

Ethical Challenges in Integrating Advanced Technology and AI into Nursing Education

Dr. William G. Zic, DNP, MSN, RN

Associate Professor of Nursing, Dominican University, United States.

Corresponding author*Dr. William G. Zic, DNP, MSN, RN**

Associate Professor of Nursing, Dominican University, United States.

Submitted: 13 May 2026; Accepted: 5 Aug 2026; Published: 16 Oct 2026

Citation: William G. Zic. (2026). Ethical Challenges in Integrating Advanced Technology and AI into Nursing Education. *J Nurs Care Repo*; 7(4):1-9. DOI : <https://doi.org/10.47485/3065-7636.1056>

Abstract

Background: Educational technology and artificial intelligence (AI) are transforming nursing education by expanding approaches to instruction, assessment, and clinical simulation. These innovations offer opportunities to enhance learning while creating ethical, professional, and pedagogical challenges requiring thoughtful evaluation.

Purpose: This paper examines the ethical implications of integrating AI and educational technology into nursing education and explores strategies for implementation that preserve professional standards, equity, academic integrity, and educational quality.

Discussion: AI integration in nursing education raises concerns related to privacy, accessibility, digital competence, academic integrity, and the student-faculty relationship. Increased reliance on digital platforms requires strong data governance and compliance with regulations such as the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) to protect student information. Limited access to technology may also contribute to educational inequities.

Faculty and student development are essential to promote responsible technology use. Generative AI introduces concerns regarding plagiarism, misinformation, and potential effects on critical thinking and clinical reasoning. Nursing programs must establish clear guidelines for ethical AI use while ensuring that technology complements rather than replaces faculty mentorship and human connection.

Ethical Analysis: Ethical technology integration should reflect nursing values of caring, compassion, justice, respect, and accountability. AI can support learning, feedback, and clinical reasoning but should not replace professional judgment or moral responsibility. The American Nurses Association emphasizes that AI should augment nursing practice while maintaining professional accountability (ANA, 2015). AI-generated information should inform, not determine, high-stakes academic decisions, with transparency, human oversight, and opportunities for review.

Implications and Conclusion: Ethical adoption of AI prepares future nurses for digital healthcare environments by strengthening technological literacy, ethical reasoning, and equitable access to learning. Nurse educators play a critical role in modeling responsible technology use. Successful integration requires faculty development, institutional support, and ethical frameworks that balance innovation with accountability while preserving nursing's commitment to compassionate, evidence-based care.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, nursing education, technology integration, ethical challenges, academic integrity, digital competence.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and related digital technologies offer significant promise for healthcare and nursing education, but their integration also introduces ethical, professional, and clinical challenges. Key concerns include algorithmic bias, data privacy, cybersecurity, accountability, transparency, and the potential erosion of humanistic care (O'Connor, 2023; Wei et al., 2025). AI systems trained on incomplete or nonrepresentative data may perpetuate disparities in clinical decision-making and patient outcomes, while expanding

digital platforms and predictive tools raise questions about the protection of sensitive patient and student information, informed consent, and regulatory compliance.

In nursing education and practice, overreliance on technology may weaken interpersonal communication, empathy, and therapeutic relationships that are central to professional nursing care. AI-supported decision tools may also influence clinical judgment and create uncertainty about accountability when

errors occur. Nurse educators and healthcare organizations must therefore ensure that technology supports rather than replaces critical thinking, ethical reasoning, and compassionate, patient-centered care.

Professional organizations continue to emphasize the need to preserve nursing's core values during rapid technological change. The American Nurses Association stresses that advanced technologies may improve efficiency, safety, and outcomes, but they must not compromise compassion, relational care, advocacy, dignity, or holistic care (Clipper et al., 2018). As AI-enabled technologies become more common in healthcare systems, nurse educators and practicing nurses must be prepared to evaluate their ethical implications, promote equitable use, and support policies that protect the humanistic foundation of nursing practice.

These concerns are equally relevant in nursing education, where faculty increasingly use digital learning platforms, AI-enabled writing tools, virtual simulation, electronic health record simulations, adaptive learning systems, and online communication technologies. Although these tools can enhance engagement, accessibility, flexibility, and clinical readiness, they also introduce ethical and pedagogical challenges that require careful oversight.

Key concerns include protection of student data, equitable access to technology, digital literacy, and prevention of widening educational disparities. As nursing programs expand their use of cloud-based systems, learning analytics, and AI-supported tools, confidentiality and secure information management remain fundamental professional obligations. These responsibilities include cybersecurity, encryption, informed consent, and compliance with privacy regulations such as the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and institutional data protection policies (FFNE, 2024).

Equity and justice are also central concerns. Students from marginalized, rural, or economically disadvantaged backgrounds may have limited access to reliable internet, current devices, digital support resources, or technology-rich learning environments. These inequities can reduce participation, engagement, academic performance, and overall educational outcomes. Public health scholarship likewise warns that AI technologies may reinforce existing systemic inequities when ethical safeguards, inclusive design, and equitable implementation are not intentionally prioritized (Dankwa-Mullan, 2024).

The rapid rise of generative AI has added concerns related to academic integrity, authorship, plagiarism, misinformation, and the development of critical thinking and clinical reasoning. AI-generated content can blur the distinction between original scholarship and automated assistance, creating uncertainty about appropriate academic use. Recent scholarship emphasizes the need for clearer institutional guidelines, transparent policies, reliable plagiarism detection, and education on responsible AI

use to protect the integrity of nursing scholarship, evidence-based practice, and clinical judgment development (Bodur et al., 2025).

Digital technologies also affect the student teacher relationship. Greater reliance on virtual communication and technology-mediated instruction may reduce face-to-face interaction, mentorship, and interpersonal connection that support student development and professional socialization. Educators must therefore remain intentional in creating supportive, compassionate learning environments that preserve human connection and uphold the relational values central to nursing education and practice.

As nursing education becomes more data-driven and digitally mediated, nurse educators must engage with the growing evidence on AI ethics, digital responsibility, and technology governance. The rapid adoption of AI-driven tools, predictive analytics, virtual simulation, and adaptive learning platforms requires evaluation not only of effectiveness but also of ethical, social, and professional implications. Without thoughtful oversight, technology may reinforce bias, reduce human interaction, and deepen inequities in both education and healthcare.

Emerging ethical frameworks emphasize digital literacy, ethical reasoning, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary collaboration so that technological innovation supports rather than undermines nursing values (Dunlap & Michalowski, 2024). Nurse educators must guide students in responsible use of AI and digital technologies while fostering competencies in data stewardship, privacy protection, transparency, accountability, and equitable care.

Nursing programs must prepare future nurses to practice competently in healthcare systems that are increasingly technology-driven and data-dependent. This preparation extends beyond technical skill to include ethical awareness, cultural sensitivity, clinical judgment, and compassionate communication. As AI and automation continue to shape care delivery, preserving empathy, advocacy, therapeutic relationships, and patient centered care remain essential.

The ethical integration of digital technologies into nursing education is therefore both a pedagogical and a professional responsibility. Nurse educators are positioned to ensure that innovation is implemented in ways that uphold justice, equity, integrity, and human connection. Balancing technological advancement with nursing's core values will be essential to preparing future nurses to deliver ethical, compassionate, and evidence-based care.

Background

Digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) are reshaping both healthcare delivery and higher education. In clinical practice, AI-enhanced systems are increasingly used for diagnostic support, workflow optimization, documentation, and predictive analytics, and healthcare leaders report growing

organizational investment in these tools (Phillips, 2024). Nursing education must therefore prepare graduates to practice in complex, technology-rich environments.

In academic settings, AI offers opportunities to strengthen teaching and learning through adaptive platforms, automated formative feedback, immersive simulation, and data-informed assessment strategies that support competency-based education (Booth et al., 2021). These innovations may improve student engagement, clinical reasoning development, and efficiency in curriculum delivery, but they also introduce ethical and pedagogical concerns that parallel those seen in clinical practice.

Scholarship identifies algorithmic bias, threats to data privacy and security, and ambiguity about accountability as persistent challenges in the use of AI across health professions education (Cardona et al., 2023; Wei et al., 2025; Yakusheva et al., 2024). In nursing education, these issues are especially important because protection of learner data, equitable access to resources, and fairness in algorithmically informed assessment are essential to academic integrity and professional standards. Professional guidance reinforces this imperative. The American Nurses Association emphasizes that emerging technologies must support, rather than diminish, the profession's core commitments to compassion, relational care, patient advocacy, and human dignity (ANA, 2015; Clipper et al., 2018). Accordingly, the integration of AI in nursing education must be guided by ethical principles that preserve humanistic decision-making while preparing students for increasingly data-driven healthcare environments.

Privacy and data security are foundational ethical concerns as nursing programs rely more heavily on digital learning platforms, electronic health record simulations, and cloud-based technologies. Ethical analyses emphasize safeguarding sensitive student and patient related data, securing information storage and transmission, and implementing robust cybersecurity measures to prevent unauthorized access, breaches, and misuse (FFNE, 2024).

Technology integration may also widen educational inequities when learners lack reliable devices, stable internet access, or advanced digital literacy. Public health and medical education scholarship warns that AI systems may reinforce structural inequities if access, representation, and algorithmic fairness are not addressed through intentional design and governance (Dankwa-Mullan, 2024). In nursing education, unequal access to digital resources can impair engagement, academic performance, and development of essential clinical competencies.

Qualitative research on nurses' perceptions of AI highlights the tension between technological advancement and professional responsibility. Although nurses recognize AI's potential to improve efficiency and support decision-making, they also report concerns about diminished human connection, deskilling, unclear accountability, and amplification of

systemic bias (Bodur et al., 2025). These issues are especially relevant in nursing education, where relationship-centered care, empathy, and therapeutic communication remain core professional competencies.

Emerging scholarships on AI data ethics reinforces the need for structured, principled approaches to technology integration. Ethical data governance, algorithmic transparency, fairness in design, and human-centered implementation are essential to responsible use of AI in nursing education, practice, and research (Dunlap & Michalowski, 2024). Nurse educators therefore carry a dual responsibility: to integrate emerging technologies effectively while fostering digital literacy, ethical reasoning, and critical thinking.

Overall, the literature shows that integrating technological innovation into a discipline grounded in humanistic values is complex. As AI and digital technologies become more embedded in academic preparation and clinical practice, understanding their ethical implications is essential to preserving educational quality, equity, and professional integrity in nursing.

Discussion

Literature Review

The ethical use of artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies in nursing education has become an important and fast-growing area of scholarship. AI and other digital tools can strengthen teaching, learning, assessment, and clinical preparation. However, these innovations also raise complex ethical, educational, and professional concerns that must be addressed to support responsible use in nursing education and practice.

Current evidence reflects a tension between technological innovation and ethical responsibility. Four themes recur across the literature: ethical risks and safeguards in AI deployment, equity and digital inclusion, preservation of professional values and humanistic care, and competency development for ethical technology use among students and educators.

Ethical Risks and Safeguards in AI Integration

Recent analyses identify algorithmic bias, limited transparency, and threats to data privacy as major ethical concerns in AI use across healthcare and nursing education. AI-enabled systems may perpetuate structural inequities when trained on incomplete, unrepresentative, or historically biased datasets, raising concerns about fairness, accountability, and trust in educational and clinical applications (Yakusheva et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2025). These challenges highlight the need to evaluate not only AI outputs but also the data sources, design assumptions, and governance structures that shape them.

The expansion of digital learning platforms, virtual simulation, and cloud-based educational tools has also intensified the need for rigorous data protection. Privacy risks include unauthorized access, cybersecurity breaches, data leakage, and inappropriate secondary use of sensitive information (Henry, 2025). Strong

institutional safeguards, including encryption, access controls, audit mechanisms, and compliance with privacy regulations, are therefore essential.

Professional guidance reinforces these concerns. The American Nurses Association emphasizes that AI and digital technologies may enhance nursing practice and education, but they must not replace clinical judgment, professional accountability, or the therapeutic nurse-patient relationship (ANA, 2015; Clipper et al., 2018). Clear ethical frameworks and institutional policies are therefore needed to support responsible integration while protecting educational integrity and nursing values.

Equity, Access, and the Digital Divide

Unequal distribution of technological resources can create or deepen disparities in educational access and outcomes. Public health and healthcare informatics scholarship warns that AI and digital health technologies may worsen socioeconomic inequities when implemented without an intentional equity-focused design framework (Dankwa-Mullan, 2024). In educational settings, disparities in internet access, hardware, software, and technical support can significantly affect student engagement, performance, and skill development.

In nursing education, these inequities may appear as unequal participation in simulation-based learning, limited engagement with AI-supported platforms, and fewer opportunities to develop the digital competencies required for contemporary practice. The digital divide therefore affects not only immediate educational outcomes but also long-term professional readiness.

Educational institutions must address these inequities through policy development, infrastructure investment, and targeted student support (FFNE, 2024). Strategies include ensuring access to core digital learning tools, providing structured digital literacy training, and designing flexible learning environments that accommodate various levels of technological access. Without sustained mitigation, the digital divide may become a structural barrier to educational equity and workforce readiness.

Preserving Humanistic Care and Professional Values

A key concern is whether advanced technologies, particularly AI, may erode the humanistic dimensions of nursing practice. Qualitative research describes concerns about diminished emotional connection, reduced therapeutic presence, deskilling, and a shift toward task-oriented rather than relationship-centered care as automation becomes more common (Bodur et al., 2025). These findings align with ethical analyses cautioning against allowing technological progress to displace the relational and compassionate foundations of nursing (O'Connor, 2023; Archibald & Barnard, 2018).

Preserving humanistic care therefore requires a deliberate and balanced approach to technology integration. AI and digital tools should function as supports that enhance efficiency, aid clinical decision making, and reduce cognitive or administrative burden while preserving space for meaningful interpersonal

engagement. Nursing curricula should intentionally reinforce humanistic competencies alongside technological proficiency. Educational strategies should therefore prioritize empathy, reflective practice, therapeutic communication, and relational reasoning, even as digital tools become more integrated into teaching, simulation, and clinical preparation. Embedding these values in technology-enhanced learning environments helps ensure that innovation strengthens rather than diminishes the compassionate, patient-centered ethos of nursing.

Competency Development and Ethical Preparedness

Digital literacy and ethical reasoning are core components of contemporary nursing education. As practice becomes more reliant on AI-driven systems and data-informed decision tools, programs must prepare learners to understand these technologies' capabilities, limitations, and ethical implications (Dunlap & Michalowski, 2024). This includes learning to interpret AI outputs critically, identify potential bias, and judge when technology use is appropriate in academic and clinical settings.

Nursing curricula should move beyond passive exposure to technology and foster active, experiential, and reflective learning. Effective approaches include ethically grounded case studies, structured evaluation of AI outputs, simulation-based use of digital health tools, and interdisciplinary collaboration with experts in informatics, data science, and technology implementation (Bodur et al., 2025). These strategies help bridge technical proficiency and ethical accountability.

The goal is to prepare graduates who are both technologically capable and ethically grounded, able to integrate AI and digital tools into practice while remaining alert to their limitations, risks, and implications for patient care and professional responsibility.

Ethical Analysis

Ethical evaluation of technology in nursing education should be grounded in caring, compassion, relational practice, respect for people, justice, and accountability. These values define both the aims of professional formation and the standards by which emerging technologies should be judged. AI-enabled systems may enhance instructional efficiency, feedback, and clinical reasoning development, but they must not replace professional judgment or diminish the moral agency of educators or students.

Professional guidance reinforces this stance. The American Nurses Association emphasizes that AI should augment rather than erode nursing's ethical obligations and that accountability for educational and clinical decisions remains with licensed professionals (ANA, 2015). In academic settings, AI-generated outputs may inform learning, assessment, and feedback, but they should not independently determine high-stakes decisions about student progression, competency, or readiness for practice. Human oversight, transparent documentation, and accessible review and appeal processes are therefore essential when AI-supported tools are used (Clipper et al., 2018).

Ethical Risk Domains in AI-Enabled Nursing Education

Contemporary syntheses of AI in nursing education and practice support the use of explicit ethical frameworks to guide adoption of AI-enabled tools in simulation, assessment, and personalized learning (Yakusheva et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2025). These frameworks highlight three interrelated risk domains.

Bias and fairness are central concerns. Training data, feature selection, and deployment context can reproduce or amplify existing inequities, resulting in inaccurate evaluations of learner competence or disproportionate disadvantage for students from under resourced or marginalized backgrounds. Without careful design and continuous monitoring, AI systems may reinforce structural inequities in education.

Privacy and data stewardship are also major concerns. Learning analytics, biometric data collection in simulation, and cloud-based platforms increase both the volume and sensitivity of learner data. Institutions must therefore follow clear principles for data minimization, purpose limitation, transparent retention practices, and informed consent.

Accountability and relational integrity remain equally important. Automation may depersonalize education when it displaces meaningful human interaction. Overreliance on AI generated feedback or automated evaluation may weaken mentorship and reduce opportunities for reflective dialogue, undermining the professional identity formation that is central to nursing education.

Translating Ethical Principles into Educational Practice

Putting these commitments into practice requires concrete institutional and pedagogical safeguards. A valued first approach to procurement should ensure that any adopted AI system aligns with nursing's commitments to caring, equity, and relational practice before implementation. Transparency standards should also include plain language documentation of system purpose, limitations, training data characteristics, subgroup performance, and known risks.

Human oversight must remain central in all high-stakes educational decisions, supported by clear review processes and formal student appeal pathways. Routine bias audits, impact evaluations, and privacy by design principles should be built into digital learning infrastructures to identify disparate effects and safeguard learner data throughout its lifecycle.

Sustained faculty development and student preparation in AI literacy, ethical reasoning, and responsible technology use are essential for promoting critical engagement rather than passive reliance on automated systems (ANA, 2015; Clipper et al., 2018; Yakusheva et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2025). Integrating AI into nursing education is therefore both a technical and an ethical undertaking that requires centering professional values, fairness, transparency, privacy, and human accountability.

Privacy, Confidentiality, and Data Governance

Digital learning ecosystems, including learning management systems, electronic health record simulations, learning analytics

dashboards, and cloud-based storage, expand the data footprint of nursing education. As a result, privacy and confidentiality risks increase across student identifiers, academic records, behavioral learning data, and sometimes simulated or de identified clinical information. Nursing programs therefore need comprehensive data governance strategies that extend beyond basic compliance.

Ethical responsibility in this area includes role-based access controls, end to end encryption, secure authentication, and clear incident response and breach notification protocols. These protections should be treated as essential to curriculum design and to procurement and evaluation of third-party educational technologies. Compliance with regulatory frameworks such as HIPAA in simulation contexts and FERPA for educational records is necessary, but ethical practice requires broader responsible data stewardship (FFNE, 2024).

Broader AI and health informatics scholarship also shows that greater data integration and system interoperability increase the risk of data misuse, unauthorized secondary use, and re identification of de identified datasets. These concerns highlight the need for data minimization, transparency in data flows and algorithmic processing, and continuous security monitoring and auditing to maintain system integrity and trustworthiness (Henry, 2025; Wei et al., 2025).

Nursing education programs should address these challenges by adopting privacy by design approach that embeds ethical data governance at every stage of technology integration. This includes clearly defining the purpose of data collection during course design, limiting retention to what is necessary for instruction or evaluation, ensuring data use is traceable and auditable, and giving students accessible, meaningful explanations of how their data are collected, stored, analyzed, and used in digital learning environments. This transparency supports informed participation and strengthens trust between students and educational institutions.

Consistent with professional ethical guidance, use of educational data and digital technologies must remain grounded in transparency, accountability, and respect for people. These safeguards help ensure that innovation in nursing education does not compromise learner privacy, dignity, or rights.

Justice, Equity, and the Digital Divide

Without intentional design and sustained oversight, AI and digital learning tools can deepen inequities by favoring learners with reliable devices, high speed internet, assistive technologies, and stronger prior digital literacy. These advantages can widen gaps in engagement, participation, and academic performance. Public health and health informatics scholarship warns that AI driven systems may reinforce disparities when implemented without explicit equity frameworks, stakeholder input, and ongoing bias monitoring (Dankwa-Mullan, 2024). Nursing ethics guidance likewise frames equitable access to digital tools and literacy as a professional responsibility (FFNE, 2024).

Equity should therefore be treated as a core criterion in technology design and evaluation, from procurement and implementation to assessment and quality improvement. Nursing programs should use access and readiness audits to identify disparities in device availability, internet connectivity, and assistive technology access, and institutions should provide targeted supports such as device lending, mobile hotspots, and connectivity subsidies. Digital learning environments should also follow Universal Design for Learning principles so that instructional content, simulations, and AI generated feedback remain accessible to diverse learners.

Programs should also monitor equity through disaggregated outcome analyses to identify differential effects of AI enabled tools on student performance and progression. When disparities emerge, timely corrective action is needed, supported by transparent communication about institutional resources, referral to academic and technology support services, and faculty development in digital inclusion and equitable technology use.

These strategies establish equity as a foundational principle in the design and governance of digital learning environments rather than a secondary consideration. Applied consistently, they support the responsible integration of AI in ways that advance nursing's ethical commitments to justice, inclusion, and equitable educational opportunity for all learners (Dankwa-Mullan, 2024; FFNE, 2024).

Academic Integrity and Generative AI

Generative AI creates significant challenges for academic integrity, including unattributed assistance, ghostwriting, fabricated content, and inaccurate or hallucinated citations. If unaddressed, these risks may weaken critical thinking, clinical reasoning, and independent judgment in nursing education. Qualitative findings from practicing nurses also raise concerns about deskilling and overreliance on automated systems, issues that are directly relevant to students preparing for clinical practice (Bodur et al., 2025).

Professional ethical guidance reinforces that technology should support rather than replace the nurse's analytic capacity and moral agency. The American Nurses Association states that nurses remain accountable for decisions informed by technological tools and that clinical and ethical judgment cannot be delegated to automated systems (ANA, 2015). These principles support clear expectations for appropriate generative AI use in coursework and assessment (Clipper et al., 2018).

Nursing programs should uphold academic integrity through explicit policies that define permitted and prohibited uses of AI tools across learning activities. Process transparency, such as reflective statements or brief disclosures describing the extent of AI assistance, should also be required. Assessment strategies should increasingly emphasize authentic methods, including objective structured clinical examinations, oral defenses, simulation-based assessments, and in class applied exercises that prioritize reasoning rather than only final products.

Students should also receive structured instruction in evaluating AI generated content, verifying factual accuracy, identifying bias or hallucinations, and using appropriate citation practices. These strategies help position generative AI as a learning support rather than a substitute for essential cognitive and clinical skill development.

Humanistic Pedagogy and Professional Identity Formation

Preserving relational, person-centered pedagogy in increasingly digital and AI mediated learning environments remains an important ethical priority in nursing education. Although educational technologies can improve scalability, feedback, and simulation-based learning, their broader use also raises concerns about reduced human connection, diminished therapeutic presence, and loss of relational depth in teaching and learning. Studies of practicing nurses describe emotional disconnection, dehumanization of care, and increased surveillance when AI shapes clinical workflows and decision making, concerns that extend to academic settings and professional identity formation (Bodur et al., 2025).

These concerns matter because nursing education is inherently relational, relying on mentorship, dialogue, reflection, and modeling of professional values to develop clinical judgment and identity. The American Nurses Association emphasizes that advanced technologies must not compromise the caring relationships, moral commitments, and professional virtues that define nursing practice (ANA, 2015). Nursing Ethics Scholarship makes clear that relational engagement and therapeutic presence are central to forming competent, ethically grounded practitioners (Archibald & Barnard, 2018). Humanistic pedagogy should remain a guiding framework for curriculum design and instruction as digital tools become more embedded in nursing education. Technology should enhance rather than replace meaningful interpersonal interaction and preserve opportunities for reflective dialogue, mentorship, and experiential learning.

Maintaining humanistic pedagogy in the age of AI requires deliberate commitment to relationships, empathy, and reflective practice. This helps ensure that technology integration supports the development of nurses who are both technically competent and capable of compassionate, person-centered care.

Transparency, Explainability, and Accountability

AI enabled educational tools, including adaptive quizzing systems, predictive analytics, automated feedback platforms, and learning dashboards, often operate with limited interpretability. This lack of transparency raises ethical concerns, especially when algorithmic outputs influence student evaluation, progression, or remediation. Ethical implementation therefore requires clear communication about each system's purpose, data sources, limitations, and potential bias so that educators and students can appropriately interpret and challenge AI generated recommendations (Cardona et al., 2023; Wei et al., 2025).

Accountability is equally essential when AI is used in academic decision making. Professional guidance emphasizes

that although technology may inform educational processes, educators and institutions retain responsibility for academic and clinical judgments. Because AI systems cannot bear moral or professional accountability, human oversight must remain central in decisions affecting student outcomes, progression, and readiness for practice (ANA, 2015).

These commitments should be translated into governance mechanisms for all AI enabled educational technologies. Before adoption, institutions should conduct algorithmic impact assessments, especially for systems used in high-stakes academic decisions. Transparency should also be strengthened through standardized documentation that describes system functions, assumptions, training data characteristics, performance limitations, and known risks.

Programs should also establish formal human review processes and accessible student appeal pathways for AI informed determinations affecting assessment or progression. These safeguards support responsible AI integration by promoting transparency, reinforcing accountability, and preserving trust in nursing education.

Competency, Preparedness, and Faculty Development

Ethical integration of AI and digital technologies in nursing education requires foundational competence among both students and faculty. Without adequate preparation, even well-designed tools may be misunderstood, overly relied upon, or used inappropriately, creating ethical, educational, and clinical consequences. Current evidence therefore emphasizes digital literacy, ethical reasoning, and critical appraisal skills so that nurses can appropriately interpret, question, and oversee AI enabled tools in academic and clinical settings (Dunlap & Michalowski, 2024).

Empirical and frontline perspectives reinforce the need for structured preparation. Nurses and educators report concerns about algorithmic bias, data security risks, limited transparency, inappropriate uses of AI, deskilling, reduced professional autonomy, and overdependence on automated outputs when safeguards are insufficient (Bodur et al., 2025).

Nursing programs should respond with longitudinal development initiatives for both faculty and students across the curriculum. These initiatives should include education in data ethics, algorithmic bias, privacy and security, and responsible AI use in clinical and educational decision making, supported by experiential learning such as workshops, case analyses, and critique of AI generated outputs.

Interprofessional collaboration with experts in health informatics, data science, and educational technology should be embedded in program design. Competency assessment should likewise evolve to evaluate not only technical fluency but also ethical judgment, reflective thinking, and responsible AI integration.

These strategies help develop a nursing workforce that is technologically capable, ethically grounded, and prepared to

navigate AI enhanced healthcare and educational environments responsibly.

Principles for Ethical Implementation

The ethical domains discussed in this review can be translated into governance for AI and digital technologies in nursing education through five interrelated principles that support responsible implementation while preserving professional values and educational integrity.

Beneficence with value alignment requires adopting educational technologies only when evidence shows they improve learning outcomes, strengthen clinical reasoning, or support safe practice development. These tools should be judged not only for efficiency but also for consistency with nursing's core values and preservation of mentorship, reflection, empathy, and relational learning.

Nonmaleficence requires proactive risk identification and mitigation before adoption and throughout implementation. This includes assessing privacy risks, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and algorithmic bias, with safeguards proportionate to each technology's level of risk.

Justice and inclusion require sustained efforts to ensure equitable access to digital learning tools and enhanced AI resources. This principle calls for equity audits, Universal Design for Learning, and targeted institutional supports to address disparities in technology access, digital literacy, and learning readiness.

Respect for autonomy and transparency requires clear disclosure of how AI systems are used in educational processes, including data collection, algorithmic limitations, and the role of automated outputs in assessment and feedback. Students should have access to human review and, when appropriate, opt out mechanisms for high stakes or consequential decisions. This principle protects informed participation, learner rights, and transparency in AI mediated environments.

Accountability and continuous improvement require that human oversight remain central in consequential academic and evaluative decisions. Educators and institutions must stay involved in AI supported judgments while monitoring outcomes, detecting errors, and refining policies through shared decision making among faculty, administrators, students, and informatics stakeholders.

These five principles provide a clear, practical framework for integrating AI into nursing education while safeguarding equity, transparency, accountability, and the profession's enduring humanistic foundations.

Implications for Nursing Practice

Nurse educators should operationalize these ethical principles through clear institutional policies defining acceptable and unacceptable uses of generative AI in learning activities. Policies should outline documentation requirements, expectations for transparency in student work, and consequences for misuse.

Curricula should also teach students to critically assess, verify, and appropriately cite AI generated content.

At the institutional level, nursing programs should implement privacy by designing frameworks that include least privilege access controls, end-to-end encryption, secure authentication, and clear data retention and deletion policies. Institutions should also maintain a comprehensive data map of educational technologies, including data flows, purposes, and third-party vendors, to support informed oversight and sound data governance.

Before adopting adaptive, predictive, or AI driven educational technologies, programs should conduct equity and algorithmic impact assessments to identify risks related to bias, disparate outcomes, and unequal access. These assessments should guide procurement and implementation decisions and be paired with mitigation strategies, including device loan programs, broadband support, and Universal Design for Learning principles.

Nurse educators must also preserve human centered pedagogy within technology enhanced learning environments through structured debriefings, sustained mentorship, reflective learning activities, and relational touchpoints that reinforce trust, empathy, and professional identity formation.

Sustained faculty and student development are essential. Institutions should invest in ongoing competency building in data ethics, recognition of algorithmic bias, evaluation of prompts and AI outputs, and digital safety practices.

These recommendations reflect a dual mandate: to harness the pedagogical benefits of AI, including personalized learning, enhanced feedback, and high-fidelity simulation, while safeguarding the ethical foundations of nursing education (Booth et al., 2021; Yakusheva et al., 2024; Wei et al., 2025). The American Nurses Association reinforces that technology must augment, not replace, nursing judgment, compassion, and relational care (ANA, 2015; Clipper et al., 2018).

As AI expands across healthcare systems, ethical preparedness has become a curricular and institutional imperative. Findings from practicing nurses reinforce this urgency by highlighting both the benefits of AI and concerns about deskilling, depersonalization, surveillance, and inequity when implementation lacks explicit ethical safeguards (Bodur et al., 2025; Dankwa-Mullan, 2024).

Conclusion

The ethical integration of AI in nursing education is both a governance responsibility and a pedagogical commitment. Institutions must embed ethical considerations throughout the entire technology lifecycle, beginning with needs assessment and procurement and continuing through implementation, evaluation, and continuous quality improvement. Meaningful human oversight remains essential in consequential academic and evaluative decisions, especially those that influence student progression, competency determination, and professional

formation (American Nurses Association, 2015; Wei et al., 2025).

As nursing education moves into an era shaped by increasingly sophisticated digital tools, intentional governance becomes the foundation for responsible innovation. When institutions approach technology adoption with clarity of purpose and ethical alignment, AI can strengthen educational quality while protecting learner rights, data privacy, and procedural fairness. This approach reinforces public trust in the preparation of safe, competent, and ethically grounded nurses and ensures that innovation advances the mission of the profession rather than disrupts it.

Looking ahead, academic leaders have an opportunity to shape an ethically grounded model of AI-enhanced nursing education that is both aspirational and practical. By integrating privacy protections, equity-centered design, transparent accountability, and sustained faculty and student development, institutions can create learning environments that prepare graduates for data-rich healthcare settings while preserving the relational, compassionate, and humanistic foundations of nursing. This future-oriented model positions AI not as a replacement for the values that define the profession, but as a tool that supports the formation of nurses who are clinically prepared, ethically grounded, and ready to lead in evolving care environments (American Nurses Association, 2015; Dunlap and Michalowski, 2024).

References

1. Archibald, M. M., & Barnard, A. (2018). Futurism in nursing: Technology, robotics, and the fundamentals of care. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 27(11–12), 2473–2480. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.14081>
2. American Nurse. (2025). Executive summary: Ethical concerns regarding integrating artificial intelligence into nursing education. <https://www.myamericannurse.com/executive-summary-ethical-concerns-regarding-integrating-ai-in-nursing-education/>
3. American Nurses Association. (2025). The ethical use of artificial intelligence in nursing practice (Position statement). OJIN: The Online Journal of Issues in Nursing.
4. Bodur, G., Cakir, H., Turan, S., Harmanci Seren, A. K., & Goktas, P. (2025). Artificial intelligence in nursing practice: A qualitative study of nurses' perspectives on opportunities, challenges, and ethical implications. *BMC Nursing*, 24, 1263. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-025-03775-6>
5. Dankwa-Mullan, I. (2024). Health equity and ethical considerations in using artificial intelligence in public health and medicine. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 21, E64. <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd21.240245>
6. Dunlap, P. A. B., & Michalowski, M. (2024). Advancing AI data ethics in nursing: Future directions for nursing practice, research, and education. *JMIR Nursing*, 7, e62678. <https://doi.org/10.2196/62678>

-
7. Clipper, B., Batcheller, J., Thomaz, A. L., & Rozga, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence and robotics: A nurse leader's perspective. *Nursing Administration Quarterly*, 42(1), 67–75. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NAQ.0000000000000270>
 8. O'Connor, S. (2023). Open artificial intelligence platforms in nursing education: Tools for academic progress or abuse? *Nurse Education in Practice*, 66, 103537. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2022.103537>
 9. Philips. (2024). Future health index 2024: Better care for more people. <https://www.philips.com/a-w/about/news/archive/standard/news/press/2024/future-health-index-2024-global-report-healthcare-leaders-turn-to-ai-to-address-critical-gaps-in-patient-care.html>
 10. Wei, Q., Pan, S., Liu, X., Hong, M., Nong, C., & Zhang, W. (2025). The integration of artificial intelligence in nursing: Addressing current applications, challenges, and future directions. *Frontiers in Medicine*, 12, 1545420. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2025.1545420>
 11. Yakusheva, O., Lee, K. A., & Weiss, M. (2024). The nursing human capital value model. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 160, 104890. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104890>

Copyright: ©2026. William G. Zic. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.