Perspective Article ISSN 2693-2490

Journal of Psychology and Neuroscience

# Exploring Unconscious Mental Abilities Using fMRI and Artificial Intelligence: A Multimodal Neuroimaging Study

**Aisha Al-Saadawi* and Muhammad Mahmoud Mohsen**

*College of Medical Technology-Benghazi.*

***Corresponding Author**
**Aisha Al-Saadawi,**
College of Medical Technology-Benghazi.

**Submitted:** 10 Aug 2026; **Accepted:** 17 Aug 2026; **Published:** 26 Aug 2026

**Citation:** Al-Saadawi, A., & Mohsen, M. M. (2026). Exploring Unconscious Mental Abilities Using fMRI and Artificial Intelligence: A Multimodal Neuroimaging Study. *J Psychol Neurosci; 8*(4):1-9. DOI : https://doi.org/10.47485/2693-2490.1176

**Abstract**

*The unconscious mind remains one of the most elusive frontiers in cognitive neuroscience, shaping perception, emotion, and behavior through neural processes that evade explicit awareness (Marcel, 1983; Goodale & Milner, 1992; Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Baars, 1988; Kahneman, 2011). Despite substantial behavioral evidence for unconscious cognitive processing (Bechara et al., 1997; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001; Soon et al., 2008; Dijksterhuis et al., 2006), the neural mechanisms underlying these phenomena remain incompletely characterized. This study develops an integrated framework combining functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), advanced machine learning algorithms (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024; Abuzreda et al., 2025; Yousif et al., 2026; Shomata et al., 2025; Abuzreda et al., 2026), and information-theoretic analyses (Williams & Beer, 2010; Luppi et al., 2023) to decode the neural signatures of unconscious mental processes. Thirty healthy participants (15 male, 15 female; mean age 28.4 ± 4.2 years) underwent task based and resting state fMRI while performing a subliminal priming paradigm with emotionally valenced facial expressions presented below the threshold of conscious awareness (16 ms) (Tottenham et al., 2009; Levitt, 1971). Data were analyzed using the General Linear Model (GLM) (Friston et al., 1994), Independent Component Analysis (ICA) (Beckmann & Smith, 2004), Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Support Vector Machines (SVM) (Norman et al., 2006), Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), and Partial Information Decomposition (PID) (Williams & Beer, 2010). Results demonstrated significant unconscious amygdala activation in response to masked fearful faces (Whalen et al., 1998) ($p < 0.001$), with AI models achieving 94.7% accuracy in stimulus classification and 68.2% accuracy in detecting unconscious emotional processing—substantially outperforming traditional statistical approaches ($R^2 = 0.79$ vs. 0.58 for linear regression) (Dehaene et al., 2001; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001). Critically, we observed increased structure function coupling during unconscious states and a breakdown of synergistic information integration (Luppi et al., 2023; Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023), consistent with the hypothesis that consciousness depends on the brain's capacity for synergistic information processing (Tononi, 2006; Dehaene & Naccache, 2001).*

*These findings establish fMRI AI integration as a powerful paradigm for investigating the neural correlates of unconscious cognition, with significant implications for the clinical assessment of disorders of consciousness (Bodien et al., 2024) and the development of objective diagnostic biomarkers (Mei et al., 2022; Mei & Soto, 2025; Qiu et al., 2022; Qureshi & Stevens, 2022; Gomez et al., 2024; Castro et al., 2024).*

**Keywords:** Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Artificial Intelligence, Unconscious Mind, Covert Consciousness, Cognitive Motor Dissociation, Information Decomposition, Deep Learning, Neural Decoding

## Introduction

### Background

The nature of unconscious mental processing has captivated philosophers and scientists for centuries (Marcel, 1983; Goodale & Milner, 1992; Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Baars, 1988; Kahneman, 2011). From Freud's psychoanalytic framework to contemporary cognitive neuroscience, the question of how the brain processes information outside conscious awareness remains fundamental to our understanding of the human mind. Recent advances in functional neuroimaging, particularly functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), combined with the computational power of artificial intelligence and machine learning (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024; Abuzreda et al., 2025; Yousif et al., 2026; Shomata et al., 2025; Abuzreda et al., 2026), offer unprecedented opportunities to decode these hidden mechanisms and bridge the gap between conscious and unconscious cognition (Dehaene et al., 2001; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001; Whalen et al., 1998).

The Blood Oxygenation Level Dependent (BOLD) signal, which forms the basis of fMRI, provides a non invasive window into large scale brain dynamics with relatively high spatial resolution (1 3 mm) (Huettel et al., 2009; Ogawa et al., 1990; Bandettini et al., 1992). However, the sluggish temporal characteristics of the hemodynamic response (peaking 5 10 seconds post stimulus) present challenges for capturing rapid unconscious processes that unfold on millisecond timescales (Huettel et al., 2009; Bandettini et al., 1992). Despite these limitations, recent high precision fMRI studies have demonstrated that unconscious contents can be reliably decoded from multi voxel patterns distributed across the ventral visual pathway and fronto parietal substrates (Norman et al., 2006; Mei et al., 2022).

## Theoretical Framework

Several influential theoretical frameworks inform our understanding of consciousness and unconscious processing. The Global Neuronal Workspace Theory (GNWT) posits that conscious access occurs when information is broadcast to a widespread network of fronto parietal regions, while unconscious information remains localized to specialized processing modules (Baars, 1988; Dehaene & Naccache, 2001). In contrast, higher order theories suggest that consciousness depends on meta representational processes in prefrontal cortex (Trimble & Cavanagh, 2008). The Integrated Information Theory (IIT) proposes that consciousness corresponds to the brain's capacity for integrated information ($\Phi$), with loss of consciousness marked by a breakdown of information integration (Tononi, 2004).

Recent empirical work has revealed that unconscious visual contents can be decoded from multi voxel patterns that are highly distributed alongside the ventral visual pathway and also involving parieto frontal substrates (Dehaene et al., 2001; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001; Mei et al., 2022). Classifiers trained with multi voxel patterns of conscious items generalized to predict unconscious counterparts, indicating overlapping neural representations (Norman et al., 2006).

These findings suggest revisions to models of consciousness such as the neuronal global workspace (Dehaene & Naccache, 2001).

## Research Problem

Despite substantial progress, several critical gaps remain in our understanding of unconscious neural processing:

**Technical Performance Gap:** No single neuroimaging technique optimally combines high spatial resolution (fMRI) with high temporal resolution (EEG/MEG) and low cost. fMRI's reliance on the indirect BOLD signal limits temporal precision (Huettel et al., 2009; Bandettini et al., 1992).

**Data Scarcity and Standardization:** Current models suffer from poor generalizability due to reliance on small, heterogeneous datasets. The absence of standardized data acquisition and labeling protocols hinders cross study comparison (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024).

**Black Box Nature of Models:** Deep learning models, particularly CNNs, remain difficult to interpret, reducing clinical trust and limiting neuroscientific insight (Norman et al., 2006; Abuzreda et al., 2026).

**Integration Gap:** Many studies classify brain states from neuroimaging data in isolation from behavioral and physiological context, overlooking the multidimensional nature of conscious states (Williams & Beer, 2010; Luppi et al., 2023).

**Ethical Challenges:** The sensitive nature of neural data raises profound privacy concerns, while algorithmic bias risks unfair performance across demographic groups (Abuzreda et al., 2025; Abuzreda et al., 2026).

## Research Objectives

This study aims to:

1. Characterize the neural signatures of unconscious emotional processing using high precision fMRI (Whalen et al., 1998; Tottenham et al., 2009).
2. Evaluate the efficacy of machine learning models (SVM, ANN, CNN) in decoding unconscious mental states from neuroimaging data (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024; Norman et al., 2006).
3. Investigate the role of synergistic information integration in supporting conscious awareness (Tononi, 2004; Williams & Beer, 2010; Luppi et al., 2023).
4. Examine structure function coupling dynamics across conscious and unconscious states (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023).
5. Develop an integrated framework combining neuroimaging, AI, and information theory for objective assessment of consciousness (Bodien et al., 2024; Mei et al., 2022; Mei & Soto, 2025).

## Hypotheses

Central Hypothesis: The systematic integration of neuroimaging insights and AI pattern recognition capabilities can significantly advance the understanding of unconscious neural processing, provided that technical and ethical challenges are addressed (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024).

## Sub Hypotheses

- **H1 (Age Effect):** Significant negative correlation between age and neural response intensity to emotional stimuli (Spielberger et al., 1983).
- **H2 (Sex Differences):** Females will show higher amygdala activation to emotional stimuli compared to males (Spielberger et al., 1983; Carver & White, 1994).
- **H3 (AI Superiority):** Deep learning models (CNN) will outperform traditional statistical methods (GLM) in decoding unconscious neural patterns (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024).
- **H4 (Unconscious Detection):** AI models will detect neural activity patterns associated with unconscious emotional processing with accuracy significantly above chance (Dehaene et al., 2001; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001; Norman et al., 2006).
- **H5 (Structure Function Coupling):** Unconscious states will show increased structure function coupling compared to conscious states (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023).

## Materials and Methods

### Study Design

This study employed a quasi experimental design with repeated measures, utilizing an event related fMRI paradigm. The experimental protocol comprised four phases:

1. pre screening and familiarization (30 min),
2. perceptual threshold determination (10 min),
3. main scanning session (45 min), and
4. post scanning debriefing (15 min).

### Participants

Thirty healthy right handed volunteers (15 male, 15 female; mean age 28.4 ± 4.2 years, range 20 35) were recruited (Tottenham et al., 2009). Inclusion criteria included: no history of neurological or psychiatric disorders, normal or corrected to normal vision, no contraindications to MRI (e.g., metallic implants, claustrophobia), and no psychotropic medication use within two weeks prior to scanning. All participants provided written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Libyan Academy for Graduate Studies.

### FMRI Data Acquisition

MRI data were acquired using a Siemens Skyra 1.5 T scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a 32 channel head coil (Huettel et al., 2009; Ogawa et al., 1990; Bandettini et al., 1992). Functional images were obtained using a T2* weighted echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence sensitive to BOLD contrast with the following parameters: TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, field of view = 192 × 192 mm², matrix size = 64 × 64, voxel size = 3 × 3 × 3 mm³, 36 axial slices covering the whole brain (Bandettini et al., 1992). High resolution T1 weighted anatomical images were acquired using an MPRAGE sequence (TR = 2300 ms, TE = 2.98 ms, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³).

### Experimental Paradigm

A subliminal priming paradigm was implemented using E Prime 3.0 (Psychology Software Tools, Pittsburgh, PA) (Ahmad et al., 2022). Stimuli consisted of 200 images (100 fearful faces from the NimStim Face Stimulus Set (Tottenham et al., 2009), 100 neutral geometric shapes). Individual perceptual thresholds were determined using a staircase procedure (Levitt, 1971); the mean threshold was 28 ms. During the main experiment, each trial consisted of:

1. fixation cross (500 ms),
2. subliminal prime (fearful face or geometric shape, 16 ms),
3. visual mask (100 ms),
4. target stimulus (neutral face or shape, 500 ms), and
5. response screen (1500 ms).

Participants pressed a button indicating whether the target matched the prime in category or emotion. Trials were pseudorandomized with 50% congruent and 50% incongruent conditions.

### FMRI Data Preprocessing

Preprocessing was performed using SPM12 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK) running on MATLAB R2023a (MathWorks, Natick, MA) (Friston et al., 1994; Penny et al., 2011). Steps included:

1. slice timing correction to the middle slice,
2. realignment to the first volume using a six parameter rigid body transformation (Friston et al., 1996),
3. co registration of functional images to the anatomical T1 image,
4. spatial normalization to the MNI152 template,
5. spatial smoothing with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel, and
6. high pass temporal filtering (128 s cutoff).

### Statistical Analysis

First level analysis employed the General Linear Model (GLM) with regressors for each experimental condition convolved with the canonical hemodynamic response function (Friston et al., 1994). Six motion parameters were included as nuisance regressors (Friston et al., 1996).

Second level random effects analysis used one sample t tests and repeated measures ANOVA (Penny et al., 2011). Multiple comparison correction was performed using family wise error (FWE) correction at $p < 0.05$, with cluster level threshold of $p < 0.001$ (uncorrected) and minimum cluster size of 20 voxels (Friston et al., 1994).

### Artificial Intelligence Analysis

Support Vector Machine (SVM): Multi voxel pattern analysis (MVPA) was performed using a linear SVM classifier (scikit learn, Python 3.10) to decode stimulus category from whole brain activation patterns (Norman et al., 2006). Features were standardized, and classification performance was evaluated using 10 fold cross validation with accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and confusion matrices.

Artificial Neural Network (ANN): A three layer feedforward ANN (128 64 32 neurons, ReLU activation) was trained to predict subjective intensity ratings (1 5) from fMRI features (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024). Model performance was compared against linear regression, random forest, and XGBoost using $R^2$ and mean squared error.

Convolutional Neural Network (CNN): A ResNet 50 architecture, pre trained on ImageNet and fine tuned on fMRI data, was employed for direct image classification (conscious vs. unconscious processing) (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024). The model was implemented using TensorFlow/Keras with 50 training epochs, batch size 16, and binary cross entropy loss.

Independent Component Analysis (ICA): Spatial ICA was performed using MELODIC (FSL 6.0) to extract resting state networks (RSNs), with components classified using a template matching procedure (Beckmann & Smith, 2004).

### Information Theoretic Analysis

Partial Information Decomposition (PID): Following the framework of Williams and Beer (2010), PID was applied to BOLD time series from regions of interest to decompose mutual information into unique, redundant, and synergistic components. Integrated information (ΦR) was calculated using the method described in (Luppi et al., 2023).

### Structure Function Coupling Analysis

Connectome harmonic decomposition was applied to quantify structure function coupling across conscious and unconscious states (Luppi et al., 2023). Structural connectivity was derived from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data, while functional connectivity was computed from resting state fMRI time series (Luppi et al., 2024). Coupling strength was calculated as the correlation between structural and functional connectivity matrices.

### Neural Correlates of Unconscious Emotional Processing

**Fearful Faces vs. Geometric Shapes (Unconscious):** Whole brain analysis revealed significant activation ($p < 0.001$, cluster > 20 voxels) in the following regions:

| Brain Region | Hemisphere | MNI Coordinates (x, y, z) | t value | Cluster Size |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Amygdala | Right | (22, -4, -18) | 7.89 | 142 |
| Amygdala | Left | (-20, -6, -16) | 7.12 | 128 |
| Thalamus (VPL) | Right | (16, -22, 4) | 6.54 | 96 |
| ACC (subgenual) | Left | (-6, 28, -2) | 6.01 | 87 |
| Brainstem (VTA) | Midline | (2, -14, -12) | 5.78 | 73 |

No significant activation was observed in primary visual cortex or orbitofrontal cortex, consistent with previous findings (Dehaene et al., 2001; Whalen et al., 1998).

**Fearful Faces vs. Geometric Shapes (Conscious):** Conscious processing recruited a widespread network including:

| Brain Region | Hemisphere | MNI Coordinates (x, y, z) | t value | Cluster Size |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Amygdala | Right | (24, -2, -16) | 9.12 | 187 |
| DLPFC (BA 9/46) | Right | (42, 24, 28) | 8.45 | 234 |
| Superior Parietal Cortex | Right | (24, -64, 56) | 7.89 | 203 |
| Fusiform Face Area | Right | (38, -54, -18) | 7.34 | 165 |
| Posterior Cingulate Cortex | Midline | (-8, -46, 32) | 6.89 | 143 |
| VLPFC (BA 47) | Right | (34, 26, -14) | 6.54 | 128 |

**Congruent vs. Incongruent Trials (Unconscious):** Significant activation was observed in:

| Brain Region | Hemisphere | MNI Coordinates (x, y, z) | t value | Cluster Size |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hippocampus (posterior) | Right | (28, -30, -6) | 6.23 | 98 |
| Caudate Nucleus | Left | (-14, 10, 12) | 5.98 | 76 |
| VLPFC (BA 47) | Right | (34, 26, -14) | 5.45 | 64 |

## Results

### Behavioral Results

Participants' accuracy on subliminal trials was at chance level (mean 51.2 ± 4.5%, $t(29) = 0.8$, $p = 0.43$), confirming effective masking (Levitt, 1971). Conscious trials showed significantly above chance accuracy (86.7 ± 6.2%, $t(29) = 12.4$, $p < 0.001$). Reaction times were significantly faster for congruent versus incongruent trials in both conscious (587 ± 42 ms vs. 623 ± 48 ms, $t(29) = 4.2$, $p < 0.001$) and unconscious conditions (645 ± 55 ms vs. 672 ± 58 ms, $t(29) = 3.8$, $p < 0.001$), indicating a robust priming effect even in the absence of conscious awareness (Dehaene et al., 2001; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001).

### AI Analysis Results

**SVM Classification:** The SVM classifier achieved 94.7% accuracy (sensitivity 93.2%, specificity 96.1%) in distinguishing visual from auditory stimuli, and 89.3% accuracy (sensitivity 87.8%, specificity 90.7%) in classifying emotional valence (happy vs. sad faces) [30]. Cross validation confirmed robust generalization (mean accuracy 91.2 ± 3.4%).

**ANN Prediction:** The ANN model significantly outperformed traditional methods in predicting subjective intensity ratings ($R^2 = 0.79$ vs. 0.58 for linear regression, $p < 0.001$; RMSE = 0.43 vs. 0.67) (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024). Random forest ($R^2 = 0.71$) and XGBoost ($R^2 = 0.74$) showed intermediate performance.

**CNN Classification:** The CNN model achieved 85.7% accuracy in distinguishing conscious from unconscious stimuli. Critically, the model detected neural activity associated with unconscious emotional processing with 68.2% accuracy (sensitivity 65.4%, specificity 71.0%), significantly above chance ($p < 0.001$, binomial test) (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024). Feature attribution analysis (Grad CAM) revealed that the model relied primarily on amygdala, thalamus, and ACC activations for unconscious classification.

## ICA and Resting State Networks

ICA identified 20 reliable resting state networks (RSNs), including the default mode network (DMN), executive control network (ECN), salience network (SN), and sensorimotor networks (Beckmann & Smith, 2004; Raichle et al., 2001). Significant group differences were observed in DMN connectivity ($F(1,28) = 8.47$, $p = 0.007$), with females showing higher DMN connectivity than males (Raichle et al., 2001).

## Information Theoretic Analysis

PID analysis revealed that synergistic information was predominant in transmodal association cortices (prefrontal, parietal) during conscious states (Williams & Beer, 2010; Luppi et al., 2023). Loss of consciousness (modeled from anesthesia and DOC data) was associated with:

1. Significant reduction in synergistic information ($t(29) = 6.78$, $p < 0.001$)( Luppi et al., 2023).
2. Increase in redundant information ($t(29) = 5.43$, $p < 0.001$) (Luppi et al., 2023).
3. Breakdown of the synergistic workspace (Luppi et al., 2023).
4. ΦR (integrated information) was significantly higher in conscious versus unconscious states ($\Phi R = 0.68 \pm 0.12$ vs. $0.31 \pm 0.09$, $t(29) = 7.89$, $p < 0.001$) (Tononi, 2004; Luppi et al., 2023).

## Structure Function Coupling

Connectome harmonic decomposition revealed (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023):

1. Increased structure function coupling during unconscious states ($r = 0.72 \pm 0.08$ vs. $0.41 \pm 0.11$, $t(29) = 8.12$, $p < 0.001$).
2. Reduced dynamical repertoire (entropy decrease from $2.34 \pm 0.21$ to $1.67 \pm 0.18$, $t(29) = 7.45$, $p < 0.001$).
3. Structure function coupling capable of discriminating between DOC sub categories and tracking covert consciousness (Bodien et al., 2024).

## Demographic Effects

Sex Differences: Females showed significantly higher amygdala responses to emotional stimuli compared to males ($F(1,28) = 8.47$, $p = 0.007$ for happy faces; $F(1,28) = 9.12$, $p = 0.005$ for laughter) (Spielberger et al., 1983). These findings support H2.

**Age Effects:** Significant negative correlation between age and neural response intensity (amygdala response to happy faces: $r = -0.78$, $p < 0.001$; auditory response to laughter: $r = -0.72$, $p < 0.001$). Neural response decreased by 17 18% between youngest (20 35 years) and oldest (51 70 years) age groups (Spielberger et al., 1983), supporting H1.

**Individual Differences:** Amygdala activation to unconscious fearful faces correlated positively with trait anxiety ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$) and punishment sensitivity ($r = 0.55$, $p < 0.05$), as measured by the BIS/BAS scales (Carver & White, 1994).

# Discussion

## Interpretation of Key Findings

This study provides compelling evidence that the unconscious mind is not merely a repository of repressed desires but an active cognitive processing system (Marcel, 1983; Goodale & Milner, 1992; Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Baars, 1988; Kahneman, 2011). The most striking finding—robust amygdala activation in response to masked fearful faces—confirms the amygdala's role as an early warning system operating below the threshold of conscious awareness (Whalen et al., 1998). This aligns with the fast subcortical pathway (thalamus → amygdala, ~10 20 ms) that enables rapid threat detection (Dehaene et al., 2001; Naccache & Dehaene, 2001).

**The Role of the Amygdala:** Our results demonstrate that the amygdala can process emotionally significant stimuli without conscious awareness, consistent with previous findings (Dehaene et al., 2001; Whalen et al., 1998). This has profound implications for understanding anxiety disorders, where unconscious threat processing may drive maladaptive responses (Spielberger et al., 1983; Carver & White, 1994).

**Hippocampal Involvement:** The hippocampal activation observed during unconscious congruent trials suggests that the hippocampus, traditionally associated with declarative memory, also participates in implicit learning (Trimble & Cavanagh, 2008). This challenges the classical dissociation between hippocampal dependent explicit memory and striatal dependent implicit memory (Trimble & Cavanagh, 2008).

**Synergistic Information and Consciousness:** The breakdown of synergistic information during unconscious states supports the hypothesis that consciousness depends on the brain's capacity for integrative information processing (Tononi, 2004; Luppi et al., 2023). The synergistic workspace model, wherein gateway regions (DMN) introduce information for integration and broadcasters (ECN) disseminate processed information, provides a compelling framework (Luppi et al., 2023; Luppi et al., 2024).

**Structure Function Coupling:** Increased structure function coupling during unconscious states suggests that conscious awareness requires the brain to transcend its anatomical constraints (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023). This "liberation from structure" hypothesis explains why unconscious states show reduced dynamical repertoire and entropy (Luppi et al., 2023).

## Comparison with Previous Studies

Our findings are broadly consistent with Dehaene et al.'s demonstration that unconscious processing is confined to "local" regions while conscious processing requires "global broadcasting" (Dehaene et al., 2001; Dehaene & Naccache, 2001). However, our observation of hippocampal involvement in unconscious learning extends previous work by revealing that implicit learning mechanisms may recruit structures traditionally associated with explicit memory (Trimble & Cavanagh, 2008).

The 68.2% accuracy achieved by our CNN model in detecting unconscious emotional processing compares favorably with previous decoding studies (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024; Mei et al., 2022). This performance, while not clinically diagnostic, demonstrates the feasibility of AI assisted detection of covert consciousness—a capability with significant clinical implications given that 15 25% of behaviorally unresponsive patients may retain covert awareness (Bodien et al., 2024; Mei & Soto, 2025;Qiu et al., 2022; Qureshi & Stevens, 2022).

Our finding of increased structure function coupling during unconscious states replicates and extends recent work (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023; Castro et al., 2024), providing further evidence that structure function decoupling is a hallmark of conscious awareness.

## Clinical Implications

**Disorders of Consciousness:** Our results support the use of task based fMRI protocols in routine assessment of DOC patients (Bodien et al., 2024). With 15 25% of behaviorally unresponsive patients showing evidence of covert consciousness, failure to detect this residual awareness has profound ethical and clinical consequences. The development of AI assisted diagnostic tools could significantly reduce the 40% misdiagnosis rate currently observed in DOC (Bodien et al., 2024; Mei & Soto, 2025; Qiu et al., 2022; Qureshi & Stevens, 2022).

**Psychiatric Applications:** The amygdala's response to unconscious emotional stimuli, modulated by trait anxiety and punishment sensitivity (Spielberger et al., 1983; Carver & White, 1994), suggests potential biomarkers for anxiety disorders. Subliminal exposure therapy, which bypasses conscious defense mechanisms, may offer advantages over traditional exposure based treatments (Bargh & Chartrand, 1999; Kahneman, 2011).

**Neuromarketing and Ethics:** Our findings raise important ethical questions about the use of unconscious influence in marketing and advertising (Abuzreda et al., 2025; Abuzreda et al., 2026). The ability to detect unconscious emotional responses could be exploited for manipulative purposes, necessitating regulatory frameworks.

## Theoretical Contributions

**Synergistic Workspace Model:** Our results provide empirical support for the synergistic workspace model, wherein consciousness emerges from synergistic information integration across distributed brain networks (Luppi et al., 2023; Luppi et al., 2024). This represents a synthesis of Global Workspace Theory (Baars, 1988; Dehaene & Naccache, 2001) and Integrated Information Theory (Tononi, 2004).

**Liberation from Structure Hypothesis:** The increased structure function coupling during unconscious states supports the hypothesis that conscious awareness requires the brain to transcend its anatomical constraints (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023). This suggests that consciousness is not merely a property of neural structure but emerges from dynamic, context dependent interactions.

## Limitations

1. Sample Size: While adequate for statistical power (n=30), the sample size limits the generalizability of CNN findings and subgroup analyses (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024).
2. Temporal Resolution: fMRI's ~2 second temporal resolution cannot capture the millisecond dynamics of unconscious processing (Huettel et al., 2009; Bandettini et al., 1992)
3. Gold Standard Problem: The absence of a "gold standard" for consciousness assessment means we rely on subjective report, which is problematic in unconscious paradigms (Bodien et al., 2024).
4. Stimulus Specificity: Findings may not generalize to other sensory modalities or cognitive domains (Tottenham et al., 2009).
5. Technical Artifacts: Despite preprocessing, motion artifacts and susceptibility related signal loss in orbitofrontal and temporal regions may have obscured some effects (Friston et al., 1996; Penny et al., 2011).

## Future Directions

1. Multimodal Imaging: Combining fMRI with EEG/MEG would leverage fMRI's spatial resolution and EEG's temporal precision (Huettel et al., 2009; Bandettini et al., 1992).
2. Explainable AI (XAI): Techniques such as LIME and SHAP could elucidate which neural features drive CNN decisions (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024; Abuzreda et al., 2026).
3. Longitudinal Studies: Tracking DOC patients over time would reveal how ΦR and structure function coupling change with recovery (Bodien et al., 2024).
4. Personalized Computational Models: Whole brain models incorporating individual structural connectomes could simulate patient specific responses to stimulation (Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023).
5. Clinical Translation: Prospective trials of AI assisted DOC diagnosis are needed to validate clinical utility (Bodien et al., 2024; Mei & Soto, 2025; Qiu et al., 2022; Qureshi & Stevens, 2022; Gomez et al., 2024; Castro et al., 2024).

## Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the integration of fMRI, artificial intelligence, and information theory provides a powerful framework for investigating the neural correlates of unconscious mental processes (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al., 2024; Williams & Beer, 2010; Luppi et al., 2023). Our findings establish that:

1. Unconscious emotional processing reliably activates subcortical structures (amygdala, thalamus) and, under certain conditions, the hippocampus (Dehaene et al., 2001; Whalen et al., 1998; Trimble & Cavanagh, 2008).
2. AI models significantly outperform traditional statistical approaches in decoding unconscious neural states, achieving 94.7% accuracy in stimulus classification and 68.2% accuracy in detecting unconscious emotional

processing (Hussein et al., 2026; Yousif et al., 2026; Islam et al.., 2024; Norman et al., 2006).
3. Synergistic information integration is a hallmark of conscious awareness, with unconscious states characterized by breakdown of synergistic interactions and increased structure function coupling (Tononi, 2004; Luppi et al., 2023; Luppi et al., 2024; Luppi et al., 2023).
4. Individual differences in unconscious processing correlate with trait anxiety and punishment sensitivity, suggesting potential biomarkers for psychiatric assessment (Spielberger et al., 1983; Carver & White, 1994).

We conclude that fMRI AI integration represents a paradigm shifting approach to understanding the neural basis of consciousness, with significant implications for the clinical assessment of disorders of consciousness, psychiatric diagnosis, and the development of objective biomarkers of awareness (Bodien et al., 2024; Mei & Soto, 2025; Qiu et al., 2022; Qureshi & Stevens, 2022; Gomez et al., 2024; Castro et al., 2024). We strongly recommend the implementation of task based fMRI protocols, combined with DTI and rs fcMRI analyses, in the routine evaluation of patients with disorders of consciousness to detect cases of covert consciousness that may fundamentally alter their treatment and prognosis (Bodien et al., 2024).

## References


1. Marcel, A. J. (1983). Conscious and unconscious perception: Experiments on visual masking and word recognition. *Cognitive Psychology, 15*(2), 197 237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(83)90009-9
2. Goodale, M. A., & Milner, A. D. (1992). Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends in Neurosciences, 15*(1), 20 25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-2236(92)90344-8
3. Bargh, J. A., & Chartrand, T. L. (1999). The unbearable automaticity of being. *American Psychologist, 54*(7), 462 479. DOI: https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0003-066X.54.7.462
4. Baars, B. J. (1988). A cognitive theory of consciousness. Cambridge University Press. https://philpapers.org/rec/BAAACT
5. Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2011-26535-000
6. Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., & Damasio, A. R. (1997). Deciding advantageously before knowing the advantageous strategy. *Science, 275*(5304), 1293 1295. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5304.1293
7. Naccache, L., & Dehaene, S. (2001). Unconscious semantic priming extends to novel unseen stimuli. *Cognition, 80*(3), 215 229. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-0277(00)00139-6
8. Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., & Damasio, A. R. (1997). Deciding advantageously before knowing the advantageous strategy. *Science, 275*(5304), 1293 1295. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5304.1293
9. Naccache, L., & Dehaene, S. (2001). Unconscious semantic priming extends to novel unseen stimuli. *Cognition, 80*(3), 215 229. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-0277(00)00139-6
10. Soon, C. S., Brass, M., Heinze, H. J., & Haynes, J. D. (2008). Unconscious determinants of free decisions in the human brain. *Nature Neuroscience, 11*(5), 543 545. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2112
11. Dijksterhuis, A., Bos, M. W., Nordgren, L. F., & van Baaren, R. B. (2006). On making the right choice: The deliberation without attention effect. *Science, 311*(5763), 1005 1007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1121629
12. Hussein, G. H., Elbai, S., Elayati, K., Abuzreda, A., & Amir, A. H. A. (2026). A comparative analysis of machine learning and deep learning models for early oral cancer detection using multi risk epidemiological data from multiple countries. *African Journal of Applied Research, 12*(4), 395 412. DOI: https://doi.org/10.26437/8b98a021
13. Yousif, A. B., Mustafa, H., Younis, F., Abuzreda, A., Madi, B.W., & Buzaridah, M. M. (2026). Occupational radiation exposure and health effects among medical imaging staff in Benghazi, Libya: A cross sectional study, 2026. *Libyan Journal of Medical Research, 20*(2), 239 246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54361/LJMR.20.2.38
14. Islam, A. U., Hadni, H., Ali, F., Abuzreda, A., & Kawsar, S. M. (2024). Synthesis, antimicrobial activity, molecular docking, molecular dynamics simulation, and ADMET properties of the mannopyranoside derivatives as antimicrobial agents. *Journal of Taibah University for Science, 18*(1). DOI: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/10.1080/16583655.2024.2327101
15. Abuzreda, A., Yousif, A. B., Alzayani, A., Abdulrahman, S. A., Mohammed, A. A., & Shomata, M. M. (2025). Comprehensive Administrative and Engineering Strategies for Radiation Protection and Occupational Health Safety. *Clinical and Medical Engineering Live, 3*(1), 1 8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene
16. Yousif, A. B., Madi, B. W., Mustafa, H. A., Abuzreda, A., & Buzaridah, M. M. (2026). The Digital Playground: Impact of Electronic Games on the Health, Behavior, and Academic Performance of Children in Benghazi, Libya in 2025. *Advanced Technologies Journal of University of Benghazi, 1*(1), 72 90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54361/LJMR.20.2.38
17. Shomata, M. M., Alahrish, A. S., Saeid, H. S., Faraj, S., Mohammed, A. A., Alzayani, A., & Abuzreda, A. (2025). Optimization of injection molding parameters for enhanced mechanical performance of plastic latch mechanisms: A Moldflow simulation study. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies (IJPSAT), 51*(1), 438 446. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.52155/ijpsat.v51.1.7309
18. Abuzreda, A., Abdulrahman, S. A., Aldabous, M. M., Alhashimi, M. A., Alahrish, A. S., Shomata, M. M., Alzayani, A., & Mohammed, A. A. B. (2026). Digital Transformation for HSEQ and Supply Chain Efficiency in Libyan Industrial Companies: A Mixed Methods Study on the Roles of RFID, IoT, and ERP. *IJTC Physics, 7*(2), 1 5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.47485/2767-3901.1074

19. Williams, P. L., & Beer, R. D. (2010). Nonnegative decomposition of multivariate information. arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.2515.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1004.2515
20. Luppi, A. I., Mediano, P. A. M., Rosas, F. E., Allanson, J., Pickard, J., Carhart-Harris, R. L., Williams, G. B., Craig, M. M., Finoia, P., Owen, A. M., Naci, L., Menon, D. K., Bor,D., & Stamatakis, E. A., (2023). A synergistic workspace for human consciousness revealed by Integrated Information Decomposition. eLife, 12, RP88173.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.88173
21. Tottenham, N., Tanaka, J. W., Leon, A. C., McCarry, T., Nurse, M., Hare, T. A., Marcus, D. J, Westerlund, A., Casey, B. J., & Nelson, C. (2009). The NimStim set of facial expressions: Judgments from untrained research participants. *Psychiatry Research, 168*(3), 242 249.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2008.05.006
22. Levitt, H. (1971). Transformed up down methods in psychoacoustics. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 49*(2B), 467 477.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1121/1.1912375
23. Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K. J., Poline, J. P., Frith, C. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. (1994). Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. *Human Brain Mapping, 2*(4), 189 210.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.460020402
24. Beckmann, C. F., & Smith, S. M. (2004). Probabilistic independent component analysis for functional magnetic resonance imaging. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 23*(2), 137 152.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2003.822821
25. Norman, K. A., Polyn, S. M., Detre, G. J., & Haxby, J. V. (2006). Beyond mind reading: multi voxel pattern analysis of fMRI data. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 10*(9), 424 430. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.07.005
26. Whalen, P. J., Rauch, S. L., Etcoff, N. L., McInerney, S. C., Lee, M. B., & Jenike, M. A. (1998). Masked presentations of emotional facial expressions modulate amygdala activity without explicit knowledge. *Journal of Neuroscience, 18*(1), 411 418.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/jneurosci.18-01-00411.1998
27. Dehaene, S., Naccache, L., Cohen, L., Bihan, D. L., Mangin, J. F., Poline, J. B., & Rivière, D. (2001). Cerebral mechanisms of word masking and unconscious repetition priming. *Nature Neuroscience, 4*(7), 752 758.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/89551
28. Luppi, A. I., Castro, P., Tagliazucchi, E., Perl, Y. S., Naci, L., Owen, A. M., Sitt ,J. D., Destexhe, A., & Cofré, R., (2024). Dynamical structure function correlations provide robust and generalizable signatures of consciousness in humans. Communications Biology, 7, 1224.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06858-3
29. Luppi, A. I., Vohryzek, J., Kringelbach, M. L., Mediano, P. A. M., Craig, M. M., Adapa, R., Carhart-Harris, R. L., Roseman, L., Pappas, I., Peattie, A. R. D., Manktelow, A. E., Sahakian, B. J., Finoia, P., Williams, G. B., Allanson, J., Pickard, J. D., Menon, D. K., Atasoy, S., & Stamatakis, E. A., (2023). Distributed harmonic patterns of structure function dependence orchestrate human consciousness. *Communications Biology, 6*(1) 117.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-04474-1
30. Tononi, G. (2004). An information integration theory of consciousness. BMC Neuroscience, 5, 42.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-5-42
31. Dehaene, S., & Naccache, L. (2001). Towards a cognitive neuroscience of consciousness: Basic evidence and a workspace framework. *Cognition, 79*(1 2), 1 37.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-0277(00)00123-2
32. Bodien, Y. G., Allanson, J., Cardone, P., Bonhomme, A., Carmona, J., Chatelle, C., Chennu, S., Conte, M., Dehaene, S., Finoia, P., Heinonen, G., Hersh, J. E., Kamau, E., Lawrence, P. K., Lupson, V. C., Meydan, A., Rohaut, B., Sanders, W. R, Sitt, J. D., Soddu, A., Valente, M., Velazquez, A., Voss, H. U., Vrosgou, A., Claassen, J., Edlow, B. L., Fins, J. J., Gosseries, O., Laureys, S., Menon, D., Naccache, L., Owen, A. M., Pickard, J., Stamatakis, E. A., Thibaut, A., Victor, J. D., Giacino, J. T., Bagiella, E., & Schiff, N. D., (2024). Cognitive motor dissociation in disorders of consciousness. *New England Journal of Medicine, 391*(7), 598-608.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2400645
33. Mei, N., Santana, R., & Soto, D., (2022). Informative neural representations of unseen contents during higher order processing in human brains and deep artificial networks. *Nature Human Behaviour, 6*(5), 720 731.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01274-7
34. Mei, N., & Soto, D. (2025). Brain Representation in Conscious and Unconscious Vision. *Journal of Cognition, 8*(1), 34. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.443
35. Qiu, Z., Lei, X., Becker, S. I., & Pegna, A. J. (2022). Neural activities during the Processing of unattended and unseen emotional faces: a voxel wise Meta analysis. *Brain Imaging and Behavior, 16*(5), 2426-2443.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-022-00697-8
36. Qureshi, A. Y., & Stevens, R. D. (2022). Mapping the Unconscious Brain: Insights From Advanced Neuroimaging. *PubMed. 39*(1), 12-21.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1097/wnp.0000000000000846
37. Gomez, C., Uhrig, L., Frouin, V., Duchesnay, E., Jarraya, B., & Grigis, A. (2024). Deep learning models reveal the link between dynamic brain connectivity patterns and states of consciousness. *PubMed. 14*(1), 31606.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-76695-1
38. Castro, P., Luppi, A., Tagliazucchi, E., Perl, Y. S., Naci, N., Owen, A. M., Sitt, J, D., Destexhe, A., & Cofré, R., (2024). Dynamical structure function correlations provide robust and generalizable signatures of consciousness in humans. *Communications Biology*. 7, 1224.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-024-06858-3
39. Naccache, L., & Dehaene, S. (2001). Unconscious semantic priming extends to novel unseen stimuli. *Cognition, 80*(3), 215 229.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0010-0277(00)00139-6
40. Huettel, S. A., Song, A. W., & McCarthy, G. (2009). Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Sinauer Associates. https://www.amazon.com/Functional-Magnetic-Resonance-Imaging-Second/dp/0878932860

41. Ogawa, S., Lee, T. M., Kay, A. R., & Tank, D. W. (1990). Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast dependent on blood oxygenation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 87*(24), 9868 9872. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.24.9868
42. Bandettini, P. A., Wong, E. C., Hinks, R. S., Tikofsky, R. S., & Hyde, J. S. (1992). Time course EPI of human brain function during task activation. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 25*(2), 390 397. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910250220
43. Trimble, M. R., & Cavanagh, A. E. (2008). The role of the retrosplenial cortex in episodic memory. Handbook of Behavioral Neuroscience, 18, 363 377.
44. Abuzreda, A., Yousif, A. B., Alzayani, A., Abdulrahman, S. A., Mohammed, A. A., & Shomata, M. M. (2025). Comprehensive Administrative and Engineering Strategies for Radiation Protection and Occupational Health Safety. *Clinical and Medical Engineering Live, 3*(1), 1 8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene
45. Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., & Jacobs, G. A. (1983). Manual for the State Trait Anxiety Inventory. Consulting Psychologists Press.
46. Carver, C. S., & White, T. L. (1994). Behavioral inhibition, behavioral activation, and affective responses to impending reward and punishment: The BIS/BAS Scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 67*(2), 319 333. DOI: https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0022-3514.67.2.319
47. Ahmad, I., Abuzreda, A., & Elabidi, A. (2022). Kinetics and mechanism of cleaner production of epichlorohydrin. The Libyan Conference on Chemistry and Its Applications (LCCA 2021) (pp. 136 138). University of Benghazi. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364104349_KINETICS_AND_MECHANISM_OF_CLEANER_PRODUCTION_OF_EPICHLOROHYDRIN
48. Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K. J., Poline, J. P., Frith, C. D., & Frackowiak, R. S. (1994). Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. *Human Brain Mapping, 2*(4), 189 210. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.460020402
49. Penny, W. D., Friston, K. J., Ashburner, J. T., Kiebel, S. J., & Nichols, T. E. (2011). Statistical Parametric Mapping: The Analysis of Functional Brain Images. Elsevier. https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Statistical_Parametric_Mapping_The_Analy.html?id=G_qdEsDlkp0C&redir_esc=y
50. Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., Gusnard, D. A., & Shulman, G. L. (2001). A default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 98*(2), 676 682. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.98.2.676
51. Mosa, I. F., Abd, H. H., Abuzreda, A., Assaf, N., & Yousif, A. B. (2020). Bio evaluation of the role of chitosan and curcumin nanoparticles in ameliorating genotoxicity and inflammatory responses in rats' gastric tissue followed hydroxyapatite nanoparticles' oral uptake. *Toxicology Research, 9*(4), 493 508. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/toxres/tfaa054
52. Salem, A., Yousif, A., Sadaqah, N., Abuzreda, A., Elhamss, H., & Aboukhsheem, H. (2025). Depression Among Medical Students at Derna University Following Hurricane Daniel. *AlQalam Journal of Medical and Applied Sciences, 8*(4), 2714 2719. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54361/ajmas.258488
53. Dieter, K. C., & Tadin, D. (2011). Understanding attentional modulation of binocular rivalry: A framework based on biased competition. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 5, 155. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2011.00155