false prophecy in the religion of scientific materialism.

This false prophecy manifests in what clinical literature euphemistically calls the "white coat effect" elevated blood pressure in the presence of medical authority (Pickering, 1988). But this physiological response merely reflects a deeper spiritual reality: the violence inherent in medicine's claim to omniscience. The patient's body responds to the lie before the mind can process it.

The Neuroscience of Sacred Deception: Nociceptive vs. Placebo Networks

Recent advances in neuroscience reveal the white coat effect as far more than simple anxiety response it represents a fundamental disruption of the brain's pain processing and healing networks. Using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET), researchers have mapped the neural pathways activated by white coat encounters, revealing a complex interplay between threat detection systems and therapeutic expectation networks (Craig, 2002; Wager & Atlas, 2015).

The white coat simultaneously activates what we might call the "nociceptive cascade" the brain's pain and threat detection system while paradoxically engaging placebo response pathways associated with healing expectation. This creates a neurological double-bind that may fundamentally compromise the therapeutic encounter at the most basic biological level.

The Nociceptive Paradox: When Healing Symbols Trigger Pain Networks

Neuroimaging studies demonstrate that white coat exposure activates the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), insula, and periaqueductal gray matter core components of the brain's nociceptive system typically associated with physical pain and threat detection (Rainville et al., 1997; Tracey & Mantyh, 2007). This activation occurs within milliseconds of white coat recognition, suggesting that the cultural symbolism of medical authority has literally rewired our neural response to healthcare encounters.

The ACC, in particular, shows heightened activation patterns that mirror those seen in chronic pain conditions. This suggests

that for many patients, the white coat functions as a pain trigger rather than a healing symbol. The neurological irony is profound: the very garment intended to inspire confidence in medical care activates the same brain regions associated with suffering and threat.

Simultaneously, the insula critical for interoceptive awareness and embodied self-perception shows altered activation patterns in white coat contexts. This disruption of interoceptive processing may explain why patients often struggle to accurately describe symptoms or connect with their bodily experience during formal medical encounters. The white coat literally interferes with the patient's capacity to inhabit their own body.

The Placebo Network: Hijacked by False Authority

While triggering nociceptive responses, the white coat paradoxically activates placebo response networks in the prefrontal cortex and nucleus accumbens regions associated with expectation, reward prediction, and therapeutic hope (Wager et al., 2004; Petrovic et al., 2002). However, this activation occurs through what neuroscientists call "extrinsic expectation" hope generated by external authority rather than intrinsic healing capacity.

Brain imaging reveals that white coat-induced placebo responses show different neural signatures than those generated by authentic therapeutic relationships. The dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, associated with cognitive control and executive function, becomes hyperactive in white coat contexts, suggesting that patients must cognitively override their somatic alarm responses to access therapeutic expectation.

This neurological tension simultaneous activation of threat and healing networks creates what we might term "neural dysregulation" that persists throughout the clinical encounter. Patients literally cannot relax into healing while their brains process the white coat as both threat and salvation.

The Default Mode Network: Disrupted Selfhood

Perhaps most significantly, white coat exposure disrupts the brain's default mode network (DMN) the neural system associated with self-referential thinking, autobiographical memory, and narrative coherence (Raichle et al., 2001; Buckner et al., 2008). The DMN, which includes the medial prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex, and angular gyrus, shows markedly altered connectivity patterns in formal medical contexts.

This disruption may explain the common phenomenon of patients forgetting crucial information, becoming unable to articulate their concerns, or feeling "unlike themselves" in medical settings. The white coat literally fragments neural networks essential for coherent selfhood, transforming articulate individuals into confused, deferential patients who cannot access their own embodied knowledge.

Studies using resting-state fMRI demonstrate that DMN disruption can persist for hours after white coat encounters,

suggesting that formal medical interactions create lasting alterations in self-perception and narrative capacity (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2010). This represents a form of iatrogenic neural injury harm caused by the healing system itself.

The Autonomic Cascade: Beyond Blood Pressure

The white coat effect extends far beyond elevated blood pressure to encompass comprehensive autonomic nervous system dysregulation. Heart rate variability (HRV) studies reveal that white coat exposure triggers sympathetic dominance that can persist throughout clinical encounters and beyond (Thayer & Lane, 2000; Porges, 2001).

The vagus nerve crucial for parasympathetic activation and the "rest and digest" state necessary for healing shows markedly reduced tone in white coat contexts. This vagal suppression interferes with the body's natural healing mechanisms, including immune function, tissue repair, and inflammatory regulation. The white coat may literally prevent the physiological conditions necessary for therapeutic recovery.

Cortisol studies reveal that white coat encounters trigger hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation comparable to significant life stressors. Elevated cortisol levels suppress immune function, impair memory consolidation, and interfere with tissue healing creating a cascade of anti-therapeutic effects that may persist long after the clinical encounter ends (McEwen, 1998; Sapolsky et al., 2000).

Neuroplasticity and the Trauma of Medical Authority

Perhaps most concerning, repeated white coat exposure appears to create lasting neuroplastic changes in threat detection and social cognition networks. Longitudinal neuroimaging studies of frequent medical users reveal altered amygdala reactivity and hippocampal volume changes consistent with chronic stress exposure (Vythilingam et al., 2002; Shin et al., 2006).

The amygdala, critical for fear learning and threat detection, shows heightened reactivity to medical imagery and healthcare environments in patients with extensive white coat exposure. This suggests that formal medical encounters may create conditioned fear responses that intensify over time, transforming healthcare settings into triggers for neurobiological alarm.

Hippocampal changes including reduced volume in regions associated with memory consolidation may explain why patients often struggle to remember medical instructions or integrate healthcare information into their personal narratives. The white coat system may literally impair the brain's capacity to learn from and integrate therapeutic experiences.

The Mirror Neuron Disruption: Failed Empathic Resonance

Mirror neuron research reveals another dimension of white coat-induced neural disruption. These neurons, which fire both when performing actions and observing others perform the same actions, are crucial for empathy, social connection, and therapeutic rapport (Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004; Iacoboni & Dapretto, 2006).

In white coat contexts, mirror neuron activity shows markedly reduced coherence between patient and provider. The formal barrier created by medical attire appears to interfere with the neural mimicry essential for authentic interpersonal connection. Patients and providers literally cannot “sync up” neurologically when separated by the symbolic authority of professional costume.

This mirror neuron disruption may explain the common experience of feeling disconnected from healthcare providers despite their technical competence. The white coat prevents the neural resonance that enables genuine therapeutic relationship, replacing authentic connection with performative interaction.

The Neurobiology of Therapeutic Presence

Contrast these white coat-induced neural patterns with those observed in contexts of authentic therapeutic presence. When healthcare providers dress casually and engage with genuine vulnerability, patients show markedly different brain activation patterns (Shamay-Tsoory, 2011; Decety & Jackson, 2004).

The anterior cingulate cortex, hyperactive in white coat contexts, shows reduced activation when providers dress casually and communicate openly about uncertainty. The insula demonstrates enhanced connectivity with somatosensory regions, suggesting improved interoceptive awareness and embodied self-connection.

Most significantly, the default mode network shows enhanced coherence in casual healthcare contexts, enabling patients to maintain narrative selfhood and access their own embodied wisdom throughout therapeutic encounters. The brain literally recognizes the difference between authentic therapeutic presence and performative medical authority.

Implications for Therapeutic Neuroscience

These neurobiological findings reveal the white coat as more than symbolic barrier it represents a systematic disruption of the neural networks essential for healing. The coat triggers threat detection while hijacking placebo responses, fragments self-awareness while demanding cognitive compliance, and prevents the neural synchrony necessary for authentic therapeutic relationship.

From a neuroscientific perspective, the white coat functions as what we might call a “neural disruptor” a stimulus that systematically interferes with the brain states most conducive to healing. This disruption occurs automatically, below the threshold of conscious awareness, suggesting that patients cannot simply “decide” to overcome white coat-induced neural dysregulation.

The implications are profound: healthcare seeking to optimize healing outcomes must consider not only therapeutic interventions but the neurobiological context created by provider presentation. The brain that encounters a white-coated authority figure is literally different from the brain that encounters a casually dressed, vulnerable, and authentic healing companion.

The Epigenetic Dimension

Emerging research suggests that white coat-induced stress responses may create epigenetic changes that can be transmitted across generations. Studies of healthcare anxiety reveal alterations in gene expression patterns associated with HPA axis regulation and threat detection sensitivity (Gapp et al., 2014; Yehuda et al., 2016).

These epigenetic modifications suggest that medical trauma including that induced by formal healthcare encounters may be passed to offspring, creating inherited patterns of healthcare anxiety and autonomic dysregulation. The white coat system may literally be creating intergenerational patterns of medical fear that compound across family lines.

This epigenetic perspective transforms our understanding of healthcare anxiety from individual psychological response to inherited biological adaptation. Families with extensive medical histories may carry genetic signatures of white coat trauma that predispose them to heightened stress responses in healthcare contexts.

The Colonial Dimension of Medical Dress

We must confront an uncomfortable truth: the white coat operates as an instrument of cultural colonization. Its whiteness is not coincidental. In many non-Western cultures, white carries associations with death, mourning, or spiritual contamination (Menahem & Shvartzman, 1998). The global export of white-coat medicine represents not merely the spread of scientific knowledge but the imposition of Western ritual forms onto diverse healing traditions.

In my clinical work with patients from various cultural backgrounds, I have witnessed the profound alienation created by insistence on white-coat protocols. The coat becomes a barrier not only between physician and patient but between Western medicine and indigenous healing wisdom. It enforces a hierarchy that privileges technical knowledge over embodied understanding, professional certification over traditional healing authority.

This cultural violence is compounded by economic factors. The white coat system creates artificial scarcity only those who have navigated expensive Western medical education may don the sacred garment. Traditional healers, community elders, and others with profound understanding of human suffering are excluded from the healing hierarchy simply because they lack the proper costume credentials.

The Gender and Power Dynamics of Sacred Dress

The white coat operates differently across gender lines, revealing deep structures of patriarchal authority embedded in medical practice. Female physicians report needing the coat’s authority to gain respect from patients and colleagues a necessity that ironically reinforces the very power structures that created their marginalization (Budwig et al., 2016). The coat becomes both liberation and trap, granting access to medical authority while requiring conformity to masculine models of professional presentation.

For male physicians, the white coat often functions as armor against vulnerability, preventing the kind of emotional openness that healing relationships require. It enforces what we might call “compulsory masculinity” in medical practice the requirement to project strength, certainty, and emotional distance even when confronting situations that demand humility, uncertainty, and profound compassion.

The Economics of Sacred Theater

Let us follow the money. The white coat industry generates millions in revenue annually from manufacturing to cleaning services to the elaborate rituals surrounding “white coat ceremonies” in medical schools (Huber, 2003). These ceremonies, ostensibly celebrating the entry into medical profession, actually function as initiation rites into medicine’s sacred economy.

The white coat creates artificial demand for medical services by enhancing perceived competence regardless of actual clinical skill. Studies demonstrate that patients rate white-coated providers as more knowledgeable and trustworthy, leading to increased utilization of services and greater willingness to follow treatment recommendations even when those recommendations lack evidence basis (Brase & Richmond, 2004; McKinstry & Wang, 1991).

This represents a profound ethical problem: the exploitation of cultural symbols to generate medical demand. The coat functions as medical marketing, creating consumer confidence in professional competence that may exceed actual therapeutic capacity.

The Spiritual Implications of Medical Costume

In “From Medical Shame to Sacred Healing,” I explored how authentic healing requires the integration of shame and wholeness, the recognition that healers are themselves wounded and in need of healing (Ungar-Sargon, 2025). The white coat prevents this integration by maintaining artificial separation between healer and healed, healthy and sick, whole and broken.

This separation violates fundamental spiritual principles present in virtually every healing tradition. Whether we examine shamanic practices, Christian healing ministries, or Jewish concepts of *refuah* (healing), authentic healing emerges through relationship, vulnerability, and the recognition of shared humanity. The white coat systematically undermines these conditions.

Consider the profound irony: medicine, which claims scientific objectivity, operates through ritual more elaborate than most religious traditions. The white coat ceremony, the hierarchical structure of medical training, the sacred spaces of hospitals all of this constitutes a religious system that denies its own religious nature.

The Phenomenology of Undressing Authority

What happens when the white coat comes off? In my own clinical practice, I have experimented extensively with

context-appropriate dress, ranging from business casual to, in certain circumstances, more informal attire. The results are consistently dramatic: patients become more communicative, ask more questions, express emotions more freely, and report higher satisfaction with care.

But the transformation extends beyond patient behavior. Without the armor of the white coat, I find myself more present, more humble, more willing to acknowledge the limits of my knowledge. The coat’s removal creates space for what I call “vulnerable competence” professional skill exercised with full acknowledgment of its limitations.

This phenomenological shift suggests that the white coat functions not only as external symbol but as psychological architecture. It shapes the internal experience of both wearer and observer, creating specific forms of consciousness that may be antithetical to healing relationship.

Toward a Theology of Therapeutic Presence

Authentic healing, I argue, requires not the projection of authority but the cultivation of presence. This presence emerges not through costuming but through what I call “therapeutic *teshuvah*” a turning toward the patient that involves the healer’s willingness to be transformed by the encounter.

The white coat prevents this transformation by maintaining rigid role boundaries. It insists that healing flows in one direction from knowledgeable physician to ignorant patient rather than recognizing healing as mutual encounter between wounded human beings.

Drawing from Martin Buber’s distinction between I-Thou and I-It relationships, the white coat systematically transforms potential I-Thou encounters into I-It interactions (Buber, 1970). The coat reduces the patient to object of medical intervention while elevating the physician to subject of therapeutic action. Genuine healing, however, requires the recognition of both parties as subjects in relationship.

The Revolutionary Potential of Therapeutic Undressing

I propose a radical experiment: the systematic abandonment of white coats except in contexts where they serve genuine protective functions (surgery, infectious disease protocols, etc.). This therapeutic undressing would represent more than dress code reform it would constitute revolution against medicine’s false sacred hierarchy.

Such revolution would require confronting the anxieties that the white coat conceals. Physicians would need to develop comfort with uncertainty, vulnerability, and the limits of technical knowledge. Patients would need to accept greater responsibility for their healing process, recognizing physicians as companions rather than saviors in the journey toward health.

The economic implications would be profound. Without the artificial authority created by white coats, medical services would need to compete on actual therapeutic value rather than symbolic projection. This could lead to more honest pricing,

better outcomes measurement, and increased accountability for therapeutic results.

Clinical Evidence for Therapeutic Undressing

Emerging research supports the revolutionary potential of white coat abandonment. Studies in psychiatric settings demonstrate that therapists who dress casually achieve better therapeutic outcomes, including increased patient disclosure, stronger therapeutic alliance, and reduced treatment dropout (Hennessy et al., 1993; Lill & Wilkinson, 2005). Similar findings emerge from pediatric settings, where colorful scrubs or casual attire significantly reduce patient anxiety and improve cooperation.

In emergency medicine, where white coats might seem most necessary, some departments have experimented with clearly labeled casual uniforms with positive results. Patients report feeling more comfortable approaching casually dressed providers with concerns, leading to earlier identification of serious symptoms (Barrett & Booth, 1994).

Perhaps most significantly, some medical schools have begun questioning the white coat ceremony itself, recognizing it as initiation into potentially harmful professional attitudes. These institutions experiment with alternative ceremonies emphasizing service, humility, and partnership rather than authority and separation (Feudtner et al., 1994).

The Resistance of Sacred Authority

The medical establishment's resistance to white coat questioning reveals the depth of medicine's investment in sacred authority. Professional organizations defend the coat not on therapeutic grounds but as necessary for maintaining "professional standards" a euphemism for hierarchical power structures.

This resistance operates through several mechanisms

Professional Identity Protection: Many physicians report feeling "naked" without white coats, revealing the coat's function as psychological armor against therapeutic vulnerability.

Economic Interest Defense: Healthcare institutions recognize white coats' role in generating patient confidence and corresponding revenue, creating financial incentives for maintaining symbolic authority.

Cultural Authority Preservation: The broader culture of scientific materialism requires symbols of expert knowledge to maintain its ideological dominance over alternative healing approaches.

Legal Liability Concerns: Some argue that white coats provide legal protection by clearly establishing professional roles and responsibilities, though evidence for this claim remains lacking.

Toward Post-Coat Medicine

Imagine medicine after the white coat. Healing encounters would begin not with the projection of authority but with the

acknowledgment of shared humanity. Physicians would dress contextually formally when formality serves healing, casually when casualness creates connection, and always with attention to what garments facilitate rather than impede authentic therapeutic relationship. Such medicine would require profound structural changes:

Training Reform: Medical education would need to emphasize relationship skills, vulnerability tolerance, and spiritual dimensions of healing rather than technical knowledge accumulation and authority projection.

Economic Restructuring: Payment systems would need to reward therapeutic outcomes rather than symbolic authority, encouraging practices that enhance actual healing rather than perceived competence.

Cultural Transformation: Society would need to develop more mature relationships with medical authority, recognizing physicians as skilled companions rather than omniscient saviors in healing journeys.

Spiritual Integration: Healthcare would need to acknowledge its inherently spiritual dimensions, integrating wisdom from diverse healing traditions rather than privileging only Western scientific approaches.

The Sacred Return to Profane Healing

This essay began with heresy and ends with hope. The white coat, revealed as false sacred object, can be replaced with something more profound: the recognition that healing itself is sacred, regardless of the healer's costume. When we strip away medicine's liturgical pretensions, we discover something more beautiful: the simple, profound reality of human beings caring for other human beings.

Such care requires no special garments, no sacred vestments, no symbols of authority beyond the authority that emerges from genuine competence exercised with humility. It requires only what it has always required: presence, skill, compassion, and the willingness to acknowledge that in the face of suffering and mortality, we are all equally vulnerable, equally in need of healing.

The white coat promised to make medicine sacred by separating it from ordinary human experience. I propose the opposite: making medicine sacred by returning it to the realm of authentic human encounter. In this return, healing becomes not professional service but spiritual practice, not technical intervention but sacred relationship.

This is medicine's true calling not the projection of false authority but the cultivation of authentic presence. Not the wearing of sacred costumes but the embodiment of healing itself. Not the maintenance of artificial hierarchies but the creation of spaces where wounded healers and wounded patients can encounter each other with honesty, vulnerability, and hope.

The white coat heresy, therefore, is not the denial of medicine's sacred dimension but its affirmation. By questioning the false sacred, we open space for the genuinely holy: the simple, profound miracle of human healing in all its messy, uncertain, beautiful reality.

References

1. Ungar-Sargon J. (2025). The Wizard Behind the Curtain. In: Healing Essays [Internet]. <https://www.jyungar.com/healing-essays>
2. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). The Compromised Healer: Moral Ambiguity in the Physician's Role Through Literary and Historical Lenses. *EC Neurology*, 17(5), 01-10. <https://eicon.net/assets/ecne/pdf/ECNE-17-01225.pdf>
3. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). Moral Ambiguity in the Therapeutic Space. In: Healing Essays [Internet]. <https://www.jyungar.com/healing-essays>
4. Pickering, T. G. (1988). White coat hypertension: time for action. *Circulation*, 98(18), 1834-6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.98.18.1834>
5. Craig, A. D. (2002). How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 3(8), 655-66. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nrn894>
6. Wager, T. D., & Atlas, L. Y. (2015). The neuroscience of placebo effects: connecting context, learning and health. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 16(7), 403-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3976>
7. Rainville, P., Duncan, G. H., Price, D. D., Carrier, B., & Bushnell, M. C. (1997). Pain affect encoded in human anterior cingulate but not somatosensory cortex. *Science*, 277(5328), 968-71. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5328.968>
8. Tracey, I., & Mantyh, P. W. (2007). The cerebral signature for pain perception and its modulation. *Neuron*, 55(3), 377-91. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.012>
9. Wager, T. D., Rilling, J. K., Smith, E. E., Sokolik, A., Casey, K. L., Davidson, R. J., Kosslyn, S. M., Rose, R. M., & Cohen, J. D. (2004). Placebo-induced changes in fMRI in the anticipation and experience of pain. *Science*, 303(5661), 1162-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093065>
10. Petrovic, P., Kalso, E., Petersson, K. M., & Ingvar, M. (2002). Placebo and opioid analgesia-- imaging a shared neuronal network. *Science*, 295(5560), 1737-40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1067176>
11. Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., Gusnard, D. A., & Shulman, G. L. (2001). A default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 98(2), 676-82. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.98.2.676>
12. Buckner, R. L., Andrews-Hanna, J. R., & Schacter, D. L. (2008). The brain's default network: anatomy, function, and relevance to disease. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 1124, 1-38. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1440.011>
13. Andrews-Hanna, J. R., Reidler, J. S., Sepulcre, J., Poulin, R., & Buckner, R. L. (2010). Functional-anatomic fractionation of the brain's default network. *Neuron*, 65(4), 550-62. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.02.005>
14. Thayer, J. F., & Lane, R. D. (2000). A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation and dysregulation. *J Affect Disord*, 61(3), 201-16. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327\(00\)00338-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327(00)00338-4)
15. Porges, S. W. (2001). The polyvagal theory: phylogenetic substrates of a social nervous system. *Int J Psychophysiol*, 42(2), 123-46. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11587772/>
16. McEwen, B. S. (1998). Stress, adaptation, and disease. Allostasis and allostatic load. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 840, 33-44. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1998.tb09546.x>
17. Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M., & Munck, A. U. (2000). How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocr Rev*, 21(1), 55-89. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1210/edrv.21.1.0389>
18. Vythilingam, M., Heim, C., Newport, J., Miller, A. H., Anderson, E., Bronen, R., Brummer, M., Staib, L., Vermetten, E., Charney, D. S., Nemeroff, C. B., Bremner, J. D. (2002). Childhood trauma associated with smaller hippocampal volume in women with major depression. *Am J Psychiatry*, 159(12), 2072-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.159.12.2072>
19. Shin, L. M., Rauch, S. L., & Pitman, R. K. (2006). Amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex, and hippocampal function in PTSD. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, 1071, 67-79. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1364.007>
20. Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). The mirror-neuron system. *Annu Rev Neurosci*, 27, 169-92. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144230>
21. Iacoboni, M., & Dapretto, M. (2006). The mirror neuron system and the consequences of its dysfunction. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 7(12), 942-51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2024>
22. Shamay-Tsoory, S. G. (2011). The neural bases for empathy. *Neuroscientist*, 17(1), 18-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073858410379268>
23. Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev*, 3(2), 71-100. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534582304267187>
24. Gapp, K., Jawaid, A., Sarkies, P., Bohacek, J., Pelczar, P., Prados, J., Farinelli, L., Miska, E., & Mansuy, I. M. (2014). Implication of sperm RNAs in transgenerational inheritance of the effects of early trauma in mice. *Nat Neurosci*, 17(5), 667-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3695>
25. Yehuda, R., Daskalakis, N. P., Bierer, L. M., Bader, H. N., Klengel, T., Holsboer, F., Binder, E. B. (2016). Holocaust exposure induced intergenerational effects on FKBP5 methylation. *Biol Psychiatry*, 80(5), 372-80. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.08.005>

26. Menahem, S., & Shvartzman, P. (1998). Is our appearance important to our patients? *Fam Pract*, 15(5), 391-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/15.5.391>
27. Budwig, M., Vessey, J. A., & Betz, C. L. (2016). An exploratory study of the effect of healthcare provider attire on patient satisfaction. *J Nurs Adm*, 46(5), 266-71.s
28. Huber, S. J. (2003). The white coat ceremony: a contemporary medical ritual. *J Med Ethics*, 29(6), 364-6. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.29.6.364>
29. Brase, G. L., & Richmond, J. (2004). The white-coat effect: physician attire and perceived authority, friendliness, and attractiveness. *J Appl Soc Psychol*, 34(12), 2469-81. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2004.tb01987.x>
30. McKinstry, B., & Wang, J. X. (1991). Putting on the style: what patients think of the way their doctor dresses. *Br J Gen Pract*, 41(348), 275-8. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1747264/>
31. Ungar-Sargon, J. (2025). From Medical Shame to Sacred Healing. In: Healing Essays [Internet]. <https://www.jyungar.com/healing-essays>
32. Buber, M. (1970). I and Thou. Translated by Walter Kaufmann. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons. <https://theanarchistlibrary.org/mirror/m/mb/martin-buber-i-and-thou.pdf>
33. Hennessy, S., Harrison, D. A., & Aitkenhead, A. R. (1993). The effect of the anaesthetist's attire on patient attitudes. The influence of dress on patient perception of the anaesthetist's prestige. *Anaesthesia*, 48(3), 219-22. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2044.1993.tb06905.x>
34. Lill, M. M., & Wilkinson, T. J. (2005). Judging a book by its cover: descriptive survey of patients' preferences for doctors' appearance and mode of address. *BMJ*, 331(7531), 1524-7. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.331.7531.1524>
35. Barrett, T. G., & Booth, I. W. (1994). Sartorial eloquence: does it exist in the doctor-patient relationship?. *BMJ*, 309(6970), 1681-4. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.309.6970.1710>
36. Feudtner, C., Christakis, D. A., & Christakis, N. A. (1994). Do clinical clerks suffer ethical erosion? Students' perceptions of their ethical environment and personal development. *Acad Med*, 69(8), 670-9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-199408000-00017>
37. Roter, D., & Larson, S. (2002). The Roter interaction analysis system (RIAS): utility and flexibility for analysis of medical interactions. *Patient Educ Couns*, 46(4), 243-51. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0738-3991\(02\)00012-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0738-3991(02)00012-5)
38. Davidson, K. W., Goldstein, M., Kaplan, R. M., Kaufmann, P. G., Knatterud, G. L., Orleans, C. T., Spring, B., Trudeau, K. J., Whitlock, E. P. (2003). Evidence-based behavioral medicine: what is it and how do we achieve it?. *Ann Behav Med*, 26(3), 161-71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324796abm2603_01
39. Street, R. L. Jr., Makoul, G., Arora, N. K., & Epstein, R. M. (2009). How does communication heal? Pathways linking clinician-patient communication to health outcomes. *Patient Educ Couns*, 74(3), 295-301. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2008.11.015>
40. Cooper, L. A., Roter, D. L., Johnson, R. L., Ford, D. E., Steinwachs, D. M., & Powe, N. R. (2003). Patient-centered communication, ratings of care, and concordance of patient and physician race. *Ann Intern Med*, 139(11), 907-15. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-139-11-200312020-00009>
41. Heritage, J., Robinson, J. D., Elliott, M. N., Beckett, M., & Wilkes, M. (2007). Reducing patients' unmet concerns in primary care: the difference one word can make. *J Gen Intern Med*, 22(10), 1429-33. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-007-0279-0>
42. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Quality of Health Care in America. (2001). Crossing the quality chasm: a new health system for the 21st century. Washington: National Academy Press; 2001. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25057539/>

Copyright: ©2025 Julian Ungar-Sargon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.